

NUTRITION CAUSAL ANALYSIS

**ACTION** 









The Author : **Dr. Lysette Boucher-Castel** Socio-anthropologist and Link NCA Expert

NUTRITION CAL

Lysette Boucher Castel holds a Ph.D. in Economics and a postgraduate diploma in Demography. In parallel with her academic career as a Professor of Economics at the University of Quebec she developed her activity as consultant in interdisciplinary studies involving health, nutrition, poverty and the analysis of social structure in stressed environments.

Lysette has led numerous studies in Africa and the Middle East and specializes in bottom-up processes of assessment and change using both advanced quantitative analysis and qualitative methods involving community articipation.

# Link NCA Final Report



## January 2015 – February 2016

Dari-Suf-Bala District, Samangan Province

Afghanistan





Lysette Boucher - Castel, Link NCA Expert

#### Acknowledgments

The Link NCA survey in DSB is the result of many collaborations. During the different phases of the process, the ACF office in Kabul, the ACF Mazar el Sharif Base and Paris worked together from January to June, 2015.

We are firstly grateful to all families (530) that welcomed data collectors into their homes and all villagers (mothers and fathers) of Sarwalang Miana in the district of DSB, Samangan province in Afghanistan.

The NCA study was conducted under difficult conditions. It has been made possible through the support of the ACF team in Mazar. We are grateful to Mr. Brian Hansen, field coordinator of ACF-Mazar for his support in ensuring proper security measures for the fieldwork teams, and to all Afghan administrative and logistic managers that were always concerned about our organizational needs throughout the duration of the NCA work, in particular during the two months of data collection in the district of DSB. In the field, at the Bazar Stocka base, we wish to express our gratitude to twenty-eight data collectors who visited families in 30 villages in the DSB district. We cannot name them all but we thank in particular Mr. Jalil Ahmad, who held very seriously his role of assistant and allowed us to have access to the population of the village of Sarwalang Miana throughout the qualitative survey, the two translators who were also very good focus group sessions facilitators, Fatima Shinwari and Amanullah Shams . The teams received valuable support from the district Governor and all CDC members in the villages of DSB, who should be thanked for their hospitality and patience.

Finally, we are grateful to Mr. Victor Mallelah who supervised the Risk Factor and SMART Surveys. His involvement in the study went above and beyond expectations.

Bringing this study to completion in all its methodological dimensions (questionnaires, statistics, and methodology, commented reading drafts) was possible thanks to the NCA team in Paris, which has always accompanied us even when we were far away. Our thanks go to Madame Blanche Mattern. Also, we thank Maryline Cittadini and Karl Lellouche, our focal points for Link NCA in Afghanistan and Paris.

Finally, on a personal note, I would like to thank a close friend, the sociologist Michalis Lianos, who read with care and perspicacity all the pages of the report during the summer of 2015.

#### Foreword

The Link NCA report for the District DSB of Samangan Province - Afghanistan is presented in two parts: the first part presents the Link NCA process and its implementation in the district of DSB. The second part presents the findings of data collection in DSB and a preliminary rating of hypothesized risk factors.

Part I, presents the analysis of secondary data, the work of the first workshop held in Kabul in February 2015, all conceptual preparations (pathway and hypothesized risk factors) and technical tools (sample data management) in view of conducting three surveys: SMART, RFS (household questionnaire) and qualitative investigation. The limitations of the survey are presented in this section. In an annex to part I, we present the causal model of the workshop in February 2015, and a copy of the household questionnaire for the SMART and the RFS survey.

Part II focuses on the analysis of quantitative and qualitative data and a proposal on hypotheses that were discussed in the context of this survey. In an annex to Part II, the reader can find the list of all results (mean or proportion) for all indicators measured in the chapter discussing the findings of the investigation.

## **Table of Contents**

Ack	nowledg	ments	2
Fore	eword		2
Tab	le of Cor	itents	3
List	of Charts	s and Figures	5
List	of Tables	5	7
List	of Maps	and Boxes	9
Glo	ssary		10
Intr	oduction		12
Part	t I. The Li	nk NCA Process in DSB, Samangan Province	17
1.	Why Co	nduct a Link NCA in the Dari Suf Bala district?	17
1.1.	Cor	ntextual Information of Dari Suf Area (Dari Suf Bala and Dari Suf Payeen Districts)	18
1.2.	Pre	valence of Acute Malnutrition - Wasting- in the Samangan Province	22
1.3.	Pre	valence of Chronic Malnutrition –Stunting	23
1.4.	Obj	jectives of Link NCA Survey in DSB	24
2. 1	NCA Surv	ey in DSB	25
2.1. Pro	Des vince and	scription of Core Indicators and Hypothesized Risk Factors for Afghanistan, Samangan I the DSB district	27
	2.1.1.	Description of Causal Pathway for DSB, Samangan Province, Afghanistan	.33
	2.1.2. Afghani	Description of Nutrition Vulnerable Groups in the District of DSB, Samangan Province, stan	
2.2.	Sta	keholder Workshop in Afghanistan for the Link NCA Study in Samangan Province	42
	2.2.1. W	ork Group Brainstorming on Causal Under-Nutrition Models	
2.2.2. Multi-Sectorial Groups Identify Vulnerable Groups			
2.2.3. Consensus on the Hypotheses (Risk Factors)			
2.2.4. Rating the "expert opinion" of the Working Group Participants			
	2.2.5. Ou List of N	utputs of the Workshop: A List of Hypothesized Risk Factors, and Hypothesized Pathways Iutrition-Vulnerable Groups, and a Rating of Each Hypothesis by Experts	5: A
2.3.	Fiel	d Data Collection	51
	2.3.1.	Data Collection Methods53	
	2.3.2.	Quantitative Survey (RFS & SMART)	
	2.3.3.	Qualitative Survey64	

2.4.	.4. Data Management and Analysis70		
2.5.	5. Ethical Considerations During the Survey73		
2.6.	Lim	itations of Link NCA in DSB	73
Part Pro	II. Result	s and Preliminary Hypothesized Risk Factors for Link NCA Study in DSB, Samangan	75
1.	Descript	ive Findings Link NCA Study in DSB	75
1.1.	Targ	geted Populations of the Link NCA Study	75
	1.1.1.	For the Quantitative Survey75	
	1.1.2.	For the Qualitative Survey	
1.2.	Res	ults from the Link NCA Study by Sector	76
	1.2.1.	Under-Nutrition	
	1.2.2.	Food Security and Livelihoods	
	1.2.3.	WASH	
	1.2.4.	MHCP: IYCF, Care of Women, and Psycho Social Care90	
	1.2.5.	Health (children and women)101	
	1.2.6.	Basic Causes of Malnutrition According to Mothers and Fathers in Sarwalang Miana	107
	1.2.7.	Seasonality111	
2.	Prelimin	ary Rating of Hypothesized Risk Factors	112
2.1.	.1. Review of Hypotheses (19) According to the Methodology Link NCA		112
	2.1.1.	For FSL Sector: 2 Hypotheses114	
	2.1.2.	From MHCP Sector: 7 Hypotheses	
	2.1.3.	From Health Sector: 6 Hypotheses	
	2.1.4.	From Unhealthy Environment: 4 Hypotheses124	
2.2.	2.2. Classification of Preliminary Rating		126
	2.2.1.	Hypotheses Causes Believed to be a Major Contributor to Causes of Malnutrition12	.7
	2.2.2.	Causes Believed to be Important Contributors to Causes of Malnutrition	
	2.2.3.	Causes Believed to be Minor Contributions to Causes of Malnutrition	
	2.2.4.	Rejected Causes of Malnutrition131	
	2.2.5.	Untested Hypotheses During the Survey in DSB131	
Anr	iex 1: Patl	nways- Causal Model, Workshop February 2015	142
Anr	iex 2. RFS	S and Smart Survey Household Questionnaire	143
Anr	iex 3: Link	NCA Risk Factors Survey	158

## List of Charts and Figures

Figure1. Identifying and Discussing Hypothesized Risk Factors and Pathways in DSB	16
Figure2. The Field Survey Participants in DSB	17
Figure3. FSL Causal Primary Pathway Link NCA	34
Figure4. Basic Structure of FSL Causal Pathway in DSB, Samangan Province Afghanistan	34
Figure5. FSL and Under-Nutrition: Links to Other Risk Factors in DSB, Samangan Province	35
Figure6. CPMH Causal Primary Pathways Link NCA, Primary Pathway for Mortality	35
Figure7. CPMH Causal Primary Pathways Link NCA Primary Pathway for Under-Nutrition	35
Figure8. Basic Structure of CPMH Causal Pathway in DSB, Samangan Province Afghanistan, Mortality	Primary Pathway for 36
Figure9. Basic Structure of CPHM Causal Pathway in DSB, Samangan Province Afghanistan, Stunting and Underweight	Primary Pathway for 36
Figure10. Under-Nutrition and CPMH: Links with Other Risk Factors, Samangan Province	37
Figure11. Health Causal Pathways Link NCA	37
Figure12. Basic Structure of Health Causal Pathway in DSB, Samangan Province Afghanistan	38
Figure13. Under-Nutrition and Health: Links with Other Risk Factors	38
Figure14. Birth Spacing Causal Primary Pathway Link NCA	39
Figure16. Unhealthy Environment Causal Primary Pathways Link NCA	40
Figure17. Basic Structure of Unhealthy Environment Causal Pathway in DSB Samangan Provir	nce, Afghanistan
	40
Figure18. Unhealthy Environment in DSB: Links with others Risk Factors	41
Figure19. Sub-Group FSL - Basic Causes of Malnutrition in DSB	44
Figure20: Causal Pathways for Subgroup FSL	45
Figure21. Subgroup WASH, Basic Causes of Malnutrition in DSB	45
Figure22. Wash Group: Causal Pathway in DSB	45
Figure23. Nutrition Subgroup: Basic Causes of Malnutrition in DSB	46
Figure24. Nutrition Group: Causal Pathway of Malnutrition in DSB	46
Figure25. Nutrition Group: Causal Pathway for Birth Spacing in DSB	47
Figure26. Hypotheses and Indicators for FSL (RFS) in DSB	57
Figure27. Hypotheses and Indicators for CPMH-IYCP in DSB	58
Figure28. Hypotheses and Indicators for CPMH-Care of Women in DSB	59
Figure29. Hypotheses and Indicators for CPMH-Psycho Social Care in DSB	59
Figure30. Hypotheses and Indicators for Health in DSB	60
Figure31. Hypotheses and Indicators for Unhealthy Environment in DSB	60
Figure32. Poor Food Access Stability Leading to Poor Food Availability	114
Figure33. Poor Dietary Diversity (mother and child)	114
Figure34: Hypothesizes Risk Factor in FSL Sector	115
Figure35. Inadequate Initiation of Breast Feeding (<1 hour)	115

Figure36. Low Rate of Exclusive Breastfeeding Under 6 Months	116
Figure37. Inadequate Complementary Feeding Practices	116
Figure38. Lack of Women Empowerment	117
Figure39. Maternal Well-Being and Lack of Care During Pregnancy	118
Figure40. Inappropriate Care Practices (mother and child interaction)	118
Figure41. Health Seeking Behavior for Mother and Child	119
Figure42. Hypothesizes Risk Factor in CPMH sector	119
Figure43. Child Health Status (Diarrheal and ARI infection)	120
Figure44. Low Maternal Health and Nutritional Status	120
Figure45. Poor Birth Spacing	121
Figure46. Low Access to Health and Nutrition Services	121
Figure47. Low Quality of Health and Nutrition Services	122
Figure48. Low Awareness on Under-Nutrition (causes, symptoms and treatment)	123
Figure49. Hypotheses from Health Sector	123
Figure50. Lack of Access to Safe Water	124
Figure51. Lack of Adequate Hygiene Practices	124
Figure52. Poor Environmental Sanitation and Practices	125
Figure53. Inappropriate Food Utilization (food hygiene, habits, food sharing)	125
Figure54. WASH Sector Hypothesis	126
Figure55. Risk Factors Categories and Criteria, DSB, Samangan, 2015	126
Figure56. Hypotheses Believed to be Major Contributions to Malnutrition	128
Figure57. Hypotheses Believed to be Important Contributions to Malnutrition	129
Figure58. Hypotheses Believed to be a Minor Contributions to Malnutrition	130
Figure59. Rejected Hypotheses Contributing to Malnutrition	131
Figure60. Untested Hypotheses During the Survey in DSB	131

## List of Charts

Chart1. Malnutrition Children, 0-5 years, DSB District, Samangan Province, 2015	11
Chart2. Age and Sex Distribution, DSB, 2015, Smart Survey	12
Chart3. MAHFP, 2014-2015, % of Households with Enough Food, DSB	83
Chart4. RFS Survey, % of Households with Enough Food, DSB, April 2014-May 2015	83
Chart5. Perceived social capital, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	98
Chart6. Barriers to go to Health Centre, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	104
Chart7. Seasonal Calendar, DSB, Link-NCA, Samangan, Afghanistan	111

## List of Tables

Table1. Type of Link NCA Survey	14
Table2. General Information for DSB district, Samangan Province	19
Table3. Food Security, Water, Sanitation and Hygiene in the Province of Samangan	20
Table4. Food Access/Availability Outcomes in Samangan Province and Dara Suf Area	21
Table5. Concepts and their Risk Factors, NCA Indicators-ACF	21
Table6. The Prevalence of Stunting in 6 Districts in Samangan Province	24
Table7. List of Link NCA Core Indicators and Specific Risk Factor Related	26
Table8. Under nutrition and FSL: Hypothesized Risk Factor for DSB district, Samangan Prov	ince, and Afghanistan 28
<b>Table9.</b> Under-Nutrition and CPMH –Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices for IProvince and Afghanistan	DSB district, Samangan 29
<b>Table10</b> . Under-Nutrition (women) and CPMH –Care of Women for DSB District, SaAfghanistan	amangan Province and 30
<b>Table11.</b> Health Status and Access to Health Services for DSB district, Samangan Province	and Afghanistan 31
Table12. Unhealthy Environment: Hypothesized Risk Factor for DSB District, Samangan Pro	ovince, and Afghanistan 32
Table13. List of 20 Hypotheses Proposed by Analyzing Data (national, provincial, local) by 5	Sector
	33
Table14. Nutrition Insecurity and Vulnerable Households and Communities in Samangan P	Province
	41
Table15. Objectives and Outputs of the Expert Workshop in Kabul	43
Table16. Organizing the "NCA Technical Expert Workshop" in Kabul	43
<b>Table17.</b> Discussion About the Definition of "vulnerable groups" in DSB	47
Table18. Consensus on Hypothesized ACF Factors	48
Table19. Consensus with Revisions	48
Table20. Hypotheses Added	48
Table21. Hypotheses with Major Substantive Corrections	49
Table22.   Hypotheses   Cancelled	48
Table23. Hypotheses Believed to be a Major Contribution to Under-Nutrition Causes	49
<b>Table24.</b> Hypotheses Believed to be a Significant Contribution to Under-Nutrition Causes	50
Table25. List of Nutrition-Vulnerable Groups	50
Table26. A Rating of Hypotheses Risk Factors	51
Table27. Parameters for Sampling Procedures for Quantitative Data Collection	51
Table28. Clusters and Villages Selected in DSB: Risk Factor Survey, Smart Survey	53
Table29.         Sampling of the Clusters for Qualitative Survey in DSB	55
Table30.         Summary of the Data Collection for the Qualitative Study	66
Table31. Quality of Data Management (RFS and Smart Survey)	69
Table32.         Typical Sample Size Calculation	70

Table33.         Ethical Considerations for Link NCA in DSB	73
Table34. Average Household Size, Age Head (male), Main Caregiver (female) age in DSB	76
Table35: Prevalence of Acute Malnutrition, 0-5 years, DSB District, Samangan Province, 2015	79
Table36. Prevalence of Acute Malnutrition based on MUAC cut offs by Sex, DSB, 2015	79
Table37. Prevalence of Stunting Malnutrition, 0-5 years, DSB, Samangan Province, 2015	79
Table38. Prevalence of Underweight, Children 0-5 years, DSB, Samangan Province, 2015	79
Table39. HHDS, DSB, May 2015 (RFS Survey)	80
Table40. FCS, DSB, May 2015 (RFS Survey)	80
Table41. Household Head Occupation, RFS, DSB, 2015	82
Table42. HFIAS, DSB, May 2015, RFS Survey	84
Table43. Statistical Results and Risk Factors Related in the FSL sector, NCA Survey, DSB, 2015	84
Table44. Access to Safe Water, DSB Samangan, 2015	85
Table45.         Water Management Score, RFS, DSB, 2015	85
Table46. Water Management Risk, RFS, DSB, 2015	85
Table47. Water Needs, RFS, DSB, 2015	86
Table48. Water Distance Collection, RFS, DSB, 2015	86
Table49. Caregiver Hand Washing Behavior, RFS, DSB, 2015	88
Table50. Use of Soap, RFS, DSB, 2015	88
Table51. Household Hygiene Food, RFS, DSB, 2015	89
Table52.         Household Animal Waste, RFS, DSB, 2015	89
Table53. Statistical Results and Risk Factors Related in the WASH, NCA Survey, DSB, 2015	91
Table54. Exclusive Breastfeeding, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	92
Table55. Continued Breastfeeding, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	93
Table56. Introduction of Semi Solid or Solid, Children 6-8 Months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	93
Table57. IDDS 6-23 months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	94
Table58. IDDS Group Children, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	94
Table59. Meal Frequency, Children 6-23 Months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	94
Table60. Child Feeding Behavior (1) Children 9-36 Months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	94
Table61. Child Feeding Behavior (2) Children 9-36 Months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015	94
Table62. Food Intake During Pregnancy, RFS, DSB, Samangan Province, 2015	96
Table63. Average Level of Education of Caregiver, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	96
Table64. Wellbeing of Caregiver, DSB, RFS, Samangan, 2015	97
Table65. Caregiver Perceived Workload, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	98
Table66. Perceived Social Capital, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	99
Table67. Caregiver-Children Interactions, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	99
Table68.         Statistical Results and Risk Factors Related in the CPMH, NCA Survey, DSB, 2015	101
Table69. ARI and Diarrhea in the Past 14 days, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	103
Table70.         ANC (health professional), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	103
Table71. Number of Visits ANC, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	104

Table72.	Time Needed to go to Health Center, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	104
Table73.	Time Needed to go to Health Center (2), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	105
Table74.	Time Needed to go to Health Center (3), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	105
Table75.	Early First Pregnancy (average age), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015	105
Table76.	Age of First Pregnancy (proportion of women), RFS, DSB, 2015	106
Table77. Table78.	Caregiver Occupation, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015 Statistical Results and Risk Factors Related in the Health, NCA Survey, DSB, 2015	106 107
Table79.	FCW: First Round, Free Exercise of the Causes of Malnutrition, Sarwalang Miana Village	108
Table80.	FCW, Second Round, Prioritizing the Causes of Child Malnutrition in the 4 Groups	108
Table81.	Rating of Causes of Malnutrition, FCW, Sarwalang Miana	109
Table82.	Rating of Causes of Malnutrition, FCM, Sarwalang Miana	110
Table83.	Rating of 5 Causes Malnutrition for Mothers and Father of Sarwalang Miana	133
Table84.	Multi Sector response Plan	135

## List of Maps and Boxes

MAP 1: Afghanistan by Province	12
MAP2: Districts in Samangan Province	13
MAP3. Prevalence of Wasting in Afghanistan, 2014	23
Box1. Definition of Hypothesized Risk Factor- NCA Guidelines ACF	24

## Glossary

ACF:	Action against Hunger (Action Contre la Faim)
ANC:	Ante-Natal Care
AOR:	Adjusted Odds ratio
ARI:	Acute Respiratory Infection
BF:	Breast Feeding
BMI:	Body Mass Index
CCIS:	Child-Caregiver Interaction Scale
CDC:	Community Development Committee
CF:	Complementary Feeding
CI:	Confidence Interval
CP:	Care Practices
CSI:	Coping Strategies Index
DFID:	Department for International Development (British)
DHS:	Demographic and Health Survey
DPT3:	Diphtheria. Pertussis and Tetanus third vaccine
DSB :	Dari Suf Bala District Samangan Province
DSP :	Dari Suf Paven District Samangan Province
FNA:	Emergency Nutrition Assessment
FANTA	Food and Nutrition technical Assistance
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FCG:	Food Consumption Groups
FCS.	Food Consumption Score
FGD:	Focus Group Discussion
	Food Security and Livelihoods
CAM.	Clobal Acuta Malnutrition
	Hazard Analysis Critical Control Doint
	Hazard Analysis Childal Control Point
HEA:	Household economy approach
HFIAS:	Household Food Insecurity Access Scale
HHS:	Household Hunger Scale
ICF:	Infant and Child Feeding
IDDS:	Individual Dietary Diversity Score
IFPRI:	International Food Policy Research Institute
IRS:	Interactions Rating Scale
IYCF:	Infant and Young Child Feeding
KAP:	Knowledge Attitude and Practices survey
LCD:	Liters per Capita per Day
M&E:	Monitoring and Evaluation
MAHFP:	Months of Adequate Household Food Provisioning
MAM:	Moderate Acute Malnutrition
MAHRAM:	For Muslim woman's mahrams form the group of allowable escorts when she travels
MDI:	Major Depression Inventory
MICS:	Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey
MRRD:	Ministry of Rural Rehabilitation and Development
MUAC:	Mid-Upper Arm Circumference
NCA:	Nutrition Causal Analysis
NGO:	Non-Governmental Organization
NNS:	National Nutrition Survey Afghanistan
NRVA:	National Risk and Vulnerability Assessment, Central Statistics Organization
NSP:	National Solidarity Program, Afghanistan
SAM:	Severe Acute Malnutrition
SD:	Standard Deviation
SES	Socio-Economic Status
SESA	Seasonal Food Security Assessment
SMART <sup>.</sup>	Specific Measurable Attainable Relevant and Time-bound
SOLIAEC	Semi-Ouantitative Evaluation of Access and Coverage
	Semi Quantitative Evaluation of Access and Coverage

UNICEF:	The United Nations Children's Fund
USAID:	United States Agency for International Development
WASH:	Water, Sanitation and Hygiene
WFP:	World Food Programme
WHO:	World Health Organization
WHO5:	Well-being index in 5 questions developed by the WHO
WHZ:	Weight-for-Height Z-score

#### Introduction

This report presents the findings of a *Link Nutrition Causal Analysis* undertaken in the Dari Suf Bala District of the Samangan Province in Afghanistan between April 25<sup>th</sup> and May 31<sup>th</sup> 2015. Based on the UNICEF causal framework<sup>1</sup>, a Link NCA is a structured, **participatory**, holistic study which builds a case for **under nutrition causality** in a **local context.** To introduce the specificity of this study, we briefly present the three determinants of the conceptualization of a causal analysis of nutrition (Link NCA).

Local context: Afghanistan- Samangan Province - Dari Suf Bala District

The Dari Suf Bala district is located in the Samangan province in the northern part of Afghanistan (see following map).





Before addressing the main socio-demographic characteristics of the area, it is useful to explain its administrative status. A "district" is a territorial subdivision used by the Afghan national government. The status of a district is defined in the Afghan constitution of 2004<sup>2</sup>. In Afghanistan, there are 32 provinces (wolayat). Each province contains between a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 27 districts. There are approximately 355 districts (uluswali) in Afghanistan.

The country's regions, provinces, and districts were headed by governor-generals, governors, commissioners and district governors. The country's districts became its key administrative unit. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>UNICEF (1990) "Strategy for improved nutrition of children and women in developing countries", A UNICEF Policy Review. New York, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Article 3, Chapter 8 of the 2004 Constitution specifies that a provincial council is to be formed in every province, with elected members, and Article 5, Chapter 8 *specifies that district and village councils are to be elected*.

2003, the National Solidarity Program (NSP) dedicated a development fund to electing community development committees (CDCs) in each village of Afghanistan.

Since January 2006, each CDC has the responsibility to implement the Provincial Development Plan, through the government departments. At the community and village level there are 424 Community Development Councils and Committees (CDCs) in the Samangan province to implement the development plans at the district and village level. In each village, the CDC has the basic tasks of creating infrastructure facilities of water supply and sanitation, energy, transport and communications to further economic growth with the involvement of the private sector.

#### MAP 2: Districts in Samangan Province



Like the other six districts of Samangan province, the Dari Suf Bala district has a local government, and in each of its 146 villages there is a committee and its elected members. Initially Dare-Suf was one district but it has been divided into two districts: Dari Suf Bala and Dari Suf Payeen. The administrative boundaries match the ethnical divisions: *Dari Suf Bala is exclusively Hazara*, while Dari Suf Payeen is Tajik and Uzbek (North-Eastern villages).

The two districts were separated after the fall of Taliban regime in order to offer local governance to the different communities and to overcome underlying tensions between Sunni (Tajik, Uzbek) and Shia (Hazara) communities. The Hazara of Dari Suf Bala and the Tajik of Dari Suf Payeen both have a fierce hostility towards Taliban groups. This is less true in some Uzbek villages prone to Taliban infiltration or development by local commanders, like in Gola (South West Dari Suf Payeen) and in the Jamalak valley (East Dari Suf Payeen)<sup>3</sup>.

With Afghanistan's political reconstruction in the 2000s, the administrative division of the district, and the establishment of elected representatives from villages (CDCs) is relevant to the data collection of Link NCA. Firstly, the conceptual approach of Link NCA is to go beyond a generic method on the causes of malnutrition and involves the environmental conditions (political, climatic, social, health-related, and cultural) in a given territory. Secondly, from a methodological viewpoint, this partition by villages can easily be adopted for performing data collection according to ENA<sup>4</sup> methodology; via the sample

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In the preparatory phase (February to April 2015) of the Link NCA, the district of Dari Suf Payeen had been selected, but because of significant security problems, it was considered preferable to conduct the study in the district of Dari Suf Bala. <sup>4</sup>ENA: Software for Emergency Nutrition Assessment

number of children and households in a given number of villages since they provide by definition an excellent representation of the local context.

In addition, the community level data collection like the "village" with an elected Committee council enriches the qualitative enquiry. Indeed, the "village" may be defined for example as far from the main Rural Municipality, as deserted, economically dynamic or inert, with a good or a bad school, etc. This will be in fact a description of the history of the village which will include, , its own internal conflicts. Albeit consistent with the conditions of all other Afghan districts, the Dari Suf Bala district also has very distinctive characteristics since its population is exclusively of Hazara origin and shares a socio-cultural heritage over many centuries. In the next section we will see in more detail the reasons for conducting a Link NCA study in this particular district.

 Under-nutrition causality: Qualitative inquiry, Risk factors Survey, and Smart Nutrition Survey in Dari Suf Bala District, Samangan Province, Afghanistan

Three types of surveys exist in the Link NCA repertory (see following table).

L.Four communities in a	2. Field study in local	3. Field study in a local context to
ocal context	context	estimate the prevalence of under nutrition
Qualitativo opquiny (4	Qualitative enquiny (A	Qualitative enquiny (4 communities)
Qualitative enquiry (4		Ride Free Construction Construction Construction
communities)	communities)	Risk Factor Survey (400-600 Hon, 600-800
	Risk Factor Survey (400-600 Hoh,	children<5)
	600-800 children < 5)	SMART Nutrition Survey (anthropometric
		measurements only)
	Team for field Survey: 15	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		Team for field Survey: 20

## Table 1. Type of Link NCA Survey

All three stages above require a preparatory phase and a phase in which the hypotheses will be identified to study in the field. For the investigation of types 2 and 3, the preparatory phase is denser since the relevance of each of the identified risk factors is to be shown by an additional secondary data analysis. These fieldwork preparations are completed by training sessions for researchers on the data collection methodology of anthropometric measurements among children less than five years old. Type 3 combines cross-sectional surveys (SMART Nutrition survey and Risk Factor Survey) with a qualitative enquiry to estimate the prevalence of under-nutrition and hypothesized risk factors. The inclusion of a Smart Survey (see template 3) enriches the congruence of the causal schema of malnutrition in a local context.

With a SMART Survey, the Link NCA (type 3) process becomes more difficult during data collection. Its inclusion requires substantial additional human and logistical resources. The implementation of the type 3 Link NCA also requires that conditions related to data collection in the field be favorable and that teams of researchers be sufficiently experienced for administering household questionnaires involving mothers and children aged less than five years.

The ACF-Afghanistan office opted for the type 3 model in the DSB district. In retrospect, that choice, albeit judicious, put the Smart Survey in the center of the Link NCA process to the detriment of the qualitative survey and the risk factors questionnaires.

The content of the risk factor questionnaires had to be reduced due to the low level of qualification of researchers recruited. It should be noted that in Afghanistan, the rural youth with an appropriate educational profile to fill a data collection position are rare. To reduce the impact of this constraint, the effort during the training period of the investigators was focused on the quality of anthropometric measures.

The qualitative survey collected data in a single village in the district, while it takes four villages according to standard methodology. This is a clear consequence of the field conditions, which were unfavorable to the full implementation of the qualitative survey. The main limitations of the Link NCA (type 3) in DSB are discussed in the next section.

 Participatory study: Experts and participants of the Link NCA Survey in DSB and ACF-Afghanistan

The Link NCA Survey "offers an opportunity to participants - key informants, technical experts as well as a range of other individuals from local communities- to express their opinions and perceptions of the causes of under-nutrition. Participants are given the opportunity to discuss, review and finally validate the conclusions of the study. The places value on 'perceived causes' are as well as on 'evidence-based causes' for the various perspectives that they provide". (Link NCA Overview, ACF, p.6, 2015).

It is important to emphasize here that this study was possible because of the operational role of ACF in local communities, as well as at the regional and the national level. ACF has been operating in Afghanistan since 1979, alternating with in-country presence and temporary withdrawal due to insecurity (1997). Since 2011, ACF has been working in Samangan province, in the districts of Dari Suf Bala and Dari Suf Payeen, implementing Food Security and Livelihoods (gardening activities), and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene projects (access to safe water), with the aim of tackling the underlying causes of malnutrition. In 2014, ACF was operating in five provinces (Kabul, Ghor, Daykundi, Samangan, Balkh) with integrated Nutrition, FSL, WASH, and Mental Health and Care Practices projects. It is in this favorable operational context, that the Link NCA analyst was able to carryout carry out a more exhaustive review of the literature in order to establish a register of available documents. An analysis of these documents was produced for each of the risk factors in the sectors involved (food security, Wash, and child care practices). This study identifies a list of hypotheses about the causes of malnutrition in the district of DSB. These are discussed at the national workshop with the field teams (see Figure 1). The workshop with the partners took place on February 18, 2015 in Kabul. In the next chapter we will show the hypotheses discussed, validated and added in the context of the DSB district by the partners during this workshop.

#### Figure 1. Identifying and Discussing Hypothesized Risk factors and Pathways in DSB



The inclusion of the participants in the Link NCA process is demonstrated in the field with the implementation of the qualitative survey. With different data collection techniques (individual interviews, focus groups), participants followed-up meetings in the framework, described and explored all the "intricacies" of the causes of malnutrition in children under five. The aim was to highlight through their experiences as actors (keys informants community leaders, parents), what they saw in their environment as causes and consequences of malnutrition. The themes were identical to those of the quantitative survey, but they were addressed via oral dialogue and – in the case of focus groups – a final vote produced with a list of risk factors in their local context.

The DSB district is largely rural. In the Afghan rural population, it is useful to know that mothers and fathers have roles clearly enshrined in the organizational tradition of the house, farm work and their respective parenthood. For example, wives do not work outside the domestic sphere. Their husbands manage all economic issues but the education of children is exclusively undertaken by the mothers. Without going into details, the afghan rural family is patriarchal. Within the Link NCA methodology, we were able to explore this cultural dimension by offering fathers in local communities to participate fully and comprehensively in a set of focus groups about their perceptions of the causes of malnutrition among children younger than 5. The qualitative survey was held in the District of Dari Suf Bala during the period from 27<sup>th</sup> April to 31<sup>th</sup> May 2015.

Beyond these specific features, the narrative content of the participants about their perceptions of the causes of malnutrition echoes the results of the household survey in the district. When a first analysis of the results was available, a restitution of all the results of the qualitative and quantitative survey was scheduled in one of the villages selected according to the Link NCA methodology (see Figure2).



## Part I. The Link NCA Process in DSB, Samangan Province

## 1. Why Conduct a Link NCA in the Dari Suf Bala district?

A Link NCA is a unique assessment method<sup>5</sup> because it specifically addresses the causes of malnutrition via the prevalence of selected risk factors, qualitative data and literature reviews.

In general, it is through the operational ACF teams involved in a local context that begins a first reflection on the pertinence of implementing a Link NCA Survey. For example, if the key objective of the programs in food security sectors, nutrition, hygiene interventions is to reduce the prevalence of malnutrition among children under 5 years old, a Link NCA survey can be used to support future programs on the principal outcomes expected by sector or on activities by sector.

The presence of ACF its partners may be necessary to carry out Link NCA, but it is not sufficient. A Link NCA is only relevant when particular operational actors have both adequate control over the effects of previous programs and the ability to influence future programs on the specific causes of malnutrition in children under five. It should be noted that Link NCA is most relevant when, operational actors have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SQUAEC (Semi-Quantitative Evaluation of Access and Coverage), HEA (Household economy approach), KAP (Knowledge Attitude and Practices survey).

mastered the positive and negative effects of earlier programs, and when these actors can include in future programs activities on the specific causes of malnutrition.

The type of Link NCA to execute depends on several factors in the local context: the quality and quantity of information on the local context, how ACF programs are accepted by the population and its representatives, the directory assessments ACF programs and other NGOs present in the given context, the nature of the structural and cyclical challenges on reducing malnutrition stemming from the recommendations of various studies and evaluations, as well as the national analyses of the prevalence of malnutrition and the positioning of the local context within the larger framework of other districts and provinces.<sup>6</sup>.

In the Afghan context, access to populations for research purposes remains a serious problem. This is true for the Samangan province and its districts. There are two reasons for this regarding the country as a whole, i.e. the frequent threats of armed attacks and the continental climate which reduces access to roads in spring because of floods and in winter because of snowfall. The operational teams are accordingly exposed to difficult and dangerous field conditions.

These constraints cause a direct impact not only on the activities of NGO programs but also on data collection activities, including qualitative surveys. In 2009, BASICS<sup>7</sup> published a literature review on this subject<sup>8</sup>. It was found that in Afghanistan, there were very few qualitative surveys *"It should be noted, however, that the qualitative research are rather limited in scope and coverage"* and on the other hand, that if qualitative surveys were to be conducted, it would be relevant that the issues discussed with the population be about their perception of malnutrition *"What is mostly lacking is information on people's perceptions leading to harmful practices and not seeking health care"*.

In this perspective, the originality of the methodology Link NCA which is that it is founded on the collection of qualitative data on the perception of the causes of malnutrition by participants (key informants, community leaders, mothers and fathers).Other methodological prerequisites are necessary however for the implementation of a qualitative Link NCA survey on participants' perceptions of the causes of malnutrition. As we noted earlier, a Link NCA (1-2-3) requires the development of a framework of causal hypotheses that allows local perceptions to be targeted by the survey.

## **1.1.** Contextual Information of Dari Suf Area (Dari Suf Bala and Dari Suf Payeen Districts)

In 2007, UNDP provides a picture of economic activity in Dari Suf Bala District. "DSB suffers from poverty and a very weak economy due to low level of social services, low level of agricultural and livestock production and lack of access to basic infrastructure. Dara Suf Bala is an agricultural district majority of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>"Assuming that there is sufficient justification for a study and the decision to conduct it is made, these experts will then determine other key parameters such as the specific objectives, geographic coverage and feasibility of carrying out the study. At this stage they will also determine whether the study should include a SMART nutrition survey and a Risk Factor survey or rely on secondary and qualitative data. For this, they have to estimate if information on under-nutrition prevalence and the magnitude and severity of key risk factors is available and sufficient for their purposes" Overview Link NCA, ACF, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> BASICS worked with USAID to help country governments ensure the widest-possible access to quality health and nutrition services for newborns and children.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>BASICS-USAID: <u>Desk Review of Child Survival Qualitative Researches in Afghanistan</u>, 2009.

the people are busy in agricultural. They suffer from poverty and have a very weak economy due to lack of agricultural mechanization equipment, power systems and factories. Also lack of potable water, destruction of bridges, culverts and roads is another problem of this district" (Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, Ministry of Rural Rehabilitation and Development, National Area Based Development Programme, UNDP, 2007).

Table 2. General information for DSB

General Information						
Population (CSO 2003)	52473 People					
Area (AIMS)	2911 sq. km.					
Number of villages	146 Villages					
Average land ownership	3 jeribs per family					
Ethnic diversity	99% Hazara					
Sectoral Inform	nation					
Education:						
Number of primary schools	29 Primary School					
Number of secondary schools	2 Secondary Schools for boys, 1					
	school for girls					
Number of high schools	1 High School for girls and 1 boys					
Health:						
Number of health centers/basic clinics	4 health centers, 4 health posts and 2					
	drugstores					
Access to basic healthcare services	70%					
Infrastructure and natural resources:						
Access to safe drinking water	10%					
Access to public/private electricity	5%					
Economic Governance and private						
sector development:						
Agricultural main products	Wheat, Barley, Pease, Maize and					
	Potato					
Main handicrafts	Rug weaving, Glim Weaving, (local					
	carpet) and tailoring,					

**Source**: Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, Ministry of Rural Rehabilitation and Development, National Area Based Development Programme, UNDP, 2007

As noted earlier, the ACF programs on FSL and WASH were implemented in the district of DSB and the DSP in the years 2011- 2014. In November 2013, an internal report foundthat ACF in these districts "has good acceptance in both community of Dare suf bala and Dare suf payan and in all over the districts of Samangan (Ayback, Feroz Nakhsher, Hazrati Sultan and Ruy Doab districts) where implement projects and authority because ACF could to keep his independency, transparency and follow humanitarian principles (Dare Suf cultural social analysis and impact to ACF program, ACF).

This prerequisite is very important in a qualitative survey of perceptions. In order to conduct a qualitative Link NCA, respondents should feel confident and also willing to give their time to come to individual and group meetings sessions. When conducting a qualitative survey in a local context, it is necessary according to the guidelines to provide adequate time; for example, in each of the villages visited (4), we allowed six days for meeting our participants.

This favorable assessment of ACF by the population played a significant part in our decision to hold a Link NCA in the District of DSB. This has led us to a secondary data collection on food vulnerability, health vulnerabilities and nutritional vulnerabilities of populations in the District of DSB. An inventory constituted by twenty reports (between the years 2011 and 2014) draws a dark image of the living conditions of the population of the DSB district.

In December 2014, an ACF<sup>9</sup> report presented a statistical description of Food Security, and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene according to the latest national surveys and the results from ACF assessment for the province of Samangan.

Food security, Livelihoods	Water Sanitation and Hygiene			
National Surveys: 19.7% of Samangan population is food insecure. (SFSA, July 2014)	<b>National Surveys</b> : 20% of the population has access to safe water (NRVA, 2012). Compounded with very low			
High exposure to natural disasters adds to structural vulnerabilities and forms one of the main driving factors of food insecurity in the area	sanitation coverage (10.6%), poor access to drinking water is associated with high prevalence of water borne diseases.			
	According to NNS in 2013, 48.5% of children under the age of 5 had episodes of diarrhea in the 15 days preceding the survey (i.e. one of the highest incidences nationwide)			
ACF assessment in Samangan	ACF assessment in Samangan			
The Food Consumption Score of respondents was found rather poor, with the majority of respondents falling into the "borderline" category (41%).	Amongst the 112 water points assessed, 38% were found contaminated with coli and 71% had a turbidity above 5 NTU. PH and conductivity were found within standards. The majority of water points was found in a poor condition, either damaged or destroyed (59%), inducing high risks of contamination.			
	43% of children under the age of five reportedly had diarrhea during the recall period (last 2 weeks). This relatively high incidence of diarrhea was found during the dry season, when prevalence is normally lower. The prevalence of diarrhea was found to be higher when children are drinking non-safe water: 59% of children U5 affected by diarrhea are drinking water from unprotected sources (rivers, channels, dams, unprotected shallow wells, kandas).			

#### **Table 3.** Food Security, Water, Sanitation and Hygiene in The Province of Samangan

Two years earlier in 2012, an exhaustive ACF report<sup>10</sup> concluded that according to the IPC indicator<sup>11</sup> (Integrated Food Security Phase Classification) in the two districts – Dari Suf Bala, and Dari Suf Payen, about 15% of the surveyed population is in "crisis" and 25% is "stressed"(see table below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Food Security, Livelihoods and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Evaluation, Samangan Province, ACF, December 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Food Security & Livelihoods assessment report – 2013, Samangan province - Dara-e Suf Bala & Payeen districts

	Phase classification	Fo	od access / availability outcomes	Afghanistan	Samangan province	Dara-e Suf dry areas
Food security and livelihoods (FSL)		oods	Care practices and Mental Health (CPMH)	Healt	h	Unhealth environme

#### Table 4. Food Access/ Availability Outcomes in Samangan Province and Dara Suf Area

IPC IPC		IPC	IPC ACF		IPC 2012	ACF 2012
Ι	Minimal	> 2100 kcal/day w	vithout distress strategies	76.4 %	72 %	60%
II	Stressed	Borderline 2100 kcal	> 2100 kcal/day with debts or assets stripping	19.5 %	23%	25%
III	Crisis	2100 kcal/day via assets stripping	< 2100 kcal/day without assets stripping	4.2 %	5 %	15%
IV	Emergency			0 %	0 %	0%
V	Famine			0 %	0 %	0%

Source: Food Security & Livelihoods assessment – ACF-2013, Samangan province, Afghanistan p. 118

These recent analyses (2012-2014) at the provincial level in both districts show that approximately 40% of the population is vulnerable. Despite establishing a high prevalence of food and health vulnerabilities, we do not have a detailed picture of vulnerable groups experiencing both food and health vulnerability in the DSB district.

Moreover, given that food insecurity is high in the district, it is difficult be sure that programs targeting food insecurity in general would reduce the high prevalence of malnutrition in children. The link between food insecurity and child under-nutrition is not particularly well researched<sup>12</sup>. In the eventuality of future interventions for improving security and reducing under-nutrition of vulnerable groups in the district DSB, program designers need to further reflect on the inclusion of all research areas such as FSL, CPMH, Health, Unhealthy environment as shown in the table below.

**Table 5**. Concepts and their risk factors, Link NCA indicators-ACF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>"The IPC relies on a bunch of indicators (food access / availability, water access, acute malnutrition, crude mortality rates, coping etc.) which are weighed up with flexibility (no absolute threshold). The following uses only the food access and availability outcomes, interpreted as the household's capacity and means to provide each family member with 2,100 kcal per day." In Food Security & Livelihoods assessment report – 2013, Samangan province - Dara-e Suf Bala & Payeen districts, p. 117. <sup>12</sup> Link NCA, Pathways module, p. 10 ACF. 2015.

Food availability Food access Food utilization	Breastfeeding and infant feeding practices Care for women	Health status Health services access Health services	Water quality Water quantity
Food intake	Psychosocial care	utilization	Water access
Stability	Food preparation Hygiene practices Home health practices	Health services quality	Sanitation Hygiene

Source: Link NCA Indicators-p. 28. ACF. 2015

In this context, a Link NCA type 2 (both qualitative and quantitative survey) seems highly relevant because it considers all four research areas and all corresponding factors (see Table5), thus allowing to identify in relative terms the extent of vulnerabilities in relation to each other. A survey taking into account these risk factors also facilitates the conceptualization of future programs in the DSB district; such programs aiming to provide a more "integrative" response for the reduction of malnutrition in children.

Another source of information that was prepared by ACF operational actors and partners was the seasonal calendar for the District of DSB.<sup>13</sup>This collection of data is a set of components relating to different research areas (FSL, CMPH, Health, Unhealthy environment) which help to better understand seasonal variability. The establishment of a seasonal calendar is important for carrying out a Link NCA because taking into account the seasonality allows a measurement of the impact of the temporality of certain phenomena such as high prevalence of waterborne diseases, difficulties of access to care during rainy or winter periods, or the impact of farm work in maternal care practices etc.

The seasonal calendar would prove to be of great use during individual and group meetings with qualitative survey participants in the district of DSB<sup>14</sup>. It was necessary to include a SMART survey (NCA type 3). To do this, it is necessary to have the recent results of the major national and provincial surveys on the prevalence of malnutrition among children under the age of five.

## **1.2.** Prevalence of Acute Malnutrition - Wasting- in the Samangan Province

At the national level in **2013**, the GAM- (prevalence of Global malnutrition) (WHZ < -2 z-score) was 9.5% (95% CI 8.73-10.4) compared to 8.7% in 2004 (NNS). For the province of Samangan it has decreased during the same period as it was estimated at 7.9% in **2013** and 10% in **2004** (NNS). In 2011,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Findings of a Consultative Workshop on Seasonal Livelihood Programming in the Northern Region of Afghanistan (covering mixed crop farmers - Samangan, Sari-Pul and Balkh Provinces), ACF, January 2013. "The main objective of the workshop : To build seasonal calendars for typical and bad years by participants discussing, identifying, and agreeing on periods of particular livelihood patterns and issues – e.g. seasonal migration / gender roles / peaks of health and malnutrition / water and pasture availability / livestock and agricultural production / market availability and prices / household income and expenditures / labour demand and availability / food availability and stresses / and perceived periods of better and difficult times for men and women, etc.: seasonal migration / gender roles / household income and pasture availability / livestock and agricultural product on / market of household income and pasture availability / livestock and agricultural product on / market of household income and pasture availability / livestock and agricultural product on / market of household income and pasture availability / livestock and agricultural product on / market of household income and expenditures / labour demand and availability and prices / household income and expenditures / labour demand and availability and prices / household income and expenditures / labour demand and availability and prices / household income and expenditures / labour demand and availability and prices / household income and expenditures / labour demand and availability food availability and prices of better and difficult times for men and women, etc".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>NCA Guidelines "A list of the key factors that emerged during the discussion and use this list as the basis for developing a nutrition risk factor calendar The calendar will be used to describe how those factors may or may not change seasonally" p.78.

according to ACF, the GAM was 7.8% <sup>15</sup>(6 districts including DSB). Samangan province recorded acceptable standards according to WHO. This rate is well inferior to the alarm threshold of 15% determined by the WHO Expert Committee classification for wasting (WHO 1995: percentage of children with weight-for-height <-2 z-score >= 15% is critical).

What has been very alarming in Afghanistan, and consequently for the province of Samangan is the rate of severe acute malnutrition (SAM)<sup>16</sup>. In 2013, at the national level, the SAM was 4.0% and 4.4% for the province of Samangan. This is a very high level by international standards as the map below illustrates.





Source: UNICEF, Prevalence of wasting, NNS, 2013

In 2011, the Smart UNICEF-ACF survey for the 6 districts of Samangan province measured a rate of 1%. This significant difference in percentage could be attributed to the food crisis in 2012 and 2013.

## **1.3.** Prevalence of Chronic Malnutrition –Stunting-

In the Samangan province, a rate of 60.5% was found in NNS 2004, and 47.1% in 2013. These rates exceed international thresholds, and are considered "high".

For Afghanistan, comparing the current nutrition situation with the previous NNS of 2004, there was an apparent reduction in stunting. Stunting in children 0-59 months old decreased from 60.5% as reported in NNS 2004 to 40.5% in NNS 2013. In the 2011 ACF report, *"The rate of chronic malnutrition in Afghanistan has always remained a critical situation due to the fact that Afghanistan has been facing critical conditions for long time including war, drought and natural calamities"* (p.37). According to ACF, the prevalence of stunting was 54.7% in 2011 for 6 districts in Samangan province including DSB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Anthropometric nutrition survey and Infant and Young Child Feeding Study, Preliminary results Dare sof payen, Dare sof bala, Aybak, Roye doab and Hazrat e sultan - Samangan province – October 2011 – Afghanistan.

Table 6.	The	Prevalence	of	Stuntina	in	6	Districts	in	Samangan Province	е
						_				_

Province	Surveyed districts	Period	Stunting -2 Z- score	95% CI	NGO
Samangan province (N=508)	Dara-I-Sufi Payin, <b>Dara-I-Sufi Bala</b> , Ruyi Du Ab, Ayback and Hazrati Sultan	October 2011	54.7 %	(46.4 - 62.8 95% C.I.)	ACF

Source: Anthropometric nutrition survey and Infant and Young Child Feeding Study, Preliminary results Dare sof Payen, Dare sof Bala, Aybak, Roye doab, and Hazrat e sultan - Samangan province – October 2011 – Afghanistan

At the same time, it is the prevalence of both acute and chronic malnutrition that is proving very alarming for the province of Samangan.

For some districts (6), including DSB District, according to the ACF inquiry there is a chronic prevalence rate that is more menacing than the acute malnutrition prevalence rate. Given these findings, both prevalence rates must therefore be taken into account in the framework of a Link NCA that includes a SMART. By focusing the analysis on the causes of malnutrition in the DSBdistrict, a collection of data on prevalence will give insights into risk factors and areas (FSL, WASH, CPMH, etc.)

Let us add that the inclusion of a SMART in this district will also allow operational programs to be more consistent while trying to solve the problem of malnutrition among children under five. The results of a SMART may indicate paths for investigations such as SQUEAC, which could be very useful for conceptualizing activities for the integration and health management prevention of malnutrition.

## **1.4.** Objectives of Link NCA Survey in DSB

The main objective of this Link NCA is to identify the main causes of *chronic (stunting) and acute (wasting)* malnutrition more specifically in the district of Dari Suf Bala, thus allowing for greater clarity regarding the possible causes of under-nutrition of children aged 0-59 months.

Specific study objectives of Link Survey in DSB:

<sup>a</sup> Estimate the prevalence and severity of wasting and/or stunting in the district of DSB

Link NCA SMART Survey in DSB

Identifying Hypothesized Risk factors and pathways within a given context and within a given period

The NCA-ACF team aimed to identify a preliminary, hypothesized set of risk factors and pathways that may explain the under-nutrition situation in DSB district. This is done through a systematic literature review and locally available grey literature. The hypotheses are reviewed, discussed, and honed during a technical experts workshop held at national level.

<sup>a</sup> Investigating on the community level survey in DSB

Link NCA	Quantitative survey: Household survey in Risk factors
	Qualitative survey: Perceptions on under-nutrition in communities
	(villages)

<sup>a</sup> Synthesizing results and building a technical consensus

On the data collection will be complete, "a synthesis of the data will use this evidence to rate the risk factors based on their relative contribution to under-nutrition and to qualitatively describe the dynamic interrelationships among the risk factors and under-nutrition outcomes... During a final workshop, after the presentation of the results, to the technical experts in participatory process are asked to provide confidence notes on each result of the Link NCA which indicate to which consensus has been achieved and document any remaining disagreement" (Overview Link NCA, p.11)

<sup>a</sup> Giving recommendations based on the causal analyst process for improving Nutrition security programming

## 2. NCA Survey in DSB

The estimated length of a Link NCA (type 3) may vary between 4 and 5 months. During this period, the NCA process is taking place with a preparatory phase estimated at 8 weeks of work aimed primarily conceptualizing the causes of malnutrition in the local context, and secondly the development of field preparations for the three surveys (SMART survey, Qualitative survey, Quantitative survey). For the quantitative analysis, survey teams visit villages sampled during 4-5 weeks. For the qualitative part, the estimated duration is a week per village. Finally, it takes two to three weeks to analyze the data, a week for local restitution and to hold the final workshop and three to four weeks to write the final report.

, The preparatory period has been longer than expected for the Link NCA in the District of DSB beging in mid-January and continuing until 27 Apr. 2015. The field survey timetablehas been respected as the data collection was held from 27 April to May 31 2015. The qualitative data collection remains partial since a single village was visited during this period. The quantitative and qualitative data analysis was conducted over the last two weeks of June. The SMART report was submitted on July 16<sup>th</sup> 2015. Taking into account Ramadan and the availability of NCA human resources, restitution in a village of DSB and the last workshop with technical experts were postponed to the last week of September 2015.

In order to conduct the Link NCA survey, a team was formed and the NCA analyst took up her duties in January 2015 to incorporate the preparatory phase. In mid-February 2015 the first technical expert workshop was held in Kabul. In March 2015, the SMART program manager started training field investigators (28), finalizing the household questionnaire and sampling the selected villages. In early April 2015, everything stopped for security reasons two days after the establishment of the field survey process in DSP and it was only possible to resume data collection activities as of April 27, 2015. In the previous section, a context assessment of the DSB District outlined the reasons for holding a Link NCA.

This assessment must also be refined for a more accurate description of the situation in the district. This is the first step in producing hypotheses on the risk factors and pathways.

#### Box 1. Definition of Hypothesized Risk Factor- NCA Guidelines ACF

A **hypothesized risk factor** refers to a specific risk factor from the UNICEF causal framework that is believed to relate to under-nutrition in the NCA context. Risk factors defined by the community that do not appear in the UNICEF causal framework may also be potential "hypothesized risk factors".

According to the Link NCA methodology, inventory recent data is assessed, in particular with regard to the availability of measurements calculated using the standard indicators of the three sectors. 27 core indicators identify 18 risk factors in four conceptual environments (see table below).

CONCEPT RELATED	SPECIFIC RISK FACTOR RELATED	CORE INDICATOR		
1-FOOD AND SECURITY LIVELIHOODS FSL	1- HOUSEHOLD FOOD ACCESS AND INTAKE	1-HDDS (HOUSEHOLD DIETARY DIVERSITY SCORE) 2-HFIAS (HOUSEHOLD FOOD INSECURITY ACCESS SCALE)		
FOOD ACCESS	2- FOOD ACCESS INSTABILITY			
2-CARE PRACTICES AND				
MENTAL HEALTH CPMH				
INFANT AND YOUNG CHILD				
FEEDING PRACTICES IYCF	<ul><li>3- INITIATION OF BREASTFEEDING</li><li>4- BREASTFEEDING PRACTICES</li></ul>	4-EARLY INITIATION OF BREASTFEEDING		
		5- EXCLUSIVE BREASTFEEDING UNDER 6MONTHS 6- CONTINUED BREASTFEEDING AT 1 YEAR		
	5- COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING PRACTICES	7-INTRODUCTION OF SOLID, SEMI-SOLID OR SOFT FOODS 8-MINIMUM DIETARY DIVERSITY OR IDDS (INDIVIDUAL DIETARY DIVERSITY SCORE) 9- MEAL FREQUENCY		
	6- RESPONSIVE FEEDING	10 REPORTED RESPONSIVE		
CARE FOR WOMEN	7- MATERNAL NUTRITIONAL			
	314103	11- MOTHER'S FOOD INTAKE EVOLUTION DURING PREGNANCY AND/OR LACTATION		
	8- CAREGIVER'S LEVEL OF EDUCATION	12-CAREGIVER'S COMPLETED YEARS OF EDUCATION		
		13 -PERCEIVED SOCIAL CAPITAL		
	9- SOCIAL CAPITAL			
	10- CAREGIVER'S WORKLOAD 11- MATERNAL WELL-BEING			
		15 -WHO5 WELL-BEING INDEX AND MDI (MAJOR DEPRESSION INVENTORY)		

#### Table 7. List of Link NCA Core Indicators and Specific Related Risk Factors

PSYCHOSOCIAL CARE		
	12- CAREGIVER-CHILD INTERACTIONS	16 -CAREGIVER-CHILD INTERACTIONS SCALE
HEALTH STATUS AND ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	13- CHILD HEALTH STATUS	17- ACUTE RESPIRATORY INFECTION IN THE PAST 14 DAYS, 18- DIARRHEA IN THE PAST 14 DAYS
	14- ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	19 - DPT3 IMMUNIZATION COVERAGE, 20 -ANC (ANTE-NATAL CARE) , 21- BARRIERS FROM GOING TO THE HEALTH CENTER
UNHEALTHY ENVIRONMENT	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
WATER	15- DRINKING WATER QUALITY	22 -ACCESS TO A SAFE WATER SOURCE 23- WATER MANAGEMENT SCORE
	16- DOMESTIC WATER SUPPLY	24 -QUANTITY OF WATER PER CAPITA PER DAY
SANITATION	17- SANITATION FACILITIES	25 -USE OF HYGIENIC AND SAFE SANITATION FACILITIES
HYGIENE	18- HYGIENE PRACTICES	26 -CAREGIVER/FOOD PREPARER APPROPRIATE HAND-WASHING PRACTICES -27- PRESENCE OF SOAP OR ASHES IN THE HOUSE

For each concept related- FSL, CPMH, Health, and Unhealthy environment with their indicators, it is a table is built detailing the latest data (i.e., Data Collected in the last two years) at the national level, provincial level and for the district of DSB. An analysis of these data is added to each hypothesis formulation relative to the concept and indicator. The collected data, and the questions and hypotheses arising in each sector are presented in the following document. It should be noted that the substantive work was discussed during the first workshop, which brought together technical experts from these sectors in Kabul in February 2015.

## 2.1. Description of Core Indicators and Hypothesized Risk Factors for Afghanistan, Samangan Province and the DSB district

**On food security and livelihoods**: according to the analysis of the available data, two main hypotheses emerged with regard to the risk factor relating to household food access and intake: *poor diet diversity and poor food availability in the household*. A third hypothesis, more specific to the socio-

economic situation of households in the district DSB, however, will be measured with the optional indicator<sup>17</sup>assets, land, livestock and poultry ownership.

7	Under	DATA		Findings	Hypothesised risk factor	
	Nutrition and					
	FSL					
1	Under-	National Level	Provincial Level	District Level	In Dari-Suf	
	nutrition	Afabasistas	C	Davi Cuf Dala	//Facel accounting data model data	
	Children	Argnanistan	Samangan	Dari Suf Bala	rood consumption data revealed that	
	under five				months of age utilized cereals, 23.1% utilized	
	years old	9.5% NINS 2013	7.9%NNS 2013		dairy product and then vitamin A rich fruits	
	Macting	5.576 1113 2015	7.5761113, 2015		and vegetables. This shows that food	
	wasting		7.8% ACF 2011	N/A	diversity is not properly maintained and	
		40.9% NNS 2013			children cannot have adequate supply of	
	Stunting				This may cause deficiency of one or more	
	Stanting		47.% NNS 2013	N/A	nutrients directly or indirectly and any	
			54.7% ACF 2011		deficiency in the particular nutrient may lead	
					to deficiency of certain other nutrients.	
					Finally this can affect the nutritional status	
					clinically" (ACF 2011, Afghanistan)	
	FCI Food				According to NRVA 2005, 25% of the	
	ACCESS				receive less than the minimum daily caloric	
			Low: 26%	Low:28.5%	intake necessary to maintain good health. In	Poor diet diversity (1)
	Household				the whole province more than three quarters	, , ,
	Dietary		Medium:78.9%	Medium:68.5%	of the population (77%) has low dietary	
	Score	N/A	High:2.5%	High: 3%	diversity and poor or very poor food	
			ACE 2014	ACE 2014	Samangan in May 2014, during the pre-	Deep food second stability
			ACF 2014	ACF 2014	harvest period, the SFSA found that 57.8% of	leading to a poor food
	Months of				respondents had an "acceptable" food	availability in the household
	Adequate				consumption score. ACF assessment,	(2)
	Household				conducted post-harvest, at a time of the year	
	Provisioning		3.25	3.3	found that only 29% of households had an	
	Trovisioning		ACF 2014	ACF 2014	"acceptable" FCS.	
					Communities' livelihoods follow a seasonal	
					pattern, whereby agriculture provides the	
		N/A			main contribution to household revenue	
					during spring (75%) and summer (67%), and	
	Optional				daily work becomes the main source of	Insufficient assets(2)
	Indicator				income during fall (63%) and winter (69%)	insumclent assets(3)
	Assets, land.				NRVA 2011-12 indicates that 36.5 %t of the	
	livestock and				Afghan population has a consumption	
	poultry				pattern that is below the poverty line.	
	ownership					

## **Table 8.** Under Nutrition and FSL: Hypothesized Risk Factor for DSB District, Samangan Province, andAfghanistan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>"We constructed this priority hierarchy to recommend the use of the core indicators in each and every NCA and the use of optional indicators according to the study objectives, resources and context" NCA Indicator Guidelines, ACF 2015.

**On care practice and mental health**: three issues are addressed, i.e. infant and young child feeding practices (IYCP), care of women and psychosocial care. For IYCP four risk factors can be measured with the help of seven core indicators. No data were available on the risk factor "Responsive feeding". The available data for the three levels (national, provincial and local) on three other risk factors were processed and led to three hypotheses.

2	Sector and Indicator	DATA			Findings	
	CPMH-Infant and					
	young child feeding					
	practices					
	Under-nutrition	National Level	Provincial Level	District Level	"it has been observed that some	
				DSB	of the mothers responded that	
	Children under five	Afghanistan	Samangan		they did not have enough breast	
	years old			N/A	milk to feed their children, which	
	Wasting	9.5% (NNS)	7.9% (NNS)- 7.8% ACF		seemingly does not seem good.	
	Stunting	40.9% (NNS)	47.% (NNS)- 54.7% (ACF)	N/A	Keeping this thing in mind the	
					certain children remain deprived	
					from proper breastfeeding and	
		60.4%	61.5%		leads to malnutrition"	
	CPMH - IYCF	(NNS_Jun-Oct 2013)	(ACF, Oct 2011)	N/A		
		(1110, 5011 0 00 2015)			89.9% of newborns start	
	Early initiation of				breastfeeding within one day	Inclassiate
	Breastfeeding		71 /%		after birth. (ININS).	inadequate
		58.4%	(ACF_Oct 2011)		"Farly introduction of solid or	Initiation of
	Exclusive breastfeeding	(NNS, 2013)	()		liquid food in the first three days	theur) (4)
	under bmonths			NA	of life was also high i.e. 34.2% of	111001) (4)
					children had solid or liauid food	
					in their early three days of life. It	
	Continued				is obvious that these children can	Low rate of
	Breastfeeding at 1 year				get infected due to poor food	Exclusive BF
	breastreeding at 1 year	64.8%	87.3%	N/A	safety and hygiene considerations	under 6 months
		(NNS, J2013)	(,ACF, Oct 2011)		of the mother, if neglected, can	(5)
					lead to several infectious diseases	
	Introduction of solid,				and indirectly deteriorates the	
	semi-solid or soft food				nutritional status."	
	Minimum dietary	41.3%	86.7%			
	diversity or IDDS	(NNS, 2013) (WFP)		N/A	"Age group of 24 to 35 month is	
	(Individual Dietary	tood groups	(ACF, Oct 2011)		greatly affected by the chronic	
	Diversity Score)				mainutrition, where the rate is	
	Percentage of				43.6% Of total surveyed for	
	children age 6-23	27.69/			chronic mainutrition. This can be	
	months who received	(NNS_lun-Oct 2013)		N1/A	attention to the sutritional care	
	4 or more food	(WFP) food aroups		N/A	from various aspects below 2	
	groups	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			years of age and the chronic	
		52.1%			malnutrition becomes very	Inadequate
	All children (are 6.22	(NNS, 2013)			obvious above 2nd year of life"	complementary
	All children (age 6-23		N/A		serious above 2 year of the	feeding practices
	months)			N/A		(6)

## **Table 9.** Under-Nutrition and CPMH –Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices for DSB District,Samangan Province and Afghanistan

Regarding care of women, five risk factors were identified using 5 core indicators. Moreover, after a literature review of qualitative studies on mental health in Afghanistan, an additional core indicator was added, referring to women's empowerment. The associated risk factor refers to maternal well-being. A hypothesis on this subject was adopted, of five total hypotheses that have emerged on this issue. For

interactions between mothers and their children, an ACF qualitative study on mental health in Afghanistan in 2012 gave sufficiently serious indications to retain a hypothesis on the quality of interactions between mothers and their children.

2	Sector and	DATA			Findings	Hypotheses
	Indicator					
	Under-nutrition	National	Provincial Level	District	The results for the malnutrition in pregnant and	Low maternal
					lactating women are not statistically validated	nutritional
		Afghanistan	Samangan	DSB	due to not following the statistical sampling	status (7)
					methodology, However it can be used as	
	MUAC (women)				indicative information of malnutrition in	
					pregnant and lactating women. The results	
			Pregnant women / Lactating women		show that higher number of pregnant and	
			Severe risk: 1.75%5.77%		lactating women is affected by moderate	
			Moderate risk: 24.5%25%	N/A	malnutrition, where it is 25% and 24% for	
			No Malnutrition:73.6%69.2%		pregnant and lactating women respectively. ACE	
	CPMH- Care of		ACF, 2011		2011	
	Women				2011	
					"Poor agriculture system and less employment	
	Caregiver's				opportunities in the region lead to poor socio	
	completed years				economic status and ultimately cause	
	of education		10%		malnutrition. Furthermore, education plays a	
		17%	MRRD 2013		great role in improving the food security and	Very low level of
	Perceived social	NRVA			fruits and vegetable arowing needs attention"	women's
	capital	2011-2012			ACF 2011	education (8)
		Oualitative			A woman must carry out her assigned	
		Research			household duties or she comes under pressure	
	Women				from the family to do so. She is under the	Weakness of
	empowerment				authority of her mother in law and her husband.	maternal social
					Complaining about this would mean	capital (9)
	WHO5 Well-				complaining about her role within the society	
	being Index and				and within her family <sup>18</sup>	
	MDI (Major					
	Depression				"All the women told us that when they	Lack of women's
	Inventory)	Qualitative			experience a conflict with their husband they	empowerment
		research		N/A	couldn't do any housework nor look after their	and conflicts in
					children. They just watch their youngest children	the family (10)
		N/A			wandering about and see that they look lost,	
	Caregiver's				asking for food and even falling ill because of the	
	perceived				absence of their mother, but the latter can't do	
	workload				anything because they are so sad." ACF 2012	
	Psychosocial				Pregnant women should cease performing	
	care: Caregiver-				heavy work during the last two months.	
	child	Qualitativo	N/A		However, workload of a pregnant woman is	Women
	scale	research			generally not reduced until the last trimester <sup>19</sup> .	workload (11)
	Scale	. coca, ch			"Mon coid that woman do not know how to do t	
					with children because they are not advect and	
					the column a warran finds to deal with the	
					the only way a woman finas to aeat with her	
					children is to beat them. For the majority of	
		Qualitative			women what their children need is food, shoes	
		research			and clothesAccording to our observations,	Weakness of
					mothers demonstrate difficulties when	

## Table 10. Under-Nutrition (women) and CPMH –Care of Women for DSB District, Samangan Province and Afghanistan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Qualitative research on household maternal and newborn care practices, RH department MoPH, UNICEF, Save the Children, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Qualitative research on household maternal and newborn care practices, RH department MoPH, UNICEF, Save the Children, 2008.

		N/A	interacting with their babies and children besides	mother- child
			the basic care that they have to give them. Few	interaction (12)
			times mothers were seen to interact with their	
			children in a kind way. Their first reaction was to	
			shout or beat them; daily care is very often given	
			in a very abrupt manner without talking to the	
			children looking at them or smiling" <sup>20</sup>	

**Health status and access to health services:** Two indicators measure the health status of children: diarrhea and acute respiratory infection. For risk factors related to access to health, there is an indicator that measures the immunization coverage of children, and two others for access to health care for pregnant women and their monitoring after birth. For this concept related domain (Health), the availability of data is quite good at the provincial level, allowing for three hypotheses. The optional indicator "Short Birth Spacing" was added, essentially because of the panorama of Afghan fertility (Afghanistan has one of the highest fertility rates in the world) and the results of various qualitative studies addressing the theme of "reproduction" (risk factor).

## **Table 11.** Health Status and Access to Health Services for DSB district, Samangan Province andAfghanistan

	Sector and	DATA			Findings	Hypotheses
	Indicator					
	Health					
3	Health status and access to health services Child Health Status Acute Respiratory Infection (ARI) in the past 14 days Diarrhea in the past 14 days Access to health services	National Level Afghanistan 8.7% (NNS, 2013) 35.5% (NNS, 2013)	Provincial Level Samangan 24.9% (NNS, 2013 48.4% (NNS, 2013	District Level DSB	In Samangan sources mentioned <sup>21</sup> different barriers to access to health services: Weak Knowledge of malnutrition and treatment services in HF by key community figures (as the mullah) and men. Weak level of The Community Health Worker (CHW) activity. Seasonal and geographical barrier (distance and cold weather): physical access is a major constraint, with 66% of the population having to travel long distances (> 10km) to reach the nearest health facility <sup>22</sup> . The financial barrier to travel to the HF and unavailability of transport. Bad experience at health center: Behavior of health facility staff and low quality level. "Compounded with very low sanitation coverage (10.6%5), poor access to drinking water is associated with high prevalence of water borne diseases. According to 2013 NNS, 48.5% of children under the age of 5 had episodes of diarrhea in the 15 days preceding the survey (i.e. one of the highest incidences nationwide). Overall Needs and Vulnerability Index Samangan 2015. Acute Diarrheal Diseases (score 4/5)" FSL, ACF 2014.	Child health status (Diarrheal and ARI diseases)(13)
	DPT3 Immunization coverage ANC (Ante- natal Care)	71% (NNS, 2013)	20.5% (NNS, 2013)		At national level, according to MICS 2012, 35% of children age 12-23 months received DPT3 immunization (based on vaccination card seen and mother's report). WHO and UNICEF coverage estimates is 71% in 2013 (national level). However the WHO and UNICEF emphasized estimates of national immunization coverage are based on data and information that are of varying, and, in some instances, unknown quality <sup>23</sup> .	Low access and quality of health services (both health and nutrition)(14)

<sup>20</sup>Mental Health and child care Practices in the Kabul informal settlements. ACF 2012.

<sup>21</sup> SQUEAC Survey, Oct- Nov 2014, ACF, interviews

- <sup>22</sup> Ministry of Rural Rehabilitation and Development, Samangan Provincial Profile, 2013
- <sup>23</sup> http://www.who.int/immunization/monitoring\_surveillance/data/afg.pdf

	attendance Four or more visits Post natal, check up not done Optional Indicator Short birth	16.4% (NNS, 2013) 55% (NNS, 2013)	58.7% (NNS, 2013)	In Samangan, most of deliveries (57%) are conducted at home. Only 42.6% of women give birth assisted by a skilled attendant and 58.7% don't have any postnatal visit. Only 26.9% have a postnatal visit within 6 hours. This low uptake rate of maternal health services are mainly due to lack of education, and poor access to health facilities. Different studies conducted in Afghanistan have highlighted the positive correlation between education level and use of health services <sup>24</sup> . And it is not in the tradition to deliver at a hospital and have pre-natal visits, only in case of difficulties <sup>25</sup> .	Maternal well- being and lack of care during pregnancy (15)
	<b>spacing</b> Reproductive and Health (Risk Factor)	Fertility		Average family in NNS sample 2013 was 7children. Women have a child every year or every two years. During discussion it appears that women are more willing to have family plan than men. They are tired of the pregnancy that they had, are overload with the number of children that they have to care and worry about their economic situation. <sup>26</sup>	Birth Spacing (16)

**Unhealthy environment**: For this concept-related sector, the available data are very good, at least with regard to core indicators at the national and provincial level. There are three hypotheses: quality of drinkable water, sanitation facilities and hygiene practices. For each of these, one can easily have the data for four core-related indicators.. A fourth hypothesis concerns climate vulnerability and its impact on the water supply at certain times of the year in the District of DSB.

## **Table 12.** Unhealthy Environment: Hypothesized Risk factor for DSB district, Samangan Province and<br/>Afghanistan

	Sector and Indicator	DATA			Findings	Hypothesis Risk Factor
4	Unhealthy environment Drinking water quality Access to a safe water source	National Level Afghanistan 62.9% (NNS,2013)	Provincial Level Samangan 32.7% (NNS,2013) 20% (NRVA 2012)	District DSB N/A	In Samangan - 95.6% of the households consider the drinking water quality and quantity as a first priority. Samangan province is one of the poorest served provinces in terms of access to safe water. Main source of drinking water in Samangan is 47.6% surface water (NNS 2013).	Lack of access to safe drinking water (17)
	Local indicator Sanitation Facilities Use of improved sanitation facilities Unhealthy environment: Hygiene practices People reportedly washing hands after	40.4% (NNS, 2013)	10.6% (NNS, 2013)	N/A	In Dari Su f Payen (and Bala) more than 80% of the populations live in a rain-fed zone with the river located in the irrigated areas being their main source of water. In 2011 <sup>27</sup> , the main challenges reported by the people in the area were the lack of rain affecting their agricultural activities and the lack of safe drinking water leading to disease and death According to NNS results (2013), 58.9% of households in Afghanistan and 89.1% in Samangan do not have access to an improved sanitation facility. As per the results of the NNS, personal hygiene practices seem to be rather good, with the vast majority (over 90%) of	Seasonality of water supply mainly in the mountain (rain fed area) (18) Poor Sanitation environment and practices (19)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> ACF Food Security, Livelihoods and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Evaluation, Samangan province, December 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Survey of Mental Health and Child Care practices in the Kabul informal settlements, G. Wrinkler Roncoroni, ACF, 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Survey of Mental Health and Child Care practices in the Kabul informal settlements (KIS), G. Wrinkler Roncoroni, ACF, Feb-May 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Drought Impact Assessment in Dare-i-Suf Payin (Dar Suf Bala), ACF 2011

defecation and before eating Presence of soap or	defecation and before eating 90% (NNS, 2013) Presence of soap or ashes in the house 45.1% (NNS, 2013)	98% (NNS, 2013)	N/A	/A people reportedly washing hands after defecation and before eating. Availability of soap is however a major limiting factor to improved hygiene practices, as only 30.8% of respondents had soap available at the hand washing facility.	Lack of adequate hygiene practices
ashes in the house		30.8% (NNS, 2013)			(20)

Thus, the data analysis covers 16 out of 18 risk factors. These risk factors were examined in the light of 27 core indicators, two optional indicators, and a local indicator. From this analysis, 20 hypotheses have emerged. Of these, 17 will be measured with the core indicators, two with optional indicators and finally one with a local indicator.

As can be observed there are very few data available in the DSB District. Nevertheless, we were able to obtain a fairly comprehensive picture of the situation in the Samangan province. Regarding the four areas of the conceptual framework addressing the causes of malnutrition, it is clear that the problem relating to care for women is less documented compared to core Link NCA indicators. Qualitative studies that address this issue offer pathways which enable us to develop hypotheses about their impact in relation to the malnutrition of children under 5 years. These hypotheses are presented in the following table according to the four areas of conceptual framework.

FSL	СМРН	Health	Unhealthy Environment
<ol> <li>Poor diet diversity</li> <li>Poor food access stability leading to poor food</li> </ol>	4. Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (< 1hour)	13. Child health status (Diarrheal and ARI diseases)	<ol> <li>Lack of access to safe drinking water</li> <li>Seasonality of water</li> </ol>
availability in the household 3. Insufficient assets	5. Low rate of Exclusive BF under 6 months 6. Inadequate complementary feeding	<ol> <li>Low access and quality of health services (both health and nutrition)</li> <li>Maternal well-being and</li> </ol>	supply mainly in the mountain (rain fed area) 19. Poor Sanitation environment and
	practices 7. Low maternal nutritional status	lack of care during pregnancy	practices 20. Lack of adequate hygiene practices
	8. Very low level of women's education 9. Weakness of maternal social capital	16. Poor birth spacing	
	10. Women's workload 11. Lack of women's empowerment and conflicts		
	in the family 12. Weakness of mother- child interaction		

#### 2.1.1. Description of Causal Pathway for DSB, Samangan Province, Afghanistan

The mechanism hypothesizing risk factors is believed to affect under-nutrition in a certain context is referred to as "*hypothesized causal pathway*". A hypothesized pathway typically connects several risk factors and represents the mechanism by which a combination of risk factors results in under-nutrition. Based on the Link NCA reference document addressing the pathways to under-nutrition<sup>28</sup>, the causal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>"The primary purpose of the module is to support the Nutrition Causal Analysis (Link NCA method) to provide a scientific basis for interpreting quantitative survey results, with the assumption that factors that have been established as "causal" through the

pathway is initially built by taking into account the identified risk factors of the causal framework of malnutrition which are used in the formulation of hypotheses for each of the four conceptual components (FSL, CPMH, Health, Unhealthy environment). Then the development of the pathway is refined by connecting the primary path with other risk factors from other conceptual components, and it is always completed by comparing the analysis of the data to the developed hypotheses.

Next we show an initial mapping of the interrelationships between risk factors identified by the data analysis. For each concept (4), we refer to the "primary pathway" and the connections to other risk factors proposed by the Link NCA. The hypotheses used in the data analysis is the heart of the process which develops the causal model of nutrition in the DSB District. Note that this is an initial development of the causal pathway that was discussed at the first workshop.

Primary Pathway of FSL<sup>29</sup> and Context of the DSB District, Samangan Province, Afghanistan



scientific literature are also likely to cause malnutrition when detected in the population studied through a Link NCA." Module Pathways Link NCA, ACF. 2015.

<sup>29</sup>"Literature reveals that the measurement of household food access and intake and child under-nutrition is complicated. A true understanding of the relationship, which is far from being proven as causal, requires us to be cautious of the reliability of the data and how it is gathered and analyzed" (Module Pathways, p.14)


Figure 5. FSL and Under-Nutrition: Links With Other Risk factors in DSB, Samangan Province

Primary Pathway of MHCP and the Context of the District DSB

Figure 6. MHCP Causal Primary Pathways Link NCA, Primary Pathway for Mortality





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>"The evidence demonstrates overwhelming support for early initiation of breastfeeding, but more research is needed that specifically considers stunting and wasting. Most studies used mortality within the first 28 days as an indicator, perhaps because it is thought that continued breastfeeding has a greater impact on anthropometric indicators". We have added this pathway due to the high prevalence of diarrhea in the region of Dari Suf. Also, because of the vulnerability on morbidity and mortality indicator in Samangan province that gives a score of 5 of 5 on this subject. NCA Pathways p.28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> "Research supports the fact that proper breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices, the maintenance of a hygienic environment and maternal education all play important roles in maximizing the impact of breastfeeding on child nutritional status" NCA Pathways, p.35



 Primary Pathways of Health and the Context of the district of DSB, Samangan Province, Afghanistan







#### Figure 13. Under-nutrition and Health: Links with other Risk factors



• Low Birth Spacing

According to the available data (qualitative surveys at national level), it is necessary to select this risk factor as a hypothesis. To demonstrate its relevance, we present the analytical framework for defining it as a risk factor. To test the validity of this hypothesis in the DSB district, special attention is given to the risk factors connected to birth spacing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>"While unknown, the proposed pathway through which poor maternal wellbeing—here understood as maternal mental health and especially depression—is sometimes theorized to affect child under-nutrition through poor or improper child-care and feeding practices... The literature reviewed here suggests that the relationship between maternal wellbeing and child nutritional status likely exists, though it appears to be largely contextual". NCA Pathways p. 80



"The WHO recommends two to three years between pregnancies to reduce infant and child mortality and also benefit maternal health (Marston, 2005). There are three major interconnected mechanisms by which birth spacing may affect nutrition outcomes. The first refers to "maternal depletion," or the fact that short intervals between births limit the amount of time that mothers can recover from the nutritional burden of pregnancy and lactating (T. J. Boerma& G. T. Bicego, 1992). Being pregnant increases energy needs by 13%, protein by 54% as well as mineral needs 0-50%. If a mother's reserves have been depleted, the succeeding child is at risk of foetal malnutrition and a compromised gestational period. However, this model of maternal depletion does not take into account breastfeeding; lactation is an even greater nutritional burden than pregnancy. The second mechanism by which birth spacing may affect child nutrition is through "sibling rivalry" young children born close together might have to compete for food, maternal care, attention or other resources. Short subsequent birth intervals prompts weaning of the first child and reduction in the volume of breast milk consumed for that child. Both factors can make the firstborn child more vulnerable to infection and nutritionally disadvantaged (J. T. Boerma & G. T. Bicego, 1992)." Link NCA Module Pathways to Undernutrition, p.93



#### Figure 15. Low Birth Spacing in DSB: Links with the Other Factors of Risk

 Primary pathways in unhealthy environment and the context of DSB district- Samangan province, Afghanistan



**Figure 17**. Basic structure of unhealthy environment <sup>33</sup> causal pathway in DSB, Samangan Province, Afghanistan



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>"The overriding theme of the literature reviewed is that water, sanitation and hygiene systems and practices are significant determinants in the health and nutritional status of children (stunting but less evidence for wasting), particularly those under 6 years of age". Link NCA Pathways to Undernutrition module, p. 132.



# 2.1.2. Description of Nutrition Vulnerable Groups in the District of DSB, Samangan Province, Afghanistan

For an overview of nutritional vulnerability in the Samangan Province and the DSB District, it is initially relevant to identify communities at risk of nutritional insecurity<sup>34</sup>.

#### Table 14. Nutrition Insecurity and Vulnerable Households and Communities in Samangan Province

Available resourcesIn Samangan province,	Adjustment to environment	Access to health services
67% of the population relies on agriculture to	In 2014, floods impacted the Samangan province	In Samangan, there are seasonal and
generate income and 26% of the population	ranking it 8th more affected province. Out of	geographical barriers (distance and
relies on daily work (including off farm and on-	34.22% of households who were not able to meet	cold weather): physical access is a
farm work), 4% on remittances and 3% on	their food energy requirements without debts in	major constraint, with 66% of the
business as main source of cash. Around 90% of	2012, the household survey points out the	population having to travel long
households depend on agriculture/ livestock.	chronically food-insecure households, those who	distances (> 10km) to reach the
	will be extremely fragile when a drought will occur.	nearest health facility.
Vulnerable groups: households relying only on		
one source of income (only agriculture).	Vulnerable groups: Communities living in rain-fed	
	areas more vulnerable to natural disasters.	
		Vulnerable groups: communities living
		in remote areas

Source: Ministry of Rural Rehabilitation and Development, Samangan Provincial Profile, 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>*"*The World Bank defines nutrition security as "the ongoing access to the basic elements of good nutrition, i.e., a balanced diet, safe environment, clean water, and adequate health care (preventive and curative) for all people, and the knowledge needed to care for and ensure a healthy and active life for all household members" (World Bank, 2013. Improving nutrition through multi sectorial approaches) In other words, nutrition security is an outcome of good health, a healthy environment, and good caring practices as well as household food security; it is achieved when all household members, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meet their dietary needs and food preferences, combined with a sanitary environment, access to clean water, adequate health services, and appropriate care and feeding practices to ensure an active and healthy life. Link NCA Guidelines, p. 153. ACF

Within such an environment where socio-economic and climatic difficulties are strong and where much of the population lives in remote areas, it was observed that according to the vulnerability scores<sup>35</sup>:

 Malnutrition is a significant risk for children aged less than five years: "In terms of mortality and morbidity however, Samangan has poor records in almost all indicators, and appears as medium/high priority. According to 2013 National Nutrition Survey (UNICEF-MoPH), prevalence of global acute malnutrition (wasting) is 7.8% (1.03-3.12) - 95% CI, just below the "critical" threshold of 10% GAM. Prevalence of stunting reaches 47.1%, which exceeds international thresholds, and is considered as "high" (Food Security, Livelihoods and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Evaluation, Samangan Province, 2014, p. 5, ACF)



 Malnutrition is an important risk for pregnant and lactating women: "In Samangan province higher numbers pregnant and lactating women is affected by moderate malnutrition, where it is 25% and 24% for pregnant and lactating women respectively. Acute malnutrition among pregnant and lactating women leads to many negative outputs such as miscarriage, low birth weight, maternal and neonatal mortality, stunted children etc. Nutritional support may prevent these negative outputs. Special attention should be paid to women when designing nutrition projects. Caring for the well being of mothers allows them to care for the well being of their children". Anthropometric Nutrition Survey, Samangan Province, ACF. 2011)

#### 2.2. Stakeholder Workshop in Afghanistan for the Link NCA Study in Samangan Province<sup>36</sup>

At the early stage of the Link NCA study, multi-disciplinary technical experts from different types of organizations are invited to attend a one-day workshop to brainstorm potential (hypothesized) undernutrition risk factors and pathways to be tested by the Link NCA team.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Humanitarian Needs Overview, Afghanistan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>In February 2015, the district Dari Suf Payen (DSP) was chosen for the Link NCA study in Afghanistan. In April 2015, due to security problems in the district DSP, the investigation was then held in the District of DSB. It has been possible to make that change, since the ACF operations were performed in these two neighboring districts in past years, and on the one hand, the collection of Link-NCA secondary data was done at three levels (national, provincial, and local) and on the other hand, government data and data from other sources (other NGOs, ACF surveys, etc.) were available mainly at the provincial level (Samangan).

Objectives:	Outputs:
To validate a set of hypotheses about the risk factors	A list of carefully formulated hypothesised risk factors
that may explain under-nutrition in the study context	and hypothesised pathways;
and the mechanisms, or pathways, through which these	
risk factors may operate by <b>reaching</b> consensus to the	Identification of nutrition vulnerable groups;
hypothesised risk factors and nutrition vulnerable	
groups will be studied through the Link NCA.	A preliminary rating of hypothesis by experts
	Source: Link NCA Guidelines, Link NCA ACF

#### Table 15. Objectives and Outputs of the Expert Workshop in Kabul

#### Table 16. Organizing the "Link NCA Technical Expert Workshop" in Kabul

Workshop Participants:	Content of workshop:
On 31 Jan. 2015, an invitation was addressed to thirty partners <sup>37</sup> to hold a workshop in Kabul on 18 Feb. 2015. On 18 Feb. 2015, 21 technical partners took part in this workshop. The UN agencies were represented by FAO technical experts (1), WFP (2), UNICEF (3) and WHO (1).There were 8 international and national NGOs, 1 representative of the agency Swedish Committee Afghanistan, and 5 technical experts from ACF.	<ol> <li>The presentation of Link NCA Methodology (Power point) and a time for questions on methodology,</li> <li>Working groups brainstorm on causes of undernutrition models.</li> <li>Multi-sectorial working groups identify vulnerable groups</li> <li>Brief presentation of findings from the secondary data review conducted by the Link NCA Analyst</li> <li>Working groups on hypothesised risk factors</li> <li>Feed-back of working groups / debate</li> <li>Consensus reached and ranking exercise</li> </ol>

Following a presentation of the methodology Link NCA, participants were divided into three subgroups<sup>38</sup>:

Food Security and Livelihoods	Health Water and Sanitation and Hygiene	Nutrition group: Health and CPMH
Participants : Samuel Hall, Helvetas, NEI, MEDAIR, ACF	Participants: UNICEF, BRAC, WHO, ACF	Participants: UNICEF, AADA, WFP, Afghanaid, Save the children, ACF

The three subgroups then have constructed a specific causal model in relation to local context in the Dari Suf area of Samangan province, on the basis of their expertise in each of these areas.

#### 2.2.1. Work Group Brainstorming on Causal Under-Nutrition Models

Each of the three groups has initiated a causal model. The FSL group highlighted the geographical, climatic and economic "constraints" of Dari Suf region to explain the vulnerability of the predominantly rural population. The Nutrition Group (Women Care practices, IYCP) adopted an approach centered on the situation of women in rural areas. Finally, the Health-WASH group has developed a model based on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>Link NCA Recommendation for the initial workshop: approximately fifteen to twenty-five participants. A larger group is difficult to shepherd through the process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> For the Link NCA in Afghanistan, sectorial groups were organized as recommended in previous version of the Link NCA methodology, the final guidelines not being realized at this time. Readers should note that the final guidelines (2015) recommend to organize multi-sectorial groups.

"weaknesses", i.e. economic problems and high exposure to climatic and geological risks of the area Dari Suf.

Food Security and Livelihoods

The basic structure of the causal model is built on two findings: economic resources (political model) are limited and the territory is weakened by its environmental conditions. These two determinants characterize the area of Dari Suf. Economic development remains minimal, which leads to low access to education. Moreover, geographical fragility exposes the population to natural disasters, poor access to markets during the seasons exposed to snow and floods and the challenge of producing good harvests with limited arable lands.





Two causal pathways stem from these two determinants: one validates the "poor diversity" risk factor and the other the risk factor "limited availability and access to food (+ stability)".



#### Figure 20: Causal Pathways for Subgroup FSL

Finally, the experts held that the "food intake" factor explains the incidence of child malnutrition.

> Water and Sanitation and Hygiene - Health

First, to address access to water, the group proposes a causal model based on the specific climatic and geological conditions of this region of Afghanistan. Participants then take into account the chronic shortage of public services due to the lack of economic activity necessary for upgrading hygiene in Afghanistan.



For WASH specialists, the three risk factors (drinking water, hygiene, sanitation) are at the origin of the high prevalence of diseases (e.g. diarrhea), a cause of child malnutrition.

#### Figure 22. Wash Group: Causal Pathway in DSB



Nutrition group

This group built a causal model with particular attention to the living conditions of Afghan women as potentially possible causes of infant malnutrition in Dari Suf. Indeed, many reports and studies have shown that it is not easy for Afghan women to have access to education, jobs and healthcare throughout Afghanistan. The base of the causal schema refers therefore to social norms and cultural traditions.





#### Figure 24. Nutrition Group: Causal Pathway of Palnutrition in DSB



Experts accordingly develop a causal schema that takes into account the specific impacts of these four risk factors, particularly on maternal practices and the well-being of mothers.

Nutrition experts have taken into account the risk factor of "low birth spacing". First, in the causal schema, it is crossed by the effect of four risk factors (low level of education of women, women's weak social status and consequent lack of access to counseling, lack of women's empowerment and decision making power, women's workload (prioritized housework over child care). When mothers are affected, the negative impacts are transferred by "inappropriate infant and child care "and "poor infant and child care practices ", which are risk factors that cause child malnutrition.



#### Figure 25. Nutrition Group: Causal Pathway for Birth Spacing in DSB

#### 2.2.2. Multi-Sectorial Groups Identify Vulnerable Groups

After examining the proposed ACF vulnerable groups, the experts were assembled in three random groups to identify vulnerable groups in the Dari Suf region. In plenary, participants clarify the selection of vulnerable people and communities in the Dari Suf region proposed by ACF (see table below).

ACE	Technical Experts
Households relying only on one source of income	Economically yulnerable households (low assets (low income
(agriculture)	diversity)
(agriculture).	diversity)
Communities living in rain-fed areas more vulnerable to	Acabovo
natural disasters	As above
	Communities living in remote areas where coverage of public
Communities living in remote areas	continuances invitig internote areas where coverage of public
	Children under the age of five (especially children under 2)
Children aged less than five years	children under the uge of five (especially children under 2)
5	
	Women of child bearing age (especially pregnant and lactating
Pregnant and lactating women	women)

Tabla	17	Discussio	n About	tho	Dofinition	of "vulr	orabla	arounc"	in I	
laple	<b>1</b> /.	DISCUSSIO	n Adout	the	Definition	of vuir	ierapie	aroups	IN I	JJR

#### 2.2.3. Consensus on the Hypotheses (Risk Factors)

13 out of the 20 hypotheses submitted by AFC met with consensus, of these, three have been revised and one was split into two, which results in 15 consensual hypotheses. Participants also produced three new hypotheses bringing the total to 18. They rejected 2 hypotheses, and have made a major modification by only keeping 1 of 3 hypotheses on women's living conditions (work, education level, and social capital). A total of 19 hypotheses were developed from discussions in the working group.

#### Table 18. Consensus on Hypothesized ACF Factors

1.	Poor diet diversity
2.	Poor food access stability leading to poor food availability in the household
3.	Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (< 1hour)
4.	Low rate of Exclusive BF under 6 months
5.	Inadequate complementary feeding practices
6.	Poor birth spacing
7.	Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy
8.	Child health status (Diarrheal and ARI diseases)
9.	Lack of access to safe drinking water
10.	Lack of adequate hygiene practices

11. Poor sanitation environment and practices

Table 19. Consensus with Revisions					
Low access and quality of health services (both health and nutrition)	Difference made between access and quality of health services => split into 2 risk factors	<ol> <li>Low access of health and nutrition services</li> <li>Low quality of health and nutrition services</li> </ol>			
Low maternal nutritional status	Low maternal nutritional status revised to low maternal health and nutritional status	14. Low maternal health and nutritional status			
Weakness of mother-child interaction	Weakness of mother and child interaction rephrased into more generic terms of poor care practices => hypothesis 15 is about "poor psychosocial care"	15. Poor care practices			

**Table 20**. Hypotheses Added

To better capture attitudes towards health services

16. Health-seeking behavior for mother and child added

To include causes, symptoms, treatment on malnutrition

17. Low awareness on nutrition

To food utilization including food hygiene, food habits and diversity and food sharing

18. Inappropriate food utilization (food hygiene, food habits, food sharing)

<b>Table 21</b> . Hypotheses with Major Substantive Corrections				
Very low level of women education	Include in Women's empowerment			
Weakness of maternal social capital	Include in Women's empowerment			
Lack of women's empowerment and	Not relevant to included conflict in	19. Lack of empowerment of		
conflicts in the family	the family	women		
Women workload	Include in Women's empowerment			

Table 22. Hypot	theses Cancelled
Seasonality of water supply mainly in the mountain	Seasonality of water supply considered as pathway to
(rain fed area)	lack of access to safe water, not risk factor per se
Insufficient assets	Insufficient assets considered as proxy indicator of poverty; considered as basic cause, hence removed

#### 2.2.4. Rating the "expert opinion"<sup>39</sup> of the Working Group Participants

The last step was for the technical experts to add and correct the validated hypotheses. , added, and corrected by technical experts. Each participant evaluated all risk factors on a scale of 1 to 5. The average score given by the experts to distinguish major contributors to undernutrition is 5. As this is an average, the selected interval is between 4 and 5. In this exercise, all 21 participants selected four major risk factors.

#### Table 23. Hypotheses Believed to be a Major Contribution to Under-Nutrition Causes

1.	Child health status (Diarrheal and ARI diseases)
2.	Low rate of Exclusive BF under 6 months
3.	Inadequate complementary feeding practices

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>"A quick rating exercise can be done to generate a source of data on 'expert opinion' that can later be compared and contrasted to community perceptions in the analysis stage. Participants should be requested to indicate which hypothesized risk factors are likely to explain more of the under-nutrition causes in the local context. Each participant should rate individually on a paper with, for each hypothesized risk factor: a score from 1 (indicating "hypothesis believed to contribute marginally to under-nutrition causes") to 5 (indicating "hypothesis believed to be a major contributor to undernutrition causes")". Link NCA Tool kit, ACF 2015.

#### 4. Low awareness on under-nutrition (causes, symptoms, treatment)

For the other 15 selected risk factors, participants gave an average score within a range varying from 3.9 to 3. They have been described as having a "significant contribution to the causes of malnutrition" in the region of Dari suf.

Table 24. Hypotheses Believed to be a Significant Contribution to Under-Nutrition Causes

<ol> <li>Low maternal health and nutritional status</li> <li>Low quality of health and nutrition services</li> <li>Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (&lt; 1hour)</li> <li>Lack of access to safe drinking water</li> <li>Health-seeking behavior for mother and child</li> <li>Low birth spacing</li> <li>Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy.</li> </ol>	1.	Low access to health and nutrition services
<ol> <li>Low quality of health and nutrition services</li> <li>Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (&lt; 1hour)</li> <li>Lack of access to safe drinking water</li> <li>Health-seeking behavior for mother and child</li> <li>Low birth spacing</li> <li>Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy.</li> </ol>	2.	Low maternal health and nutritional status
<ul> <li>4. Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (&lt; 1hour)</li> <li>5. Lack of access to safe drinking water</li> <li>6. Health-seeking behavior for mother and child</li> <li>7. Low birth spacing</li> <li>8 Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy.</li> </ul>	3.	Low quality of health and nutrition services
<ol> <li>Lack of access to safe drinking water</li> <li>Health-seeking behavior for mother and child</li> <li>Low birth spacing</li> <li>Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy.</li> </ol>	4.	Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (< 1hour)
<ol> <li>Health-seeking behavior for mother and child</li> <li>Low birth spacing</li> <li>Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy.</li> </ol>	5.	Lack of access to safe drinking water
<ol> <li>Low birth spacing</li> <li>Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy.</li> </ol>	6.	Health-seeking behavior for mother and child
8 Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy	7.	Low birth spacing
o. Material well being and lack of care during pregnancy	8.	Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy
9. Poor dietary diversity (mother and child)	9.	Poor dietary diversity (mother and child)
10. Poor food access stability leading to poor food availability	10.	Poor food access stability leading to poor food availability
11. Inappropriate food utilization (food hygiene, food habits, food sharing)	11.	Inappropriate food utilization (food hygiene, food habits, food sharing)
12. Lack of women's empowerment	12.	Lack of women's empowerment
13. Lack of adequate hygiene practices	13.	Lack of adequate hygiene practices
14. Poor sanitation environment and practices	14.	Poor sanitation environment and practices
15. Inappropriate care practices (mother and child interaction)	15.	Inappropriate care practices (mother and child interaction)

# 2.2.5. Outputs of the Workshop: A List of Hypothesized Risk Factors, and Hypothesized Pathways<sup>40</sup>: A List of Nutrition-Vulnerable Groups, and a Rating of Each Hypothesis by Experts

There are three key tables below: a list identifying hypothesized risk factors, a list of nutritionvulnerable groups, and a preliminary rating of each hypothesis by experts. Noted no hypothesis had a score under 3.

1	Poor dietary diversity (mother and child)	
2	Poor food access stability leading to poor food availability	
3	Inappropriate food utilization (food hygiene, food habits, food sharing)	
4	Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (< 1hour)	
5	Low rate of exclusive BF under 6 month	
6	Inadequate complementary feeding practices	
7	Lack of women's empowerment	
8	Inappropriate care practices (mother and child interaction)	
9	Low awareness on under-nutrition (causes, symptoms, treatment)	
10	Low access to health and nutrition services	
11	Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy	
12	Low maternal health and nutritional status	

<sup>40</sup> See Annex.

13	Low quality of health and nutrition services	
14	Health-seeking behavior for mother and child	
15	Low birth spacing	
16	Child health status (Diarrheal and ARI diseases)	
17	Lack of access to safe drinking water	
18	Lack of adequate hygiene practices	
19	Poor sanitation environment and practices	

#### Table 26. List of Nutrition-Vulnerable Groups

1	Children under the age of five (especially children under 2)			
2	Women of child bearing age (especially pregnant and lactating women)			
3	Economically vulnerable households (low assets/low income diversity)			
4	Communities living in remote areas where coverage of public services is lower			
5	Communities living in rain-fed areas more vulnerable to natural disasters			

#### Table 27. A Rating of Hypotheses Risk Factors

Rating	Hypotheses Risk factor	Score
Hypotheses	Child health status (Diarrheal and ARI diseases)	4.4
form a major	Low rate of Exclusive BF under 6 month	4.3
contribution to	Inadequate complementary feeding practices	4.3
under-nutrition causes	Low awareness on under-nutrition (causes, symptoms, treatment)	4.1
	Low access to health and nutrition services	3.9
	Low maternal health and nutritional status	3.9
	Low quality of health and nutrition services	3.8
	Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (< 1hour)	
	Lack of access to safe drinking water	3.7
Hypotheses	Health-seeking behavior for mother and child	3.7
believed to be a	Poor birth spacing	3.6
significant	Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy	3.6
under-nutrition	Poor dietary diversity (mother and child)	3.6
causes	Poor food access stability leading to poor food availability	3.5
	Inappropriate food utilization (food hygiene, food habits, food sharing)	3.3
	Lack of women empowerment	3.3
	Lack of adequate hygiene practices	3.1
	Poor Sanitation environment and practices	3.1
	Inappropriate care practices (mother and child interaction)	3.0

#### 2.3. Field Data Collection

After the workshop held in Kabul on February 18, 2015, a number of preparations for the field survey were made at the ACF office in Mazar el Sharif in the province of Balk, 130 km away from the Dari Suf ACF base in the town of Bazar Stocka in DSB district. Preparations included recruiting and training the investigators, as well as developing the household survey. In March 2015, previous construction was still ongoing at the base which had completely

stopped its activities in June 2014. This reconstruction had no impact on the progress of preparations, at least with respect to recruitment and training of investigators. It was deemed preferable to establish preparatory activities in Mazar, the crossroads of several neighboring provinces, including the province of Samangan.

It is with the ACF Mazar operational team that the logistical and human organization of the NCA survey was started. Note that the ACF staff knows the two districts of Dara Suf Payin and Dara Suf Bala, since many program managers positioned in Mazar had participated in previous WASH and FSL missions in both districts. The NCA team has been enriched by a SMART program manager, in order to support the deployment of the recruitment and training of data collectors (SMART and quantitative data collection). We will address in detail further below the points relating to the methodology (establishing sample questionnaires and conducting the field survey). Between the months of March and April, all preparations for data collection were implemented for the Link NCA in the District of Dari Suf Payin. Field

surveys started at the beginning of April, but after two days in DSP, everything stopped due to security problems and the NCA team was forced to evacuate to Mazar.

For 25 days the security situation remained to critical to consider conducting the household survey in DSP. It was clear that it would be difficult to implement the qualitative survey in such conditions. With the precipitated



return of the entire team to Mazar, it was therefore necessary to consider alternative scenarios, corresponding to all the preparatory work such as the analysis of secondary data and the technical expert's consensus process for validating hypotheses of the causal pattern of malnutrition by DSP. Supported by the ACF office in Kabul, talks were organized with the governors of the two districts. It was decided to return to the ACF base (Bazar Stocka in DSB) to carry on the NCA investigation in the district of DSB<sup>41</sup>. This change in the field survey resulted in restarting the district village selection, which required an additional work week by the NCA team.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>The DSP district had been selected since according to various recent studies associated with the ACF experience in both districts; it appeared that the population of DSP was in a less favorable economic situation that the DSB district. So it was appropriate in the first instance to select the DSP district, which could later help with the preparing the implementation of future programs.

Delays accumulated as reinforced security measures<sup>42</sup> had more of an impact on the qualitative survey data collection. In May 2015, another constraint was added: the roads were regularly flooded. The cumulative effect of these unfavorable circumstances led to an extended timeline of at least three months of intensive field work, to complete the qualitative survey in the four villages selected. In the limitations section of the survey, we will see in more detail the impact of reducing the number of villages for the qualitative data collection.

Going back to the preparations for the quantitative survey, its implementation began in March 2015. Many activities were scheduled during that month: the recruitment of field investigators, the drafting (in English and Persian-Dari) of the questionnaire for data collection, 10 days' training for data collectors (5 days for anthropometric measurements – SMART- and five days for the NCA questionnaire).

#### 2.3.1. Data Collection Methods

The quantitative and qualitative data collection in the Dari Suf Bala district was conducted between April 27 and May 31, 2015. In this section, the household questionnaire components of the collection of quantitative data and the survey methodology for the collection of qualitative data are presented.

<sup>a</sup> Sampling procedures for quantitative data collection (Smart and Risk factors survey)

One household sample was pulled taking into account the known parameters on the prevalence rate of malnutrition (GAM) in Samangan province, the NCA indicator "meal frequency" and demographic parameters (number of children under 5 years) relative to the population of DSB district (next table).

Estimated GAM	7.9%
U 5 Population	15.6%
Av. HH size	7.8%
Precision	3%
Non response	6%
Indicator "Meal	52.1%
Frequency", NNS 2013	

#### **Table 28**. Parameters for Sampling Procedures for Quantitative Data Collection

"Out of a total population of 52,446<sup>43</sup> in DSB district, only 31,934 were sampled due to constrains in access and security. This represents about 61% of the total population. All children from 0 to 59 months old in the selected households were included in the sample"<sup>44</sup> (Nutrition Causal Analysis (NCA) SMART Nutrition Survey Preliminary Report. ACD, July 2015)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>The Link NCA team whose NCA analyst and Smart program manager was to return to Mazar in an unpredicted movement planned to move week by week between Mazar and Bazar Stocka.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> CSO Population Estimates for 2014 to 2015 versus 52 273 in 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>NCA Guidelines recommend measuring all children aged 6-59 months in the household for anthropometric as well as other child-level indicators.

Sample size children	627
Sample size HHs	560*
*The final number of households to be surveyed was of 560, slightly higher than needed for the	
anthropometry. This was determined based on the Link NCA- guideline for sample calculation. The sample of	
560 households was based on the indicator "Meal frequency" -NNS National Level, 52. % for all children (age	
6-23 months).	

<sup>a</sup> Sampling Design: Two Stage Clusters Sampling<sup>45</sup>

In the DSB District, following the security problems experienced in the DSP district, it was decided to appeal to locals<sup>46</sup> to make a list of accessible and secure villages in the DSB district.

*First stage: cluster selection*: initially, according to available demographic data (population per village, number of villages) out of 106<sup>47</sup> villages (population by sex, number of households and average number of persons per household), then with members of the team, 69 villages were selected with a population of 31, 934 inhabitants for the District of DSB.

"It was a cross-sectional survey with two-stage cluster sampling following SMART methodology. The first stage involved selection of clusters<sup>48</sup>. The villages were considered as the smallest geographical unit (clusters). Emergency Nutrition Assessment (ENA) for SMART software updated version April 2015 was used for sample size calculation". (p.5) Source: Nutrition Causal Analysis (NCA) SMART Nutrition Survey Preliminary Report

The following table shows the sampled villages (31) and clusters <sup>49</sup> (35) connected there with. For some villages (4), there are two clusters. This is due to their larger sizes<sup>50</sup>. In these villages, 4 villages were taken for the collection of qualitative data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>"This is the most commonly selected sampling method and will likely be most appropriate in NCA contexts. Cluster sampling proceeds in two or more stages (which is why it is sometimes termed "two-stage cluster sampling", "three-stage cluster sampling", and so on). In a classical two-stage design, a list of clusters –the primary sampling units (PSU) -- (e.g., villages) is randomly selected from an exhaustive list of clusters existing in the area. Then, in a second stage, a certain number of secondary sampling units (e.g., households) are randomly selected from each PSU." NCA Guidelines ACF. 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>The local people solicited for this exercise were members of the team of investigators recruited as an enumerator, or team leader or supervisor residing in the District of DSB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>According to official data from 2003, there are 146 villages in the district of DSB. In 2015, the list obtained from the District Governor reports 136 villages (52,446 inhab.). Also, on 136 villages, 30 were not accessible by road or not secure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> "Thirty clusters offer a good compromise between the need to have enough clusters to maintain a high degree of precision but not so many that the survey becomes infeasible. However, there is no definite rule and the choice of number of clusters depends on the characteristics of the study area. For example, in urban settings, there is no reason to limit the sample to the "traditional" thirty clusters since it is actually quite easy to have forty, fifty, or even sixty clusters (and fewer households in each of them) which will yield more precise estimates. In rural settings, it is often more logistically difficult to have numerous clusters but, generally speaking, one should try not to select fewer than thirty clusters. Cluster selection using ENA software is straightforward. Users are required to input the list of villages and their estimated population size and to indicate the number of households and number of clusters necessary. ENA will then randomly select the villages to survey. The SMART guidelines should be referred to for more detailed instructions". NCA Guidelines, ACF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> "The identification of clusters (from which a cluster for the survey's sample will ultimately be chosen) must be conducted so that the chance of any particular cluster being selected is proportional to the population of the section. This is called probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling. Thus, if one cluster has a population of 4,000

Cluster		Den	Cluster		Dam	Cluster		Dam
Cluster		Pop, 750	Cluster		POP,	Cluster		POP,
1	КАСНКАК	/59	13	SAR SHURAB	868	27	DAWOOD	217
2,3	SARWALANG MIANA Qualitative survey	1043	14,15	ROOM	1257	28	DARWAZA	882
4	DAHAN-E-SHORAB	353	16,17	WAY BALAQ Qualitative Survey	1723	29	DAHAN JAR DARWAZA QOURIQ	325
5	PAI KOTAL	471	18	KARDAN	189	30	RAIG YALAQ	281
6	KHOWAL CHAHARMAGHZ	722	19	HASSANI BURJ	455	31	QALAI BALA TOUR Qualitative Survey	882
7	ΤΑQCHI KHANA	717	20,21	TAGAB HASSANI	1645	32	DAHAN NAWER	113
8	QALA	753	22	BARNAGAR	584	33	BANI MANG	1119
9	СНАКАВ	263	23	TOUR	541	34	RASHK	588
10	ZERAKI GHAZNI CHAI	532	24	TA SANG	339	35	LAILIA	804
11	BAHSODI ZERAKI	714	25	SAR ASIAB	432		31 villages for 35 clusters Smart Survey, RFS 4 villages for 5 clusters, Qualitative Survey	
12	SAR KOTAL	71	26	DAHAN-E- CHANGAB	714		Smart Survey, RFS Pop. Total 26356 Qualitative Survey Pop. Total 3622	

#### **Table 29.** Clusters and Villages Selected in DSB: Risk Factor Survey, Smart Survey

Second stage selection: "households" selection for NCA SMART and Risk factors survey, and qualitative survey

For SMART Survey and RFS in the second stage of sampling, *household* was considered as the basic sampling unit. One then obtains the number of households per cluster as follows:

For the quantitative component: the estimated number of households is a number varying from 14 to 15 per cluster.

Cluster Sample size Number of househo		Sample size Number of household	Household by cluster
	35	560 (512 Smart Survey)	14-15

For the qualitative study, we include 15 households in each village<sup>51</sup>.

The map below shows that most villages are fairly far from Bazar Stocka, (ACF base). For the sampled villages, among them, 12 villages are situated an average distance of 44 kilometers from the base ACF - Bazar Stocka, 11 villages at 26 km, and 8 villages at 7.5 kilometers. In an

<sup>51</sup>We discussed in more detail our specific methodology for the qualitative survey. Note that the family (mothers and father) is the fundamental basis for the Afghan social structure.

and another 1,000, then the first cluster has four times the chance of being chosen compared to the second cluster. This is the main reason why (approximate) population data are required". NCA Guidelines, ACF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> "There are several methods of choosing the households from the cluster. The best way is to treat each cluster as if it is a "small population" and to select the houses using the simple or systematic random sampling methods described above. If the cluster is to be taken from a larger population, the first step of stage two is to subdivide the population into segments of roughly the same number of people. One of these segments is then chosen from the random number table. In this way the "village" is reduced to an area containing up to 250 households. These households are then listed, and the required households selected from the list by simple or—if they are arranged in some logical order—systematic random sampling" (pg. 56) in the SMART Guidelines (2006).

environment where security measures are heightened and roads are flooded, distances are important to the daily organization of survey teams in the villages sampled.



#### 2.3.2. Quantitative Survey (RFS & SMART)

This section describes the three parts that compose the implementation of the RFS and SMART surveys. In the first part we describe the indicators needed in order to test the hypotheses (20) developed at the workshop in Kabul. The second part describes how the paper questionnaire used for households (head of family, mother, and children) was made and the measures based on observations in different sectors (Wash, CPMH). The third section outlines issues pertaining to the organization of the survey (recruitment and training of investigators, schedule, description of teams and team training).

<sup>a</sup> Selecting indicators: using the Link NCA methodology, the selection of indicators was carried out in two stages<sup>52</sup>. In addition we also selected specific measurements including anthropometric measurements for certain groups (pregnant or lactating women, standards by age for children under five.) A brief summary of the selected indicators is presented in the following figures mixing the two steps outlined in the Link NCA methodology. The indicators have been included in the administered paper questionnaire as follows: questions for calculating scores, questions to estimate the prevalence, or to have information on the behavior of members in relation to different practices (nursery, health or other) by direct observation from households.

• Food security and livelihood: in the FSL sector, as can be seen in Figure 26, two hypotheses and four indicators were formulated for the study. These indicators were measured as score. Three are "core indicators" and one indicator belongs to the list of optional indicators.

FSL. Risk Factor and Hypotheses	Core indicator, Optional, and Local	Indicator Link NCA
Risk factor: 1. Food access and intake 1. Hypothesis: Poor dietary diversity (mother and child) Rating: 3.6	FSC , Food access Optional indicator Core indicator	1. Food consumption score 2. IDDS

#### Figure 26. Hypotheses and Indicators for FSL (RFS) in DSB

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>"The selection of indicators for the questionnaire is a two-step process. Step 1 of the indicator selection process involves selecting relevant indicators from the list of Link NCA core indicators. Step 2 of the indicator selection process involves selecting relevant indicators to measure the hypothesised risk factors identified during the workshop. The focus of Step 2 is therefore on the remaining risk factors that will be measured, if feasible, by the optional indicators. Optional indicators are distinguished from core indicators in that their relevance to undernutrition varies from context to context". NCA Guidelines ACF. 2015



• MHCP: Three themes: IYCP - Care of Women – Psycho Social Care.

Regarding *the IYCP* as shown in the following figure (Figure 27), the three hypotheses on maternal practices among children aged 0-23 months (vulnerable group) are very important, taking into account the relevant scores attributed to them during the Kabul workshop.

#### Figure 27. Hypotheses and Indicators for MHCP-IYCP in DSB



Note that the indicator "early initiation of breast feeding" refers to children born in the last 24 months.

In the second section, *Care of women*, three hypotheses were tested with the core indicators. The hypothesis on the "low birth spacing" is included in the questionnaire with an optional indicator (sibling) developed in the Link NCA guidelines (see figure 28).

In the third section, Psycho social care, (see figure 29) it is provided for investigators to observe the relationship between the mother and her children aged under 5 years when visiting the home of the child, the rating of this observation must be made at the last moment before investigators leave the household that accepted to be surveyed.

It should be reminded that out of the 19 hypotheses selected for study, it is in this sector that we find the largest number (8).



#### Figure 28. Hypotheses and Indicators for MHCP-Care of Women in DSB

#### Figure29. Hypotheses and Indicators for MHCP-Psycho Social Care in DSB

Psycho social care Risk Factor Hypotheses	Core indicator, Optional, and Local	Indicator Link NCA	
Risk factor: 5 Psycho social care 11.Hypothesis: Inappropriate care practices (mother and child interaction) Rating:3.0	MHCP, Psycho social care, Core indicator	15. Caregiver-child interactions scale Observations	

• Health: For the section on health, the assumption "Diarrheal diseases and ARI"<sup>53</sup> is clear due to its score (4.4) which ranks first at the Kabul workshop. In the second hypothesis related to access to care, we can study using three indicators. Finally, the hypothesis that addresses the poor quality of care, can be studied via the same indicators, especially via the indicator on the barriers to health care access reported by mothers, for example if for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>This indicator (diarrhea in the past 14 days) is used by WHO because of its strong link with under-nutrition, and because it is collected in a great number of types of surveys, which allows comparability with previous sets of data. NCA Indicator Guidelines, ACF 2015.

item 6t he response "the quality is not good enough" emerges as a result of the nonutilization of health centers.



#### Figure 30. Hypotheses and Indicators for Health in DSB

Unhealthy Environment

As it can be seen in Figure31, this part deals with the investigators asking questions to the head of the household, for example, regarding access to drinking water and determining the quantity required for the purposes of family. The investigators then would also need to make a careful assessment of the home environment of the respondents (water points and latrines). Finally, the survey required the respondents to show the investigator show they use soap, and also to show the amount of soap available to household members.





Risk factor: Household hygiene 18.Hypothese:Inappropriate food utilization (food hygiene, food habits, food sharing) Rating:3.3



Unhealthy environment, optional indicator Observations hygiene



Storage of cooking utensils and food leftovers WASH Human and/or animal excreta in compound/playground/surroundi ngs

Of the 19 hypotheses, 18 can be measured using indicators (core and optional). Two hypotheses, which also stemmed from the workshop, are difficult to measure with the Link NCA indicators. Nevertheless during the triangulation with data analysis of the qualitative survey, we can look more closely at what can be learned. Firstly, the hypothesis 'Low awareness on under-nutrition' (causes, symptoms, treatment) which is a strong hypothesis (rating 4.1) may pertain to the risk factor "Nutrition knowledge"<sup>54</sup>. It should be noted that the first step polarizes the qualitative survey on actor's perceptions (key informants, community leaders, fathers and mothers) and includes the definition of malnutrition that they will develop during individual interviews or focus groups. This is also the case with the hypothesis "Health-seeking behavior for mother and child" (rating 3.7) which highlights one hand maternal practices (IYCP) and, secondly, the awareness of the mothers to introduce their practical knowledge of preventive measures themselves when initiated by health professionals in health facilities. With health sector indicators, it is possible to connect the indicator "Diarrhea in the past 14 days" in focus groups with mothers that perceive this symptom compared to their experiences.

<sup>a</sup> Building the RFS Questionnaire

Considering the indicators (core and optional), the questionnaire (RFS and SMART) was developed after the Kabul workshop. On paper, it is presented in eight parts (see annex). For data collection, filling was done directly and answers noted on a paper questionnaire by data collectors:

1. Identification (with the consent form)

2. Introduction: size of the household, Child (yes/no) from 0 to 59 months present, presence of the head of household, presence of the mother or the care giver

### 3. FSL

#### 4. WASH

5. Child questionnaire: Part A- child 0-23 months, Part B- child 0-59 months

6. Main Caregiver questionnaire:

7. Water point observation

8. Hygiene and sanitation observations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>"Nutrition knowledge: this aspect seeks to provide information on the people's knowledge of nutrition issues, including malnutrition in general. It is more efficient to collect this type of information in focus group discussions, around questions on symptoms, causes and treatment practices of malnutrition" NCA Guidelines, ACF, 2015.

The filling of responses is exclusive to two respondents: the head of the family and the mother (main caregiver): For the head of household: Part 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8. (10 pages) For the mother: Part 6. (3 pages)

Of course, for children aged between 0 and 59 months, specific questions about their health status were asked to the mother. That is also when the investigators took anthropometric measurements of all children present at the time of their visit in the household: For the children: Part 5. (5 pages)

In order to identify measures for all indicators input codes were used<sup>55</sup> in relation to referring answers to scores and to various observations made in the household settings (water, sanitation, and hygiene).

The paper questionnaire was originally written in English. It was then translated into "Dari" (Persian language spoken in Afghanistan). A first version was produced by a member of the ACF Mazar team nutrition program. Subsequently, the questionnaire was improved through the experience of data collectors during the test phase. The translation of a household questionnaire is time-consuming and a first version is rarely sufficient; oral factors must also be taken into account so that respondents understand the questions well enough and data collectors do not need to reformulate them during the field survey.

<sup>a</sup> Organizing the RFS Survey

All activities relating to the organization of the study in the Dar I Suf region were held at the ACF office in Mazar whether it be recruitment and training, data collection planning or, finally, piloting the questionnaire translated into Dari.

• Length of RFS Survey

In March 2015, the first assessment of the duration concluded that for each visited cluster, 15 households would be visited. As a result it was estimated that between 15 and 16 days of fieldwork were needed, since there were at least eight pairs of data collectors who visited the cluster households (530-560).

The assessment did not take into account field conditions and security regulations (see page 55). In fact, 21 days were required for the data collection for the quantitative survey. The investigators were not always in the villages, as it took a significant amount time to get there

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>For example, a code: ID or code: IN or Code: HoH for general information about the household, specific codes for scores (Code: HDDS) and a code for unhealthy environment (code: UE), as well as a code regarding anthropometrics measurements (Code: ANT.).

and back. More time was also required in order to meet with all CDC villages to get their support and to sample households in the clusters and to ensure the presence of the families in their homes at the time of the survey etc. It is useful to report that for security reasons, the data collectors were informed of the cluster teams (village) the morning of.

• Team Description for the Quantitative Survey in DSB

According to the Link NCA guidelines a team is composed of six positions: one NCA Analyst, one Field Survey Coordinator, two data clerks, eight teams of two enumerators each, four supervisors (one per four enumerators) and drivers.

Minor modifications were made due to the difficult field conditions and the difficulty of recruiting enumerators with a good level of experience<sup>56</sup>. The SMART program manager in charge of data collection training for anthropometric measurements agreed to act as Field Survey Coordinator. To support him, an intermediate position was added, that of "team leaders". Two team leaders were included, thus a team was composed of a supervisor, 2 pairs of enumerators (4), and one driver.



In the field, the team responsible for filling the paper questionnaire proceeded as follows:

• Training and Questionnaire Piloting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup>In Afghanistan, NGOs are required to publish all job vacancies in administrative offices known to job seekers. Subsequently, there is an internal procedure (ACF office Mazar) that analyzes the candidates' files. Selected candidates have to pass written and oral tests. By respecting this procedure, it was difficult to find local candidates, in other words residents in the region of Dari Suf. Most of the candidates who passed the ACF test lived in Mazar and did not know much about the Dari Suf area.

During 10 days (the last two weeks in March 2015), 16 enumerators, 6 team leaders, and 4 supervisors were trained for five days in taking anthropometric measurements<sup>57</sup>, and another five days in administering the RFS questionnaire.

The training in anthropometry usually takes two to three days. However four additional days were added to the training, (SMART and RFS).The training was provided in English by the program manager who is a specialist in the SMART methodology, with the assistance of a translator. All training sessions were in the Dari language. Reverse translation of the questionnaire gave an indication of how well the enumerators understood the questions. At the end of this training period, the questionnaire was tested in a village near Mazar. A first session was not conclusive, so we added a second one, so that teams could become suitably familiar with the administration of the questionnaire.

At the same time, two data clerks were recruited and trained for a week in Mazar. The data entry procedure was entered according to Link NCA methodology<sup>58</sup>. During data collection (4 weeks) in the villages of the DSB District, data clerks were located at the ACF base (DSB). Two weeks were needed to complete the data entry for all survey questionnaires.

#### 2.3.3. Qualitative Survey

The preparations for the qualitative survey were launched during the first week of April 2015. This was first of all to contact the local authorities for permission to meet with key people in the villages. As we have already mentioned, the Link NCA was initially supposed to be conducted in the DSP district. As we were going through the administrative procedures to obtain the permits from the district governor, the SMART NCA team was repatriated to Mazar awaiting an alternative proposal for the continuation of field surveys.

Once the decision was taken to conduct the study in the neighboring DSB district, reset the sample clusters and have the sample of 4 cluster-villages in the district, it was possible to initiate the process of recruitment and selection of team members for the qualitative survey. It was possible to start with the field survey during the last week of April 2015.

Upon the arrival of the team at the ACF base in Bazar Stocka the last week of April 2015, a timetable was developed for field work in 4 villages. After obtaining the administrative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup>Training on anthropometry must be based on the SMART Guidelines. Anthropometric measurements taken during the survey must strictly adhere to the SMART method. *Measuring Mortality, Nutritional Status, and Food Security in Crisis Situations: SMART Methodology* (2006), Retrieved from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> "Data clerks are responsible for entering the data from the questionnaires into the computer. It is recommended that double blind data entry be used for every Link NCA with a quantitative survey. In order to implement double blind data entry, two data clerks must be hired. Each data clerk should enter the same questionnaire data into the computer. The Field Survey Coordinator will then be able to easily spot data entry errors. Checks for data entry errors should be done regularly throughout the data entry process. The Field Survey Coordinator is responsible for providing the questionnaires every day to data clerks". NCA Guidelines. ACF. 2015

authorizations of the district governor, we had to organize visits to each of the sample villages. Given a reduced amount of available time remaining on the activity schedule (end of the NCA mission on 1 June 2015), we were able to visit two villages; one near the ACF base (5 km) and the other much farther (50 km). According to the NCA protocol, data collection (FGD and individual interviews) in a village in the qualitative survey requires six days of field presence. We chose to start the studying the nearest village, and then continue to other farther one afterwards. (cf. vulnerable group Workshop).

The implementation of enhanced security measures made it impossible to collect data in the more remote village. By default, only one village remained available under these conditions and it was decided to enrich the collection of qualitative data in this village. We conducted focus groups with the mothers over 6 days instead of 4 in order to collect more refined data on children's health under two years (cf. vulnerable group, WK). For the fathers, we held as many focus groups as with the mothers. This allowed us to introduce more sensitive topics often cited in the literature as potential causes of child malnutrition such as Afghan cultural habits within marriage, the daily division of tasks between spouses, and birth control. We discuss bellow data collection in this particular context.

¤ Team configuration

At first it became apparent that if we were to be most effective in the short amount of time available we needed a team well-adjusted to these difficult conditions in the field. It is therefore with the help of the human resources department of the Mazar ACF office that we put together the team for the qualitative investigation beginning of April 2015.

According to Afghan cultural practices for individual and group meetings, we needed a female translator for focus groups with women and a male translator with men. Two translators (1 man and 1 woman) were recruited. With the advice of the HR department whose manager knew Dari Suf well, an assistant was recruited rather than a data collecter. Job descriptions were posted at the Mazar Office. A recruitment process was also been launched at the same time. Thus the team was established, composed of two translators, an assistant, and the NCA analyst. The translators and the assistant received three-days training on the major components of the data collection protocol. This training was done at the ACF DSB base . This team proved very successful, and they were able to maintain excellent communication during the course of interviews and focus groups with participants. In terms of logistics, a car was available to the team during the data collection in the field.

<sup>¤</sup> Sampling

Some clarifications on the villages and the sample of respondents in local communities:

• Selecting the Community Sample

In the following table (table29) the 4 villages from the selection in the quantitative survey are presented. As already explained, only one village was taken into account in this Link NCA survey, the village of Sarwalang Miana located 5 kilometers from the ACF base in the district of DSB.

Cluster (village)	Population
SARWALANG MIANA	1043
WAY BALAQ	1723
GOUM CHASHMA	158
QALAI PAYEN TOUR	698

Table 29. Sampling of the Clusters for Qualitative Survey in DSB

On our first visit, the CDC (elected principal) welcomed us; being an important player in the political and economic management of Afghan village life. He informed us that Sarwalang Miana is an important symbol for the people of the Hazara district DSB. This village was completely burned down during the period of the Taliban regime in Afghanistan, and completely reconstructed when the population returned and resettled after the fall of the Taliban.

The village is near the chief town of the district, where the district hospital is located. This hospital is a 30 minutes' walk for the population of Sarwalang Miana. This geographical proximity helped to include key informants such as, health professionals and nutrition specialists treating sick children and who keep the maternity ward open to mothers from surrounding villages including the village of Sarwalang Miana.

• Sampling of Respondents within a Community

According to Link NCA methodology, community beneficiaries fit into 4 groups: community leaders (1) key informants (2), mothers and fathers of children under 5 (3) women, based on the nutritional status of their children (4). In the Sarwalang Miana village, all of these categories were included in the survey. We should add that for mothers and fathers, in order to ensure the interest of the local population in our data collection, the team asked the CDC to provide us with a list of 15 families with children less than 5 years' old. During a morning with the help of a member of CDC, we visited each family (father and mother) to present the objectives of the investigation, to request their consent to conduct focus groups.

Ethnically, the entire population is of Hazara origin in the DSB district, including the selected village,. The villagers were mostly farmers. Although the survey did not specifically aim to address the impact of the economic status on the prevalence of malnutrition, we instructed the CDC to take into account the economic status for the list of 15 families (7 families identified as of superior economic position, and 7 families identified in a lower economic

position). This was also a way of introducing an objective filter in order to avoid having a selection of 15 families chosen arbitrarily by the CDC. This categorization was not used during the focus group. The reasons why not are given in the following section.

#### <sup>¤</sup> Data Collection

Here the components of data collection are addressed: the use of measuring instruments; the objectives of data collection; field notes; and a synthesis on conducting the survey according to NCA guidelines.

• Development and Pre-testing of Discussion Guides and Other Instruments

Between April 27 and May 3, the team has been trained in the rudiments of the instruments for collecting qualitative data. During this period the translators and the assistant with the analyst prepared two questionnaires, one for key informants and the other for community representatives. A pre-test was done with a representative from each of these two groups.

All along the data collection, the team followed a process called "cognitive debriefing." Cognitive debriefing involves asking interviewees, after completion an interview, their interpretations of the questions to judge whether the respondent's understanding corresponds with the intended meaning of the question.

The sexual separation of translating work offered an additional advantage. When a translator remained at the base, he or she completed the notes of the previous morning/day. When the team arrived he or she was entrusted with asking questions to each team member to highlight the key points of interviews and focus groups. These daily sessions lasted for two hours and notes were gathered in a book (40 pages) of the qualitative survey.

• Objectives

The six main objectives for the survey were as follows:

OBJECTIVE 1:	Develop a local definition and understanding of under-nutrition					
OBJECTIVE 2:	Characterize food security, health, and care in the community					
Objective 3:	Explore respondent perceptions of the causes and consequences of poor food					
	security, neutin, and care in relation to under-nutrition					
Objective 4:	Understand the practices of caregivers of positive deviant children (i.e., well-					
	nourished and healthy children of parents who seemingly face the same					
	challenges and barriers as parents of under-nourished children)					
Objective 5:	Identify seasonal and historical trends in under-nutrition and risk factors					
Objective 6:	Understand how the community prioritizes these factors					

In the District of DSB, all activities were related to the applied Link NCA guidelines. We add a few remarks on the conduct of interviews and focus groups in the village of Sarwalang Miana.

• Field Notes

#### First Observation: A Participation Rate of Over 100%

We were not able to identify the participants in each focus group (men and women) according to their economic status. During the holding of all focus groups, 50 women participated in each of the 6 focus group sessions and 25 men in each of the 5 five sessions reserved for males. We tried to explain the difficulty that this elevated participation would pose, but the villagers wanted to be present and fully participated in the activities. It was possible to divide the men into sub-groups with specific questions for all sectors (FSL etc.). For women, we separated the oldest who did not meet the inclusion criteria (mother with at least one child under 5 years), and had between 15 and 20 participants per focus group. For women with young children, we had no choice but to divide them into 5 subgroups.

#### Second Observation: Accentuated Focus Groups Participation for Mothers

For focus groups with women, it was not possible to have a thorough discussion with all five subgroups; when we were talking with sub group women in the other four subgroups easily abandoned discussion to talk more on current matters of their interest. So we recruited in each of five subgroups a mother (team leader) who was asked to lead the discussion. It was then possible to make a plenary as the 5 female teams' leaders reported the content of the discussions for each of the subgroups. This also allowed for more time to discuss the content with the older women and address more general questions.

#### Third Observation: The Representations Consistent with Granted Mother and Father Roles

In this very specific context of "Hazara" village communities we were met with receptivity and curiosity by both fathers and mothers. These reactions were expressed differently. With mothers, we were in a large room, but they came with their children of all ages. The older children played together in a corner of the room. In this environment, mothers adopted a posture of learning, they asked many questions, trying to understand why and how the disease infected their children. The male focus groups were conducted in a community hall reserved for political activities where there were no children. In a quiet atmosphere, they accepted to discuss the local child malnutrition situation. During the oral presentation, each of the subgroups in a focus group session presented their answers in a "search process hypothesis" about the current situation and the impact on the health of their children.

## Fourth Observation: Specific Approaches of Men and Women at the Session Rating the Causes of Malnutrition

Among females, the rating exercise was taken very seriously. Dynamics for voting on the causes of malnutrition was consensual. Among men the rating exercise was not seen as finalizing the working sessions. They wanted to stress two points before consenting to complete the exercise. The first concerned how they were represented during the survey, as a precaution, they emphasized that "their village" was privileged over other more distant villages and that they had worse health and health services than their own. The second requirement was aimed at describing the socio-political environment of the DSB District. For them, this was an important observation: there is a history of internal Afghan conflicts which continues. For them there is a single clinical diagnosis: the population is affected by mental health disorders.

• Summary of Data Collection

All recommendations concerning the Link NCA methodology were followed. We provide in the table below a summary of the data collection for the qualitative survey.

Targeted stake holders in the village	Community leaders	Key informants	Fathers	Mothers	Mothers with SAM child
Methodological tool	Individual interview	Individual interview	Focus group	Focus group	Individual interview
Term of data collection	1 day	2 days	4 days Session 3 hours per day	6 days Session3 hours per day	1 hour for each interview
Composition of the group	CDC (1) An elected member of CDC (3) Mullah (1) Local doctor (traditional healer) (1)	Hospital Directorate (1) Hospital pharmacist (1) Pharmacist Bazaar (1) Teachers (3) Nurse, the nurition unit (1)	20-30 men present per session	40-50 women present per session	<ul> <li>A mother with a malnourished child with a single episode</li> <li>A mother with a malnourished child with several episodes</li> <li>A mother who had children without malnutrition episodes</li> </ul>
Targeted stake holders in the village	Community leaders	Key informants	Fathers	Mothers	Mothers with SAM child
Risk factor And causes of malnutrition		Understanding of under nutrition in DSB			
Characteristics of the risk factor			Food security (seasonal calendar)	CPMH Care practices IYCP (child from 0-23 months)	
			Marriage and fertility Health	Care of Women Psychosocial care Health Child health status (seasonal calendar) Access to health	
			Access to health Access to water Sanitation	Practices of hygiene	
			Prioritize the causes of under- nutrition	Prioritize the causes of under- nutrition	

## **Table 30.** Summary of the Data collection for the Qualitative Study

Practices of caregivers Understanding the practices of caregivers to positive deviant children (i.e., well-nourished and healthy children of parent who seemingly face the same challenges and barriers as parents of under-nourished children).
--

#### 2.4. Data Management and Analysis

Besides pertaining to the SMART survey, the guidelines of the Link NCA methodology provide tool kits that allow for proper preparation of surveys including sampling and questionnaire design for the two large surveys (quantitative and qualitative). Conducting the surveys also depends on the specific conditions of each local context. In the Afghan context, particularly in the Samangan province, and the District of DSB in general, several key quality control points were impossible to meet, especially concerning data collection for the quantitative study. Regarding the qualitative survey, the items such as preparation, production of the questionnaire, recruitment of team members, and conduct of data collection have been well completed.

¤ Quantitative Data Management and Analysis

Two points are in this section. The first is a description of the management process for the quality of the quantitative data during the household survey. The second point presents the main statistical data analysis tool used to for the Link NCA survey (Risk Factor Survey).

• Data Management

For the inquiry quality, we can see in Table31 the strengths and weaknesses in the quality of data management.

Process	Tools	Validation	Scale
Sampling	Link NCA Guidelines or Smart	Program manager, NCA analyst, NCA	5
	Guidelines	Focal Point, Technical Advisor	
	ENA software		
Building the	NCA guidelines and Smart guidelines	NCA Analyst, NCA Focal Point:	
questionnaire		Technical Advisor Technical Advisory	
		Group (TAG)	
	In English		
	In Dari		5
			4
Recruiting and	Smart and NCA Guidelines	NCA analyst, HR in Mazar	3
training		Low experience of the team	
		Program manager Smart	
		Bad pilot test (enumerators)	3

#### Table 31. Quality of Data Management (RFS and Smart Survey)
During the	SMART measurements Team leaders	Program manager improves the	5
survey		quality of the measurements.	
		NCA Analyst, Program manager	
	NCA questionnaire	Smart.	3
	Tool kit for NCA	The quality of experience of the	
		supervisor team was variable.	
		Many manipulations of the	
	Paper questionnaire	questionnaires by field teams	3
Data anti-	Construction Front and The		<u>с</u>
Data entry	Good instruments Excel and The	NCA Analyst, Program manager and	5
	EPIDATA comparison module	data clerk	

For the SMART Survey, good quality can be expected for the whole process, and specifically for anthropometric measurements. This was confirmed in the SMART Survey July 2015preliminary report. For the RFS survey in the next chapter, we see in more detail the operational indicators for the analysis.

As shown in Table 30, a key point that was not effective for the household survey was the inspection of enumerators by the supervisors, when the former filled the questionnaire. It should be noted that the supervisors had numerous tasks including conducting an interview with CDC members from each village explaining the household survey goals so as to obtain permission. This task demanded nearly an hour at the beginning of the day. Moreover, reception has been mixed in some villages. According to the supervisors, the CDC would have said that they openly preferred to be consulted regarding the establishment of aid programs rather than participate in a survey<sup>59</sup>. It is possible that this collective apathy had an impact on data collection.

• Analysis

The analysis of anthropometric measurements was made by the program manager. A report followed in July 2015 and the results on the prevalence of malnutrition in children under 5 years of DSB District are analyzed in the following chapter.

Data analysis for the RFS survey was based on one principal measurement. The key concept is related to sample size where<sup>60</sup>:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup>During the conduct of the Smart-NCA investigation, the ACF base Bazar Stocka had no activity or program. The activities were arrested in June 2014.

 $<sup>^{60}</sup>$ **N** = sample size. For the purposes of an NCA, a sample size of approximately 800 to 1000 children will provide sufficient precision to measure the prevalence of under-nutrition. **D** = design effect. The design effect is a factor which corrects for possible homogeneity within clusters that may bias the survey results. Subjects within a cluster are generally more similar to each other than to individuals in other clusters. To account for possible homogeneity within clusters we need to have a larger sample size than we would if we were using systematic or simple random sampling. This correction factor is called the "design effect". The design effect would be equal to 1 but cluster sampling generally uses a design effect ranging between 1 and 4. NCA recommend using a design effect of 2 when calculating your sample size. **z** = **Statistical certainty chosen**. Most studies assume a 95% confidence level. A 95% confidence level means that there is a 95% chance that the true value is included in the confidence interval. The corresponding z value for a 95% confidence level is 1.96 for indicators that follow a normal distribution (which is the case for anthropometric indicators and most biological indicators). Use 1.96 as your statistical certainty when calculating your sample size. **d** = **Desired precision**. Precision levels are expressed as decimals. For example, a desired precision of 10% is written as d=0.10. The higher your desired precision level the larger your sample size

```
N = sample size

D = design effect

z = statistical certainty chosen

d= desired precision

p = estimated prevalence

q = (1 - p)
```

The following table (32) is an example of what we can provide on the indicators particularly for the quantitative analysis results.

Indicator	N	Mean or proportion	Lower Confidence Interval-95%	Upper Confidence Interval-95%
% Children (0-23 months) with adequate initiation of breastfeeding (<1hr after birth)	176	38%	31%	45%
Mean Household Diet Diversity Score (score 0 to 12)	479	4.75	4.62	4.87

Source: NCA Guidelines, ACF, 2015

¤ Qualitative Data Management and Analysis

Data collection in Sarwalang Miana was done following the NCA methodology. For each covered sector, there was a narrative that describes the typical knowledge, attitudes, practices, assets, access issues, strategies and trade-offs related to food, healthcare that are common in the community. The qualitative survey issues remain, even if we can only rely on the data from one village. A more thorough analysis of hypotheses made by the workshop and taking into account all proposals of technical experts in the workshop of Kabul is needed to build a local causal model.

must be. For example, a desired precision of 2% (d=0.02) will require a much larger sample size than a precision level of 10% (d=0.1). **p= estimated prevalence.** By definition you will not know the prevalence of malnutrition or prevalence of a given risk factor in advance of completing your quantitative survey. Nonetheless you must provide an estimate of the prevalence in order to calculate a sample size that will be large enough to reliably estimate the prevalence for the indicator you wish to measure. We recommend that you use an estimated prevalence of 50% (p=0.5) when calculating the necessary sample size to measure the prevalence of a risk factor for malnutrition. This is a conservative estimate and will give you the largest possible sample size for your study. Use a specific estimated prevalence to calculate the sample size for your anthropometric survey. Local data are ideal but if they are not available then consult national surveys. Ensure that the data are comparable by consulting surveys conducted during the same season you plan to survey. **q = 1-p.** For example, if the estimated prevalence p is 15% (p=0.15), then q = 1 - 0.15 which equals 0.85.

#### 2.5. Ethical Considerations During the Survey

The ethical considerations are a key point for the success of the investigation for various reasons. In the District of DSB, the arrival of a data collection team is of course noticed by Afghan villagers. At the same time, supervisors had an important role to play by visiting the villages and presenting the teams with respect to the customs so as to maintain excellent communication with the villagers. It should also be taken into account that in Afghanistan the village with its CDC committee is a vector to all rural activities. It is therefore necessary to establish a trusting relationship with members of the CDC. In the village of Sarwalang for example, we had to interrupt the focus group of fathers for three days. They were busy working to start an irrigation pump.All men in the village had been called to help. As we kept good contact, it was possible to resume the focus group after this brief interruption.

During the study, there have been modifications to the protocol for the identification of children with malnutrition. The ACF base no longer held any operational activities and never had other activities beyond nutrition in the district. Accordingly, identified children could not be directly supported by nutrition services provided by the ACF programs. To compensate, ACF proposed to parents whose children were affected by malnutrition to pay the transportation costs of the "caregiver" to travel to the nearest nutrition care unit. This measure was limited to the duration of the data collection . Although the offer to cover transportation expenses was welcomed by families, it often did not correspond to demand "more complex" to help families.



#### Table 33. Ethical Considerations for Link NCA in DSB

#### 2.6. Limitations of Link NCA in DSB

The NCA Guidelines emphasizes two basic limitations, namely what an NCA survey cannot provide to operational actors and researchers regardless of the country or region.

- The Link NCA method does not seek to statistically demonstrate nutrition causality but instead creates consensus around the plausible causes of under-nutrition in a localized context. Initially, the Link NCA was designed to rely primarily on statistical tests of association to inform conclusions; after testing, this approach was rejected by the scientific committee.
- The Link NCA is not an emergency assessment tool: it is not well suited for application in rapid onset crises due to the time required to conduct the study. Furthermore, in acute emergencies the immediate causes of under-nutrition will likely be overt and prioritized over underlying and basic causes.

When the qualitative survey covers four villages using the Link NCA methodology, one must be aware that:

• The qualitative portions of the Link NCA are designed to provide an in-depth picture of the nutrition situation in a relatively small geographic area. It is not always possible to generalize the results of this enquiry to other parts of the country.

The limitation encountered in the Afghan context, are:

• The results for the qualitative survey are partial and are not representative of the situation of the DSB District.

When a Link NCA quantitative survey obtains a good set of exploitable data:

• In order to quantitatively analyze the relationships implied by the global UNICEF causal framework, a statistically complex 'path analysis' is appropriate.

Given the reduced number of exploitable indicators in the household survey in the district of DSB:

That results analysis does not allow for covering all risk factors hypotheses.

It is possible to do further analysis on the causal models developed in the Kabul workshop in February 2015.

The results of a Link NCA can contribute:

- The Link NCA can provide an excellent baseline
- A more holistic picture of the local situation

Under the current conditions in Afghanistan, this survey contributes significantly to provide an "excellent baseline" of the challenges to access the population. Also, by providing "a more holistic picture of the local situation" operational actors will have adequate information to structure innovative and relevant programs for reducing the prevalence of malnutrition in the Dari Suf region.

# Part II. Results and Preliminary Hypothesized Risk Factors for Link NCA Study in DSB, Samangan Province

# 1. Descriptive Findings Link NCA Study in DSB

In this chapter the first results of the NCA study are described taking into account previous results of different surveys at the local and provincial level. This section covers the prevalence of acute and chronic malnutrition for children under five years old in the DSB District. The results are given on the core and optional indicators for each sector. These statistical descriptions are enriched with contextual information contained in the discourse of local actors.

The perceptions of the causes of malnutrition for mothers and fathers collected via the focus groups are discussed in the context which has a rural population benefiting from nearby public services such as a school and a district hospital, but also a large consumer goods market, a mosque and the presence of an elected committee with CDC.

A seasonal calendar, explained by mothers, was added. It concerned the prevalence of disease for children under 5 years old and the comprehension of the incidence of these diseases for mothers.

Information on the population is targeted by the two surveys.

#### **1.1.** Targeted Populations of the Link NCA Study

15 households in each of the 35 clusters were visited for the SMARTRFS survey. The objective was to gather information from 530 households in which all children under five years of age were to be measured and weighed.

In the Sarwalang Miana village, 15 households (mothers and fathers) with at least one child under five years old were asked to participate in focus group sessions during 5 consecutive days.

#### **1.1.1. For the Quantitative Survey**

530 households were visited in the quantitative survey. In the "identification of households" section, some information allows us to identify the makeup of a household located in the DSB District household. The average household size is 7.1 people. In 2012, an ACF survey of 102 households in seven villages in Dari Suf (DSB and DSP), hadan identical average size for 78% of the households. Although 530 households were visited, this number is reduced if one counts only those with children aged less than 5 years (403). If one takes into account all mothers, the number is relatively greater (427) because of the practice of polygamy in some households. As shown in the following table (table1), the proportion of polygamous

households is not very strong in the survey sample. The average age of household heads is 41 years. The average age of mothers (403) is 29 years. 90% of the households had a male household head.

Indicator	N (households)	Mean	LCI-95%	UC I-95%
Household size (core indicator)	530	7.119	6.65	7.58
Age Head (Optional indicator)	403	41.866	40.073	43.659
Main caregiver age (Optional indicator)	427	29.07	28.40	29.73

#### **1.1.2. For the Qualitative Survey**

According to the Link NCA guidelines, when a sample of four villages is selected, it is important to describe the social, economic, and health conditions for each of them. As it is well known, these determinants in a local context may interact in different ways from one village to another. In the district, there may be different practices between mothers in villages that are 15 kilometers away from each other. In the Afghan context, in a rural province, and more specifically in a district such as DSB, a description of the village environment is necessary in order to comprehend constraints affecting the populations studied.

Sarwalang Miana is near the chief town of the district (5 km); the population has a proximity to public services (hospital and school). In this environment, the target population is defined as a population with access to services as opposed to a population living in a remote village with little access to such services. Having only one village, a contextual comparative analysis cannot be made, however, , it will be possible to explain how the target population perceives the causes of malnutrition despite having access to health and nutrition care.

The main criterion for both for mothers and fathers for participating in the qualitative survey was to have at least one child younger than 5 years. During a visit to the village, using a list provided by the CDC, we invited 15 families with at least one child under five years old to participate in the five focus group sessions (5 consecutive days).

#### **1.2.** Results from the Link NCA Study by Sector

In this section, we firstly presented and analyze the rates of the prevalence of malnutrition (SMART) in the DSB district. We continue with a detailed analysis of the findings of the quantitative survey (indicator calculations) enhanced by the participant's perceptions regarding the impact of various risk factors in the four major sectors (FSL, CPMH, Health and Unhealthy environment). An analysis of the causes of malnutrition reported by participants (mothers and fathers) is available in Sarwalang Miana, as well their understanding of the

incidence of childhood diseases during one year, taking into consideration the variability of risk factors of the four major sectors during a calendar year.

# **1.2.1. Under-Nutrition**

In 2015, in the DSB district, the prevalence of malnutrition (wasted) was 4.6% (-2 z score), the prevalence of malnutrition (stunted) was 45.3% (-2 z score) and finally the underweight prevalence was 21.6% (- 2 z score) (see Chart1).

Looking at the wasted prevalence (NNS 7.8%) for Samangan province for 2013, there has been a decrease in DSB between 2013 2015, the rate being much lower (4.3%) than the prevalence rate for the entire province. In contrast, the prevalence of wasted malnutrition (45.3%) is comparable (NNS 47.1%) and the underweight prevalence is close to the provincial rate (25.2%) but slightly lower (21.6%).

Concerning the prevalence rates (-3 z-score), the rate of severe acute malnutrition is very different from that obtained by the NNS in 2013 (2.4%) at the provincial level. In 2015, in the district of DSB, the prevalence of severe acute malnutrition is only 0.3%.



**Chart1**. Malnutrition Children, 0-5 years, DSB District, Samangan Province, 2015

For 611 children aged less than five years, weighed and measured during the SMART Survey in DSB, the number of children is distributed proportionally to the age groups between 6-17months and 42-53 months. The age group 54-59 months accounted for % (7.9%). In all, the ratio girls/boys was 1.3, it is higher especially for the age group 6-17 months (1.4) and the grouping for children of 54-59 months (1.6); (see Chart2).



Chart2. Age and Sex Distribution, Children U5, DSB, 2015, Smart Survey

In 2011 or the prevalence of severe acute malnutrition (SAM), the SMART UNICEF-ACF survey for the6 districts (including DSB) of Samangan province measured a rate of 1.1%. In 2013 while NNS obtained 4.4%. This significant difference in percentage is attributable to the food crises in 2012 and 2013. In 2015, for DSB, the prevalence of severe acute malnutrition table3) was below 1% (0.3%).

N=611		Boys	Girls
Prevalence of global malnutrition	4.6% (28)	4.9% (17)	4.1% (11)
(<-2 Z score and/or oedema)	(3.0-7.0 95%CI)	(2.8 -2.5 95% CI)	(2.1% -7.9% 95% CI)
Prevalence of moderate	4.3% (26)	4.7% (16)	3.7% (10)
malnutrition	(2.6-6.8 95 % CI)	(2.6% 8.3% 95% CI)	(1.8% - 7.6% 95% CI)
(<-2 Z score and >=-3 Z score, no			
oedema)			
Prevalence of severe malnutrition	0.3% (2)	0.3% (1)	0.4% (1)
(<- 3 Z score and/ or oedema)	(0.1-1.3 95% CI)	(0.0% -2.1 95% CI)	(0.0%-2.8% 95% CI)
The prevalence of oedema is 0.0%			

Source: Prevalence of acute malnutrition on weight for height z scores, Report Smart. July 2015.

Length, height, weight and mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) was the most accurate predictor of mortality followed by weight-for-age, height-for-age and weight-for-height. MUAC is particularly useful when the precise age of the child is unknown. <there is still considerable controversy around MUAC or WH being the best indicator of wasting and in particular which is the best indicator to use in surveys. Recent studies comparing the prevalence of malnutrition according to MUAC and WH have shown large differences, particularly in pastoral populations (Myatt, Duffield et al. 2009). If one takes into account these limitations, it can be carefully noted note (table3) that the prevalence rate for the GAM is 4.6% (-2 z-score), based on MUAC while the GAM rate is 4.6% (-2 z-score), and the SAM rate is 1.9% (<115 mm and oedema). With these results showing a risk of mortality in children of the DSB district, special attention will be given to risk factors such as food insecurity, inadequate care and poor health on the causes of malnutrition.

Based on MUAC cut offs	All	Boys	Girls
Prevalence of global malnutrition (<125 mm and or oedema)	6.6% (41) (4.5 -9.6 95% CI)	5.1% (18) (3.0-8.6 95% CI)	8.5% (23) (5.5 – 13.0 95% CI)
Prevalence of moderate malnutrition (<125 mm and >= 115 mm, no oedema)	4.7% (29) (3.1-7.1 95% CI)	3.1% (11) (1.7- 5.8 95% CI)	6.7% (18) (4.0 – 10.9 95% CI)
Prevalence of severe malnutrition(< 115 mm and or oedema)	1.9%(12) (1.1-3.4 95% CI)	2.0% (7) (0.9 - 4.4 95% CI)	1.9% (5) (0.7 -5.0 95% CI)

#### **Table 36.** Prevalence of Acute Malnutrition Based on MUAC cut offs by Sex, DSB, 2015

In 2011 (October), the prevalence of stunting (<-2 z-score), for 6 districts (Including DSB) in Samangan province was 54.7%. In 2015, for DSB, the prevalence of stunting (<- 2 z score) is 45.3%. Between 2011 and 2015, the prevalence of stunting malnutrition has declined, for the District of DSB, however this prevalence still remains "very high" according to WHO standards (see table4). The GAM prevalence among boys is 45.7% and for females 44.8%. The SAM prevalence is higher among boys (19.9%) than girls (13.8%).

 Table 37. Prevalence of Stunting Malnutrition, 0-5 years, DSB, Samangan Province, 2015

	N=602
Prevalence of global malnutrition	45.3% (273)
(<-2 Z score)	(41.3 – 49.4 95%CI)
Prevalence of moderate malnutrition	28.1% (169)
(<-2 Z score and >=-3 Z score)	(24.7-31.7 95 % CI)
Prevalence of severe malnutrition	21.6% (104)
(<- 3 Z score and/ or oedema)	(13.8 – 21.5 % CI)

Source: Prevalence of stunting malnutrition on weight for height z scores, Report Smart. July 2015.

According to WHO standards, severity is "high" when the prevalence is within a range between 20% and 29%. In 2013, NNS estimated the prevalence rate of underweight births at 25.2% for the Samangan province. In 2015, for the District of DSB, the rate is 21.6%, slightly less than the provincial rate. ABoys are more affected (GAM: 22.3% -2 z score) than girls (20.7%), whereas the prevalence SAM (-3z-score), the rate (4.3%) for boys and girls (4.5%) are close (see table6).

Table 50. Trevalence of onderweight, enhalten of 5 years, 555, 5anangart rownee, 201	Table 38. P	revalence of	Underweight,	Children 0-5	years, DSB,	Samangan	Province,	2015
--	-------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	----------	-----------	------

	N=612
Prevalence of underweight	21.6% (132)
(< -2 z score)	(16.8 -27.2. 95%CI)
Prevalence of moderate underweight	17.2% (105)
(<-2 z score and >= -3 Z score)	(13.2- 22.1 95% CI)
Prevalence of severe of moderate underweight	4.4%(27)
(<-3 z score)	(3.0 - 6.4 95%CI)

Source: Prevalence of stunting malnutrition on weight for height z scores, Report Smart. July 2015.

#### 1.2.2. Food Security and Livelihoods

The Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS) represents recalled food diversity from the past 24 hours in 12 food groups. In May 2015 (RFS Survey), the last month of the lean season the mean was 5.27 (Feb-May is the lean season, known to be most difficult, predominantly because of the lack of food availability). This is also the main period for precipitation, which frequently results in flooding).

Indicator	Number of household	Mean	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
HDDS (Household Diversity Score)	396	5.27	5.016	5.525

In November 2014, after the harvest, the ACF survey (210 households) obtained are relatively similar score (5.5.). in 6 districts of Samangan province including DSB. This period is known to be better for food security and livelihoods (income, cash and assets are available after the harvest season).

To have a clear idea of the configuration over the two periods (November 2014 and May 2015), in accordance with the guidelines of FAO, we classified household samples into 4 groups. For the month of May 2015, the following classification was made:

Table 39. HHDS, DSB, May 2015 (RFS Survey)

Group 1 HDDS<3	Group 2 HDDS 3-4	Group 3 HDDS 5-6	Group 4 HDDS>6
1 Hoh (0.25%)	121 Hoh (30.56%)	207 Hoh (52.27%)	67 Hoh (16.91%)

For the District of DSB in November 2014, the percentage of households with a low score was 28.5% (group 1 and group 2) while in May 2015 it was slightly higher at 30.8%. For groups 3 and 4, the percentage was 71.5% in November 2014, and 69.1% in May 2015. There isone "very good" household distribution configuration for" and one for a period known as "difficult". The optional FCS indicator may provide some additional information about this configuration. *"FCS is a composite score based on dietary diversity, food frequency, and relative nutritional importance of different food groups"* (NCA Indicator Guidelines, ACF, 2015).

Table 40.	FCS,	DSB,	May	2015	(RFS	Survey)
-----------	------	------	-----	------	------	---------

			FCS	Design Effect
FCS	Number of Households	%		
			0-21	
Poor	37	9,23		
			21.5-35	
Borderline	163	40,65		
			>35	
Acceptable	201	50,12		
	401	100%		2,107

In May 2015 (RFS Survey), 40.6% of households seem to be in a "borderline" situation while 50.1% of households score as "acceptable". In November 2014, the ACF<sup>61</sup> survey showed a similar effect on the proportion of households on the "borderline". The scores are different for the two other categories; only 29% being in "acceptable" condition and 30% of households have a "poor" score in November 2014, while in 2015 these two categories have a very different distribution:

May 2015			November 2014		
poor	borderline	acceptable	poor	borderline	acceptable
9.3 %	40.6%	50.1%	30%	41.3	29%

In 2015, during the difficult "lean season", the FCS indicator shows that households would be in a better situation than the period "after harvest".

The qualitative survey can explain this contrast. In focus group discussions on the topic of food security and livelihood fathers said that there are two main periods dividing the year: a first period from April to November and a second period from December to March.

During the first period, men are present in the villages, and for the second period, they migrate to the coal mines located in the heart of DSB District or to Mazar for Afghanistan or nearby Iranian cities. They explain that during the "lean season" families have savings, and therefore have enough money to buy food.

Men say that it was during this period and until the time after the harvest when poorer families are obliged to borrow money to cover food expenses especially if the head of household has not worked during the previous winter. They also mention that during this period (April to September) they are unable to find jobs in mines or elsewhere. If the harvest is good enough, they would be able to have access to food. By contrast, if the harvest was not sufficient, then households could be vulnerable until work starts again in the beginning of the winter season (December).

This way of regulating of food security was confirmed in 2014. 89% of respondents in the FSL survey in November 2014 said they had been affected by a shock in the six months preceding the survey. Under these conditions, a shock such as floods or droughts will jeopardize a larger proportion of households (+30%) in the months that follow. In this case households need extra money as well as farming production.

Mothers have corroborated the fact that leaving their village permanently so that their husband can obtain permanent employment is not an option as coal mining is possible during the winter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup>Food Security, Livelihoods and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Evaluation, Samangan Province, ACF December 2014.

This situation seems to represent the whole district, as nearly 40% of heads of households in the Link NCA survey reported being "unskilled laborers" i.e. belonging to an "employable" category while only 27% reported working in agriculture.

Occupation	Ν	%	LCL %(95)	UCL %(95)
Farmer	112	27,317	19,619	35,015
NGO/GVT	9	2,195	0,858	3,532
Laborers/Unskilled	179	43,659	36,545	50,772
Unemployed	68	16,585	11,389	21,781
Other	42	10,244	5,871	14,617

Table 41. Household Head Occupation, RFS, DSB, 2015

The MAHFP is the only Link NCA indicator that specifically targets seasonality. A number of factors can affect the ability of a household to meet its food needs, such as insufficient crop production as a result of poor soil, lack of labor, decrease in income due to employment status, a conflict or natural disaster. The higher the MAHFP, the shorter the hunger gap, defined as the period in time between harvests when hunger is at its highest.

In May 2015, households (RFS survey) reported having experienced an average hunger gap of 1.59 months.

Indicator	Number of Household	Mean	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
MAHFP (Months of adequate food provisioning)	401	8.471	8.096	8.846

The question asked was: "I would like to ask you about your household's food supply during different months of the year. When responding to these questions, please think back over the last 12 months, from now to the same time last year".

In November 2014, the average was 3.4 for the District of DSB, but this result was calculated with the data covering the period between January-May 2014 and t is therefore difficult to compare these two averages. When comparing the two periods in 2015 and 2014 for the same month we can see that there would have been a greater percentage of food-insecure families in 2014 than in 2015, but trends appear to be similar for both years (see chart below).



Chart 3. MAHFP, 2014-2015, % of Households with Enough Food, DSB

In May 2015, the heads of households (RFS survey) reported that the most difficult months are in the summer quarter (June-August).





HFIAS in combination with HDDS provides *a global view of food insecurity* in the survey area. HFIAS is more qualitative and relies on perception. To our knowledge, this is the first time with the Link NCA survey that this indicator is used for FSL in Afghanistan. As shown in Table 9, the HFIAS<sup>62</sup> classification shows that a significant number (144 of 307) of households believe that they suffer from severe food insecurity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup>A **food secure household experiences** none of the food insecurity (access) conditions, or just experiences worry, but rarely. A **mildly food insecure (access) household** worries about not having enough food sometimes or often, and/or is unable to eat preferred foods, and/or eats a more monotonous diet than desired and/or some foods considered undesirable, but only rarely. But it does not cut back on quantity nor experiences any of the three most severe conditions (running out of food, going to bed hungry, or going a whole day and night without eating). A **moderately food insecure household** sacrifices quality more frequently, by eating a monotonous diet

HFIAS	Prevalence	Number	D effect
Secure	3,93	16	
Mildly	18,18	74	
Moderately	42,51	173	
Severely	35,38	144	
Total	100%	407	D effect : 2,006

Table 42. HFIAS, DSB, May 2015, RFS Survey

HFIAP Prevalence: (number of household /sample) x100

# **Table 43**. Statistical Results and Risk Factors Related in the FSL Sector, NCA Survey, DSB,2015

Sector and	Indicator	Risk factor related
concept	HDDS : 5.27 (average)	Household food access: the level of food consumption
S: FSL	FCS: score "borderline"	is good, but food vulnerability increases when during a
C: Food access	(40.6%)" and "acceptable"	year, there are shocks that affect crops.
	(50.1%) households	
		Food access instability: the level of annual household
	MAHFP : 8.47 (average)	food instability is low (43% of household heads work in
	HFIAS: 35.38% severely	the winter). As early as April, when there is no more
	food household (last	work for men. More than a third of households had not
	month)	food stability.

#### 1.2.3. WASH

In the following sections results are presented for: water, sanitation, and hygiene.

#### ¤ Water

If one refers to the NNS national survey of 2013, it appears that Samangan province has poor access to drinkable water. In addition, 95.6% of households in this region believe that drinking water quality and quantity should be the main priority for public services.

The RFS survey household visits in DSB clarified the risk of contamination of water points. Among the six types of drinking water sources: groundwater; protected springs; rain water harvesting; water transported by truck, piped supply, and river water, the results of the survey

or undesirable foods sometimes or often, and/or has started to cut back on quantity by reducing the size of meals or number of meals, rarely or sometimes. But it does not experience any of the three most severe conditions. A **severely food insecure household** has graduated to cutting back on meal size or number of meals often, and/or experiences any of the three most severe conditions (running out of food, going to bed hungry, or going a whole day and night without eating), even as infrequently as rarely. In other words, any household that experiences one of these three conditions even once in the last four weeks (30 days) is considered severely food insecure.

show that more than one in two households (52.9%) gets its water supply from surface water, including directly from the river (see table 11).

Indicator		N &%	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
Access to safe water	Groundwater	12 % (50)	4.66	19.726
source				
	Protected spring	28 % (117)	14.52	42.55
	Pipeline	6.34% (26)	-1.43	14.026
	River	52.9% (217)	39. 20	66.64
		100% (410)		D effect: 5.24

 Table 44. Access to Safe Water, DSB Samangan, 2015

In 2013, for the province of Samangan the percentage of households that drank water from the rivers was estimated by the NNS at 47.2%. In 2015 (RFS Survey), the percentage of households drinking water from the rivers in the DSB (52.9%) was higher than the provincial average. In 2014, the ACF survey (with a sample of households in DSB) obtained for the six districts studied a similar average (47%) to the NNS survey. Access to water from surface wells has a higher risk of contamination compared with protected wells (ground water, protected spring, and pipeline). For 217 households identified by the RFS survey, the water management score is very high at 4.02 on average as shown on the table below.

Table 45. Water Management Score, RFS, DSB, 2015

Indicator	Mean	Ν	D effect
Water	4,024	217	D effect 5.24
management			
score			

Almost 43% of households in DSB with access to surface water are exposed to severe risks for water contamination.

Indicator	Water	Proportion	LCL	UCL
	management			
	score			
0-1	Mild risk	5.26 (11)	2.06	8.46
2-4	Moderate risk	51.67 (100)	41.798	61.551
4-7	Severe risk	43.06 (90)	31.22	54.89
		100% (209)		D effect: 1.005

#### Table 46. Water Management Risk, RFS, DSB, 2015

For 47% of households with access to protected wells in the DSB, it was not possible for investigators to go observe the villagers water points because of bad weather and lack of time in each village (one visit was allowed).

In 2014, an ACF assessment showed that for the 112 water points visited in the province of Samangan, "38% were found contaminated with E. coli, turbidity and had a 71% above 5 NTU. PH and conductivity were found within standards. While functional, the water points were found to be in poor condition, being either damaged or destroyed (59%) or inducing high risks

of contamination. Only 10% of respondents treat water (boiling or Bio Sand Filter) "(ACF FSL and WASH, page 16).

Water quality is certainly a major issue in the District of DSB. This also seems to be the case with water quantity according to the results of the RFS survey. The average number of liters per household is well below the three standards: Sphere (7.5-15 LCD-liter per capita per day); FANTA (50); MRRD (Afghanistan) 25 liters per person per day. The 2014 ACF survey obtained a higher average, or 27 liters per day per person for the sample of households covering the province of Samangan.

Indicator	Count	Mean	Std Error	LCL	UCL	Minimum	Maximum
Water needs	411	16,812	0,437	15,924	17,701	2,222	85,714

# Table 47. Water Needs, RFS, DSB, 2015

This topic was discussed with the fathers in a focus group session. The use of surface water is frequent in the village of Sarwalang Miana. At walking distance, families have direct access to surface water. In contrast, wells cannot be used because they are not maintained. According to the focus group participants, the villagers do not have the financial means to keep wells operational, especially during winter and spring. In addition, choosing a common place to install causes conflict between the villagers<sup>63</sup>. Well installation and maintenance thus appears to be a local political issue.

Women and especially children fetch collected water for the family. Being close to the river, which is true for many villages in the district of DSB (see table below), daily reserves are more limited. For tasks such as washing clothes and carpets, children and women go directly to the river.

Indicator	Water collection distance	100% (359)	LCL (95%)	UCL(95%)
	More than 30 minutes	36% (100)	30.71	41.698
	Less than 30 minutes	63% (259)	58.30	69.284

#### Table 48. Water Distance Collection, RFS, DSB, 2015

In focus group discussions, men appeared very aware of the risk of contaminated surface water. Moreover, they consider "poor water quality" one of the 5 five causes of child malnutrition in their village. In the course of the focus group session, they discussed the presence of "chemicals" discharged into rivers from fields where fertilizers are used. They add that children go unsupervised to drink directly from the river, especially in the summer. Furthermore, in the winter, they comfort themselves by stating that the cold weather lowers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Refers to "Seasonal Livelihood Programming in the Northern Region of Afghanistan". ACF, 2013.

the risk of contamination. The river serves as a substitute for wells for villagers who lack funds and prevents villagers from acquiring protected wells. Paradoxically, using funds for different tasks remains anchored in the daily practices of families. Note that in line with these discussions with men in Sarwalang Miana village and the results of the RFS survey, the Vulnerability Index (2015) of Samangan Province<sup>"64</sup> access to safe drinking water " is a very high risk (4 of 5).

# **x** Sanitation Facilities

For this section, the selected indicator was "safe disposal of child feces." and use of safe sanitation facilities. Unfortunately, the results are not exploitable for the Link NCA survey in the district of DSB. We must therefore defer to earlier survey data for the province of Samangan and the focus group discussions with participants (mothers and fathers) in the sampled villages.

According to the NNS survey in 2013, 58.9% of households in Afghanistan and 89.1% in Samangan province have no access to correct sanitation. According to the ACF survey (2014) in Samangan Province (including the DSB), we can observe "26% of the population interviewed practices open defecation while 72% of households possess (cross-checked through direct observation) and reportedly use family latrines". Of 177 observed latrines in the compound where families live: "The vast majority of latrines observed are vault latrines, usually overflowed, and poorly maintained. The physical condition of latrines observed (presence of flies, pit full, overflow going to the street) indicate they are unhealthy" (FSL and WASH ACF Assessment 2014, Samangan province).

This topic emerged during focus group sessions with the fathers in particular. For men of the village of Sarwalang, houses are too small to install an additional bedroom with a household latrine system. When latrines are located in the compound, it is difficult for households to properly maintain them, especially during rainy seasons. This corroborates the MoPH 2013 results showing a very low rate of sanitation coverage (10.6%) in the compounds in the Samangan province.

# **¤** Hygiene Practices

Four indicators cover the situation of households in the RFS investigation. The first indicator examines the behavior of mothers regarding hand washing. In the district of DSB, the results show that 75% of mothers do not use soap correctly (see table below). Refers to the results NNS (2013) for the population (men and women) the proportion in percentage of people (90%) with good hygiene practices (after defecation and before eating) for the province in the RFS Survey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup>Overall Needs and Vulnerability Index Samangan 2015

Indicator	Caregiver hand washing good behaviour	% proportion	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
	Bad	75% (308)	69.99	81.25
	Good	24.87 (102)	18.75	31.00
		100% (410)		D effect: 1.99

#### Table 49. Caregiver Hand Washing Behavior, RFS, DSB, 2015

The NNS survey (2013) shows that in the province of Samangan only "30.8% of respondents had soap available at the hand washing facility", a proportion that is close to the results of the RFS Survey (24.8%). Table 17, indicates that mothers in DSB (21.5%) use soap proportionally less than the population of Samangan Province (30.8%).

Table 50. Use of Soap,	RFS,	DSB,	2015
------------------------	------	------	------

Indicator	Use of soap	% proportion	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
	No soap	78.43% (320)	72.85	84.01
	Soap	21.5 (88)	15.98	27.14
		100 (408)		D effect: 1.81

It is regarding these specific practices that tensions between spouses have been observed. This is consistent with the ACF survey (2014) showing that among heads of families only 36% of them consider that it is necessary to use soap for hand washing. For men (94%), hand washing is done with water only, stating that soap is a commodity whose price is too high for families. Contrary to men, women perceive the benefits of soap. They say they that they would like to have certain products, but cannot for different reasons. In the focus group sessions addressing this topic, mothers talked at length about the importance of good hygiene practices which in their view were linked to the use of soap. A first obstacle that does not allow the women to improve their hygiene practices is that only their husbands have cash holdings and it is also their husbands who make the purchases at the bazaar. Although they say that their husbands buy things at their request, they also say that when they have access to cash, they save up to buy soap the opportunity they have to go to the bazaar themselves. It was acknowledged that in the Afghan context, particularly under the Taliban regime, Afghan women were deprived of their rights to work and pursue an education. We addressed the issue of hygienic practices of mothers in this particular sociopolitical context with health care professionals from the hospital.

Unanimously (for 4 key informants), the response has been as follows: "*in our context, it was the women who are empowered to convince men to improve hygiene practices, so it is with them that we must work in this direction*". Afterwards, we checked with husbands if this recommendation from health professionals was credible, who confirmed that this was true during focus group sessions. For them, women have the power to convince. Moreover, they told us that every night during the data collection couples discussed the topics covered in the Link NCA meetings. Nevertheless, mother's representations of their positions must be

done collectively. For them, if mothers express themselves with one voice in a village, then women can accomplish their goals in the community.

This description does not reflect a full understanding of the relationship between men and women in the Afghan context. The qualitative survey provides additional useful information. While rating the causes of malnutrition, mothers identified one of the causes as "poor hygienic practices". It is possible that women saw the survey as an opportunity to communicate to their husbands what they considered necessary to reduce the prevalence of malnutrition of their children.

The indicator "household hygiene (food)" also provides an interesting perspective in terms of further research on hygiene practices. There is almost a parity between the households with good practices (42.5%) compared to others (57.4%) which do not respect good practices. It can be concluded first that households in DSB favored good food hygiene practices more than personal hygiene practices (soap). As the population is generally heterogeneous, it is possible to compare the main characteristics of households with good and poor practices. In so doing it was possible to have a more representative picture of the of hygiene practices, currently classified with a rating of 3 of 5 in the province of Samangan<sup>65</sup>.

Indicator	Household hygiene (food)	% proportion	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
	Clean	42.5 (175)	38.33	46.82
	Not clean	57.42 (236)	53.17	61.66
		100% (411)		D effect: 0.731

#### Table 51. Household Hygiene Food, RFS, DSB, 2015

As animals are kept nearby households a greater effort is necessary to maintain a clean environment around their home. We discussed this issue indirectly in focus group with mothers, particularly when women explained their workloads by talking about their daily work. When describing the tasks of a normal day, they said they started by caring for the livestock. In the lean season, they do so quickly because they must go to the field early. Animal waste was observed in almost every household.

The fact that it is women who have this responsibility may indicate that care for animals may become an aggravating factor of poor hygiene and could contribute to higher prevalence of malnutrition, given the lack of good hygiene practices such as the use of soap.

#### Table 52. Statistical Results and Risk Factors Related to WASH, Link NCA Survey, DSB, 2015

Sector and concept I S: Unhealthy environment	Indicator	Risk factor related
---	-----------	---------------------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup>Overall Needs and Vulnerability Index Samangan 2015

C: Quality of drinking water	Water Management Score: 4.02 (average, core indicator) Water needs: 16.8 (average), core indicator) Water distance collection: 36% of household need more than 30 minutes (optional indicator) FGM: among 5 causes of malnutrition(poor quality of water)	<u>Drinking water supply</u> : for households with sole access to surface water, the level of risk is very high. <u>Domestic water supply</u> :middle risk to have access to water (basic needs) <u>Water distance collection</u> : middle risk to have access to water distance.
C: Sanitation	RFS: N/A ACF and NNS surveys in 2014 and 2013, only 10% of household have clean latrines	<u>Sanitation facilities</u> : FGM confirmed poor sanitation in the compound and households. High risk, especially in raining season.
C: Hygiene	Caregiver hand washing: behavior: bad behavior 75% Use of soap:78% no soap Household hygiene food: 57% of households are not clean (optional indicator) Household (animal waste): 90% of households are not clean (optional indicator) FGW: 'bad hygiene" among 5 causes of malnutrition.	<u>Hygiene practices</u> : the level of risk is very high, in particular for women with children under five years old. FGW "bad hygiene", cause of under-nutrition. <u>Hygiene food:</u> the level of risk is middle, compared to the risk of hygiene practices (soap)

#### 1.2.4. MHCP: IYCF, Care of Women, and Psycho Social Care

The MHCP sector is divided into three distinct groups. The first relates to IYCF child nutrition of children aged between 0 and 36 months. The core indicators related are recognized by several organizations and thus validated by USAID, AED, FANTA, UCDAVIS, IFPRI, UNICEF and WHO. These measures do not only help to understand how children are fed, but also how, when and why.

The second group relates to the topic "care of women". It measures the nutritional status of the mother and then looks more closely at different aspects of the role of the mother: the scale of domestic work, the perception of her social capital and wellbeing. In the local context, there is a comprehensive analysis that takes into account the findings of the qualitative survey. Finally, the psycho-social dimension takes into account the interactions between mother and child. These interactions are seen as key to the quality of life of the child, including its relationship with food, since the mother is in charge of child rearing.

IYCF : early initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months, continued breastfeeding at 1 year, minimum dietary diversity, meal frequency, child feeding behavior

# Early Initiation of Breastfeeding

The RFS survey in the District of DSB identified 80 children aged 0 - 23 months. The responses coded by investigators cannot be used and therefore were forced to rely on earlier surveys on this topic as well as statements during focus group with mothers in the village of Sarwalang Miana.

In 2011, in Samangan province (including the District of DSB) an ACF<sup>66</sup> survey showed that 61.5% of children had received one hour of breastfeeding after birth. The NNS survey obtained a higher proportion, since according to the results of this survey 89.9% of children were breastfed within a period of "at least one day" in this province.

For mothers interviewed during the qualitative survey, the topic of breastfeeding practices has been a central issue since it raised several questions regarding the arrival of the newborn and their nutritional care. In this village, women deliver more at clinics or at the district hospital than in other areas. Virtually all mothers breastfeed the first hour of birth. Things get complicated when they return home in a context where they may stop breastfeeding or not exclusively breastfeed. Mothers referred to the fragility of their own general health and that of their children when answering questions on exclusive breastfeeding and even more when discussing nutritional and pediatric follow-up questions regarding the children.

# Exclusive Breastfeeding (0-5 months)

The "exclusive breastfeeding" indicator specifically targets children aged between 0 and 5 months. This indicator has strong scientific support that suggests not providing exclusive breastfeeding to infants less than 6 months old is a risk factor of under-nutrition.

For the RFS survey in the DSB, the recorded population was 65 children. According to the mothers of the 60 children in the survey (data usable), 68% are fed by exclusive breastfeeding during the first six months (see Table 21). In 2011, the ACF survey obtained a higher proportion; 71.4% for children in the province of Samangan.

Indicator	Have an exclusive breastfeeding	Percentage proportion	LCL%(95)	UCL%(95)
	Yes	68% (41)	53.33%	83.33%
	No	31% (19)	16.65%	46.66%
		100% (60)		D effect: 1.462

#### Table 53. Exclusive Breastfeeding, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Anthropometric nutrition survey and Infant and Young Child Feeding Study, Preliminary results Dare sofpayen, Dare sofbala, Aybak, Roye doab and Hazrat e sultan - Samangan province – October 2011 - Afghanistan

These relatively high proportions in terms of percentages collected by the quantitative survey are very different when the practice is explored in-depth with the mothers in focus group sessions. During the discussions on this subject, mothers say almost unanimously, that they were not able to breastfeed, for different reasons. Firstly, by default, as many of them do not have enough milk to satisfy their newborn<sup>67</sup>. Fathers also discussed this issue in the focus group. For them, lack of breastmilk appears to be the first cause of child malnutrition in their village.

Then mothers explained that infants are often sick when they return home after childbirth. To feed the babies, but also to relieve them when they cry, they will resort to sugary plants and other substances while trying to give them the breast again. They also say they will often resort to external services provided by the hospital when the child refuses breastfeeding because he/she is ill. It must be emphasize that in line with observations, mothers prominently rate the lack of exclusive breastfeeding among the 5 most important causes of malnutrition.

# Continued Breastfeeding

In the DSB, the proportion of children fed continuously (see table 22) is high (92%). For the province of Samangan, ACF survey (2011) calculated a similar proportion (87.3%).

In view of the Afghan national average (64.8%, NNS 2013), it is not surprising to see a higher proportion in the District of DSB and in the province of Samangan. Recall that in the DSB district, mothers do not work outside the home and that there is important food instability in the region. Mothers are in constant contact with their children and often the only food they can give is breast milk. This is consistent with the value that parents (mothers and fathers) attach to continuous breastfeeding for children.

Indicator	Continued breastfeeding	Percentage proportion	LCL%(95)	UCL% (95)
	Yes	92% (50)	85.47%	99.71%
	No	7.4% (4)	0.28%	14.5%
		100% (54)		D effect: 0.919

#### Table 54. Continued Breastfeeding, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup>The two mothers with a child suffering from severe malnutrition have been confronted with this problem (narrative history by individual interview).

#### Introduction of Solid, Semi-Solid or Soft Foods

This indicator is defined as the proportion of infants 6 to 8 months of age who receive solid, semi-solid or soft foods. The proportion of children (with a relatively small sample<sup>68</sup>) aged between 6 and 8 months that eat semi-solid or solid foods was 46.7%. This proportion is close to the national average (41%). It is very different from the results obtained in 2011 by ACF where the proportion was 86.7%. This important difference leads us to be very careful about the interpretation of the results of this indicator within the RFS investigation. This indicator can refer to get a better idea of the introduction of food for children aged between 6 and 23 months.

Indicator	Introduction of		LCL%(95)	UCL%(95)
	semi solid or solid			
	No	53.3 (8)	25.8%	80.8%
	Yes	46.6 (7)	19.1%	74.6%
		100% (15)		D effect: 0.856

Table 55. Introduction of Semi Solid or Solid, Children 6-8 months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015

#### Complementary Feeding

This core IYCF indicator best reflects the child's food intake, which is obviously of major importance when studying the causes of under-nutrition. Minimum dietary diversity is the proportion of children 6-23 months of age who receive food from 4 or more food groups (see table25). The mean score for IDDS is 3.02 (table24), a score that remains rather low if one puts into perspective the proportion of children who do not eat from at least four food groups is 35.7%.

	Children 6-	Children 6-		Confidence Limits	
Indicator	23 months	Mean	Std Error	Lower	Upper
	25 11011015			(95%)	(95%)
IDDS (Individual					
Dietary Diversity	190	3,021	0,111	2,796	3,246
score)					

#### Table 56. IDDS 6-23 months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015

The proportion of children who consume less than four food groups was slightly above the national average (27.2% NNS 2013). Compared to the ACF survey (2011) for the province of Samangan, there is a similar proportion (69%) for children who did not consume more than four groups of food.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup>The indicator has a very narrow age range of 3 months. Estimates from surveys with small sample sizes are likely to have wide confidence intervals.

IDDS Group Children 6-23 months	Count	%	D effect
Children who did not consume ≥4 groups	122	64%	
Children who consumed ≥4 groups	68	35,7	
Total	190	100	1,026

#### **Table 57**. IDDS Group Children, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015

#### Meal Frequency

In the district of DSB, at least 4 children (6-23 months) out of 10 do not have an adequate meal frequency compared to the national average (52.1% NNS 2013), the proportion of children (57%) with adequate meal frequency is slightly higher in the District of DSB. Provincially, there are no figures concerning this indicator.

Table FO	Maral Englander	Children		DEC Comment		2015
lable 58.	ivieal Frequency	Children	6-23 months,	RFS Survey,	DSB,	2012

Indicator	Meal frequency	Proportion	LCL % (95)	UCL %(95)
		percentage		
	Yes	57% (88)	45.72%	68.56%
	No	42% (66)	31.43%	68.56%
		100% (154)		D effect: 1.96
	No	42% (66) 100% (154)	31.43%	68.56% D effect: 1.96

# Child Feeding Behavior

In scientific literature, there is evidence that a large proportion of children below 36 months of age are not regularly helped when they eat. In this perspective, it is important to know the type of behavior that will be "adopted by the caregiver when a child does not want to eat". Using Tables 27 and 28, we see that for DSB district the score is quite good since 72% of children (9-36 months) are helped by their mothers when they refuse to eat. They are assisted in most cases (57.3%) with playful techniques.

#### **Table 59.** Child Feeding Behavior (1) Children 9-36 months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Indicator	Child feeding	Proportion	LCL %(95)	UCL %(95)
	behavior	percentage		
	Nothing	33.3% (110)	28.11%	38.55%
	Play and etc.	57.3% (189)	51.32%	63.21%
	Force	9.39% (31)	5.84%	12.94%
		100% (330)		D effect: 0.977

# Table 60. Child Feeding Behavior (2) Children 9-36 months, RFS Survey, DSB, 2015

Indicator	Child feeding	Percentage	LCL%(95)	UCL%(95)
	behavior	Proportion		
	Helped	72.62% (268)	67.5%	78.10%
	Did not Help	27.3% (101)	21.8%	32.8%
		100% (369)		D effect: 1.34

In focus group sessions, mothers have confirmed the significance they place on the nutrition education of their children. This concerns both breastfeeding and the introduction to solid foods. Nevertheless, for fathers and mothers it is the lack of dietary diversity that has emerged as the main problem for child nutrition at the time of weaning.

**Care of women**: mother food intake during pregnancy, caregivers' completed years of education, perceived social capital, caregivers' perceived workload, and wellbeing

# Food Intake During Pregnancy

We know that in some communities, weight loss during pregnancy is not always a significant criterion for detecting malnutrition among pregnant and lactating women. Other indicators such as MUAC may be used to measure maternal malnutrition risks. Still, we cannot afford to confidently generalize the prevalence for the study area. In 2011, the ACF anthropometric survey reported with the same limitations a severe malnutrition prevalence rate of 3.67% for pregnant and lactating women surveyed in the province of Samangan. In 2015, for the DSB, the prevalence was estimated at 0.33%.

For the RFS survey in DSB, 56% of mothers reported eating less (31%) and the same amount (25%) during their last pregnancy. Half the mothers remain in the same or less identical nutritional diet than when they are not pregnant (see following table 29). The participants of the focus group did not speak directly about this issue.. The main concern that came back in all the discussions (mothers and fathers) focused on the lack of breast milk at the time of the birth of the child.

Indicator	Food intake during pregnancy	Percentage Proportion	LCL %(95)	UCL%(95)
	More	42% (183)	38.15%	47.55%
	Less	31.3% (100)	27.8%	35.4%
	Same	25.52 (109)	20.7%	30.3%
		100% (427)		D effect: 0.93

#### **Table 61.** Food Intake During Pregnancy, RFS, DSB, Samangan Province, 2015

#### Average Level of Caregiver Education

In Afghanistan, we know that the Taliban limited access to education for women during the last decade of the twentieth century and a whole generation of girls was not educated at all. If one refers to the average age of women in the DSB (29 years), it is possible that this estimate did not fully represent the female population. 96% of women in rural settings cannot read or write. Those whose parents were affected by the war, find themselves proportionately far behind other Afghan women in terms of an educational background (83% of Afghan women have attended school according to 2011 official statistics; see table below). Eventually, they will be mothers of children attending school and educated with the quality of education possible in remote areas, away from Afghan urban centers.

Indicator	Average level of education	Proportion Percentage	LCL (95%)	UCL (95%)
	None	96.24% (410)	94.30%	98.18%
	Education	3.75% (16)	1.81%	5.69%
		100% (426)		D effect: 1.07

#### **Table 62.** Average Level of Education of Caregiver, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

In focus groups, women and men have talked openly about the generalized lack of education for the entire population of the district. In discussion sessions, they perceived the impact of this problem, including their inability to master the vocabulary used by health professionals for the care of children. For men, there is a real sense of frustration about how educated people explain nutritional problems, especially when they evoke the type of report they receive at the time of consultation with healthcare professionals. For example, they say they are unable to accurately read the prescriptions. Parents think that this communication hinders a relationship of trust with doctors and nurses.

# Well Being

53.8% of caregivers are at risk in DSB (see Table 31), meaning that around one in two women are in a state of psychological distress. This result should also be interpreted with caution since we firstly have no comparative basis for this indicator and secondly, the proportional configuration obtained by the survey is split between two nearly equal groups, one at risk and one not at risk (46.1%).

	<u> </u>	<b>J</b>	<b></b>	
Indicator	Well Being	Percentage	LCL%(95)	UCL%(95)
		Proportion		
	At risk	53.8% (230)	46.52%	61.20%
	No risk	46.1% (197)	38.79%	53.47%
		100% (427)		D effect: 2.36

#### Table 63. Well-being of Caregiver, DSB, RFS, Samangan, 2015

The qualitative survey can help this issue. We explored the issue of the mental condition with women first by asking questions about their ability to project themselves into the future. Interviewed women (15) have individually responded very positively. For the 50 women attending sessions however, there was talk of a "stress disorder" when they have to deal with particular problems. Here is the list of stressful situations in which women from the village of Sarwalang Miana say they are affected:

Women alone (head of household) whose husbands are not currently at home: polygamous, migrants outside the country;

Women with fertility and health issues: abortion and chronic diseases;

Women who have the responsibility of children who are not theirs (grandmothers): death of mother; Women with disabled children: suffering from poliomyelitis, mental health problems etc;

Women with sick children: children's diseases caused by poor hygiene.

The men of Sarwalang Miana expressed misgivings on the subject. They observed that parents' mental health problems affected children. According to them, the general state of health of children in the village is not good.

Their explanation was that the parents (mothers and fathers) are no longer able to cope with problems because they have lost family and community cohesion. They attributed this loss of cohesion to the negligence of economic development by the local authorities, the permanent presence of corruption of institutional representatives (hospitals and schools), the high workload of mothers and fathers due to insufficient agrarian technical means and the pollution of rivers with toxic products (fertilizers).

#### Workload

76% of mothers in the DSB district clearly state in the RFS that the domestic workload has negative impacts on of the relationship between caregivers and children (see Table 64).

Indicator	Workload	Percentage Proportion	LCL%(95)	UCL%(95)	
	Yes	76.% (320)	71.44%	80.5%	
	No	23.9% (101	19.42%	28.55%	
		100% (421)		D effect: 1.16	

Table 64. Caregiver Perceivec	Workload, RFS,	DSB, Samang	gan, 2015
-------------------------------	----------------	-------------	-----------

Taking into account the survey period, it is not surprising to have such a high proportion of mothers expressing a negative perception on the impact of workloads on children care. In a rural context, sowing and harvesting periods require mothers to actively participate in agricultural work. In May 2015, women were occupied for much of the day in the fields with their husbands. As in many countries, the villagers also have calmer periods where mothers are more present with their children. For the District of DSB, women in focus groups acknowledged that the April-June period was an intense period of activity; however, they said that during the winter season, they were in their homes and much more available for their children.

Seasons affects the repartition of domestic tasks and accordingly child care. In this particular context, the issue of the sexual division of labor in the domestic sphere can also be questioned. A structural unequal division of labor puts women at a disadvantage and negatively impacts child care.

In the women's focus groups, unequal division of labor by sex did not emerge as a chronic factor reducing their ability to care for children. For them, the biggest factor affecting proper child care is the number of children in their care during planting and harvest periods. They expressed this problem as follows: "*as we have more than five children to look after,* 

everything becomes difficult, when we have less than 5, we can accomplish all our household chores, doing both our work in the farm (animals and fields), and taking care of children"

## Perceived Social Capital

The "value" of social relationships is reflected by the quality and quantity in a given population.. In this perspective, it is important to know if mothers receive support from their families and their communities. As shown in Chart 5, 36% said they had some support while 39% say that they are not very well supported. This partition is almost identical to the one found with the wellbeing indicator.



# Chart 5. Perceived Social Capital, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

For a better understanding of this finding, the ethnic background of the DSB District should be described briefly. All communities in this district are original "Hazara". This remains a minority ethnic community in Afghanistan. The population of this small community suffered particularly from Taliban violence because of their Shiite religious affiliation. This community has a reputation of openness compared with other communities (Uzbek, Tajik and Pashtu). Although it is difficult in the context of a Link NCA survey to account for this difference, we can testify that the "Hazara" women showed open mindedness at the focus group meetings. They spoke very openly about their problems<sup>69</sup>.

		•	5	
Indicator	Perceived social	Percentage	LCL%(95)	UCL%(95)
	capital	Proportion		
	extremely	12.1% (52)	8.08%	16.2%
	somewhat	35.9% (154)	28.4%	43.5%
	Not very	39.0% (167)	32.4%	45.63%

#### Table 65. Perceived Social Capital, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> For example, we had been told that women would not accept a man in the place where the session was held, but they easily accepted the presence of the male in charge of taking notes.

Not at all	12.8% (55)	8.0%	17.69%
	100% (428)		D effect: 1.60

Returning to perceptions of the support received in the community, the mothers of Sarwalang Miana explained that and in greater closeness, their mother-in-law or co-wives or other relatives play a supporting role depending on the distance they live from the family. These observations can determine if there is support, if it comes mainly from the immediate family and if the lack of support is explained by a social division in the community.

# **a** Child Psycho-Social Care

As shown in Table 34, 4 out of 10 caregivers are not able to correctly interact with their children. This partition was constructed from caregiver's responses on welfare, including their perceptions of their mental condition contained in the following sentence: "I have felt calm and relaxed," on a scale ranging from 1 to 5.

Indicator	Caregiver-children interactions	Percentage	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
	Inappropriate	40.6% (230)	46.%	61%
	Medium	24.5% (139)	8.%	18%
	Appropriate	34.5% (197)	38.5 %	53%

#### Table 66. Caregiver-Child Interactions, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

As it can be seen, the four indicators: "Caregiver-children interactions (1) Perceived share capital (2) Workload (3) Well-being (4)" are strongly linked. We note how they intersect a divided social structure, a seasonal calendar that differentiates intense periods of work from calmer periods, social vulnerability exposing families to stressful situations and the high prevalence of children in poor health.

#### **Table 67**. Statistical Results and Risk factors Related in the CPMH, Link NCA Study, DSB, 2015

Sector and	Indicator	Risk factor related
concept		
S: MHCP	Early initiation of breastfeeding: N/A (RFS), From qualitative survey:	Initiation of breastfeeding: for women who
0.7405	Evolutive breastfooding: 21% of children don't have evolutive	give birth in hospitals, the protocol is
C: IYCF	Exclusive breastreeding. 51% of children don't have exclusive	followed.
	breastfeeding. According to the responses of	
	mothers in the qualitative survey, this proportion is	Breastreeding practices : discrepancy
	still higher. This practice as they would not be	results
	suit higher. This produce us they would not be	
	respected by the majority of women present at	
	meetings of the focus group(85%). Mothers have	Continued breastfeeding: the level is very
	identified this risk factor among the five causes	good.
	of malnutrition of children under5 years	
	Continued breastfeeding: 92% of children have continued	Complementary feeding practices:
	breastfeeding	introduction of solid is at a good level, but
		IDDS and Meal frequency are very low.
	Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods:	

	IDDS 6-23 months: 3.02 (average) Meal frequency: 42% of children don't have a good meal frequency.	<u>Responsive feeding</u> : the level is good
C: Care of women	Child-feeding behavior: 72% of children are helped when they don't want to eat.	<u>Maternal nutritional status</u> : the level is intermediate
	Food intake during pregnancy: 42% of women eat more during their pregnancy and 32% of women eat less.	<u>Caregiver's level of education</u> : no education at all for women.
	Average level of education of Caregiver: 97% of caregiver has no education	matemal weil-being, the level is very low
	Well-being: 53.8% of women are at risk. Qualitative survey: women are in the lean season, and face stressful situations related to the poor health of their children. Men have produced a strong statement on this subject. For them, women and men would be severely affected by mental health problems due to the economic situation that is chronically disastrous in their district.	<u>Caregiver's workload</u> : highly dependent on seasonal calendar. Between April and June, the mothers are busy in the field. They have too much work to care for children during this period. The risk of poor childcare appears very high at this time of year
C: Psycho social care	Workload: 76% of caregivers are very busy during the lean season Perceived social capital: 58% of caregivers have a positive perception of their social capital. According to the qualitative survey, this positive perception is due to the support provided within their family. However, there would exist in communities DSB, tensions between families that put indirectly to test the caregivers' perceptions of their social capital.	this time of year. <u>Social capital:</u> in the context of DSB, the social capital is constituted at two levels: the first is relative to the family environment, and the second to the social status of the household head. In the family environment, women feel supported, and in the community environment, they are dependent on the social status of their husbands. The proportion of women (58%) appears quite good in this holistic configuration of social relations. <u>Caregiver-child interactions</u> : Considering the period of strong sowing activity (seasonal calendar), the score is significant but remains

#### 1.2.5. Health (children and women)

In this section, there is an analysis of the productive health indicator "birth spacing" that normally appears according to the Link NCA methodology in the Care of women section. It is illustrative of the MHCP sector "proxy for women's empowerment". For the RFS survey in the District of DSB, we have reported the risk factor "birth spacing" in the Health section because the topic has been addressed in the focus group of men and women and has emerged as a disturbing issue, namely expressing a need more "complex" than an access to contraceptives.

The indicator on early pregnancy was also included in this section, since it is important to note that in Afghan society, marriage is a decision made primarily by the parents of the future spouses. This is sometimes referred to as arranged or early marriage. This is Afghan tradition and is not therefore exclusively related to women's education. In this perspective, we considered it more appropriate to treat it as a risk, or a specific vulnerability that exposes young women and their children to health problems. This is also how men and women interviewed in the qualitative survey perceive this issue.

#### Acute Respiratory Infection in the Past 14 days, Diarrhea in the Past 14 days

As shown in Table 36, the caregivers of the household survey of DSB were very likely to report diarrhea in children. According to data collected almost 8 out of 10 children have suffered from this infection. This rate is difficult to interpret since it indicates that almost all the children of this district were affected during the month of May 2015 (RFS survey).

If one looks at the results obtained on the prevalence of diarrhea in the province of Samangan, we note that these rates are still very high. In 2011, a government survey found there was a prevalence rate of 58%, while at the national level (NNS 2013), the prevalence rate was 35.5%. The ACF survey obtained a prevalence rate of 43% during a period (dry season) where normally the prevalence should be much lower.

Indicator	Diarrhea	Proportion	LCL	UCL
		Percentage		
	Yes	80.2% (411)	76.6%	83.9%
	No	19.7% (101)	16.05%	23.39%
		100% (512)		D effect:1.05
	ARI	Proportion	LCL	UCL
		Percentage		
	Yes	88.8% (454)	84.2	92.83
	No	11.15 (57)	7.16	15.14
		100% (511)		D effect: 1.98

#### **Table 68**. ARI and Diarrhea in the Past 14 days, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

According to the ACF survey (2014) in Samangan Province (including the District of DSB), the prevalence of diarrhea was found to be higher when children have no safe drinking water: 59% of affected children U5 got diarrhea by drinking water from unprotected sources (rivers,

*channels, dams, unprotected shallow wells, kandas*<sup>70</sup>)". A significant proportion of the prevalence could be explained by the access to water. This finding was confirmed in discussions with fathers (see WASH). It still remains very far from the prevalence rate obtained with the RFS survey. In May 2015, we obtained a 20% differential, i.e. a prevalence exceeding the results of previous surveys.

How can this high prevalence be explained?

It could be attributed to seasonal variations: at the time of the survey (May 2015), floods were common. It could also be mothers expressing the fragility of children in the district DSB without taking into account the 14 days deadline. The qualitative survey may better explain the position of the participants. It is important to consider the context of a village whose population has relatively good access to health centers, (the distance is only 3 km) knowing that the care and primary health drugs are not paid by the public health system in Afghanistan.

Health care professionals (individual interview) who welcome children at the nutrition unit and the outpatient clinic of the hospital confirmed that diarrhea is the main reason for the hospitalization of malnourished children. For mothers, the prevalence of diarrhea is part of their daily lives. For them, hospitalization although based on an acute episodes of diarrhea is only the last phase of a process in which there have been many trips to and from to the health centers to treat the affected child.

According to mothers, the first phase of the consultation process is characterized by a lack of breast milk before the diarrhea starts. To treat the problem, they receive a milk formula prescription. The pharmacist's bazaar reported that when there is a shortage of milk at the hospital, then families come to buy at the pharmacy. Moreover, fathers have expressed some dissatisfaction on available stocks of milk formula. For them, there is a hospital, but with frequent shortages of infant formula, additional costs are imposed to have continuous access to formula. Faced with this situation, they clearly state that referring to the "local doctor" is less expensive. When the problem becomes chronic, they opt for this approach. Mothers have confirmed this practice, when the husband does not want to pay for the purchase of milk formula, they will resort to the "local doctor." In this context, children receive a mixed formula with herbal teas, thus starting the second stage of the process, which entails chronic problems of diarrhea in children.

According to mothers, chronic diarrhea of children could be explained by bad practices in the preparation of the milk formula requiring precautions such as boiling the water, which they report that if not done adds to other practices of failing hygiene (no use of soap). A recent ACF medical report (May 2015 visit to the ACF Medical advisor to the Mazar hospital treating children SAM in the province of Balk, and for some severe cases of children Samangan province, the nutrition unit) validates this narrative and the lack of breast milk, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Local name for traditional hand dug Water reservoir

high frequency of episodes of diarrhea and poor hygiene practices: "As there is a lot of admissions case in IPD because of "diarrhea" and a lot of "Mother Milk deficiency". There is no counseling towards breastfeeding and in some facilities it seems that they do not promote the technique. From what I saw at the hospital level, there is a lot of hygiene and basic care practices related diseases we need to reinforce primary health care. As we try to integrate SAM management to the BPHS (Basic Package Health Service), it would be wise to support all the BPHS, in some areas where nobody else is doing it. As we can see it's strongly needed by the population. Milk needs to be prepared with the same precaution as if it was a drug, and in fact, it is a drug. F75 is a big part of what make the SAM physiology recovery in phase 1. So this is very important to focus on its preparation." (ACF Report, Dr A. Senequier).

# ANC (Ante Natal Care)

The ANC indicator (health professional) reveals that in the district of DSB, there is a demand for prenatal care. Over 80% of mothers have gone to prenatal visits in clinics and hospitals serving the villages (see table below). The results of the qualitative survey show the same. Mothers in the town of Sarwalang Miana confirmed the practice. It also seems that gradually the traditional "daya" women helping mothers give birth at home in the DSB villages is disappearing. A major transition is obvious since women attend the centers for prenatal visits but also give birth in health centers, which also was confirmed in focus groups. The majority of participating mothers said that they had given birth at the health center for their latest pregnancy.

Indicator	ANC		LCL%	UCL%
	No Health	19.7% (83)	14.3	25.06
	professional			
	With Health	80.2% (338)	74.9	85.6
	professional			
				D effect: 1.841

#### Table 69. ANC (health professional), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

Moreover, what seems harder to maintain is related to compliance of the number of visits and thus tracking quality. Indeed only half of pregnant women observe the suggested 4 antenatal visits.

Table 3	70	Number	of Visits		RES D	SR	Samangan	2015
lable	νυ.	Number		ANC,	л <i>э</i> , г	,טכר	Samanyan,	2013

Indicator	ANC (number of		LCL %	UCL%
	VISILS)			
	Less than 4 times	51.06% (215)	44.10	58.03
	Equal or more than	48.96% (206)	41.9	55.8
	4 times			
		100% (421)		D effect: 1.97

Regarding access to healthcare for children, the Link NCA methodology recommends using the DPT3 vaccine indicator and take into account the proportion of children vaccinated in a district. DPT3 immunization is a proxy for health services access and utilization. Unfortunately, this indicator is not used. In fact, during the procurement the household questionnaire, we found a number of codification errors by the investigators.

#### Barriers to Health Centers

The proportion of caregivers who reported not having barriers to health centers would be close to one in two (43%). For mothers who responded that they experience problems (242/428) getting to the center, the barriers (see graph below) relate to financial constraints (31%), transportation problems (13%) and a lower relative percentage to the poor quality of service (6%). Regarding financial barriers, they are only important to the extent that there is a free care for children under five and for deliveries.



#### Chart 6. Barriers to go to Health Centre, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

The average time to get to the health center for all mothers of interviewed during the RFS is almost 80 minutes (Table 39). The time needed to return after the consultation needs to be taken into account for the consultation.

Fable 71. Time Need	ed to go Health	Center, RFS, DSB	, Samangan, 2015
---------------------	-----------------	------------------	------------------

Indicator	Count	Time to go	LCL% (95)	UCL % (95)
	427	79.95 minutes	61.63	98.27

Note that in this district as in many rural contexts, most distances are covered on foot. 80% of mothers are at a distance greater than 30 minutes (Table 40). Among them, 41% can cover the distance in less than one hour, and 58% need more than one hour (Table 41).

Distance to health	Time to go	Proportion Percentage	LCL % (95)	UCL% (95)
lucinty	30 minutes	15.9% (68)	6.28%	25.5%
	More than 30 minutes	84.0% (359)	74.43%	93.71%
		100% (427)		D effect: 7.15

Table 72. Time Needed go to Health Center (2), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

Distance to health	Time to go	Proportion	LCL %(95)	UCL % (95)
facility		Percentage		
	More than 60 minutes	58.% (249)	44.07	72.55
	Less than 60 minutes	41.6% (178)	27.44	55.93
	(1 hour)			
		100% (427)		D effect: 8.6

#### **Table 73.** Time Needed go to Health Center (3), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

#### Early First Pregnancy

This issue generated considerable discussion in the focus group sessions, both with mothers and fathers. Moreover, it seems that participants in the focus group considered it important to deal with this subject<sup>71</sup> and also - taking into account the number of children that the couple would like to have – the issue of adequate contraception in order to practice good birth spacing.

The average age of first pregnancy is close to 19 years (18.75). In proportion 66.7% were pregnant at that age or later while 33% had their first pregnancy at an earlier age than the average of 18.75.

Table 74. Early First Pregnancy (average age), RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

Age fist pregnancy (	Count	Mean	LCL % (95)	UCL % (95)
2	424	18.75	18.37	19.14

#### Table 75. Age of First Pregnancy (proportion of women), RFS, DSB, 2015

Indicator	Age of first	Percentage	LCL % (95)	UCL% (95)
	pregnancy	Proportion		
	Early	33% (100)	26.85	39.65
	Not early	66.7% (324)	60.34	73.14
		100% (424)		D effect:1.889

In the Afghan rural zones, social organization is highly structured around inter family relations.

In their focus group session, the men discussed their perceptions of the appropriate age of marriage for women. To do this, they discussed the advantages and disadvantages of the low age of a future bride. According to them the advantage of a marital engagement with a young girl quickly given by her family is it guarantees survival in hard times with the support of the in-laws. The men say that they will marry their daughters at an early age if economic conditions deteriorate and families need very long term alliances to prevent degradation of their social status. They are less likely to engage their young girls in this process as their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> The question concerning birth spacing in the RFS survey was misunderstood by respondents. According to the Link NCA methodology, this indicator is optional. However, this subject came up significantly in the focus group sessions for mothers and fathers.

economic situation is more stable and they are aware of the negative impact of early pregnancy on the health of young mothers and children.

It was in this perspective they say they are looking for resources to secure the welfare of the family. They believe that well-being may be linked to a reduction in the number of children<sup>72</sup>. Moreover, they remain very cautious about the use of contraceptives finding that the quality of care is not sufficient. What they are most concerned about is the current situation of "lack of milk" of young mothers. Under these conditions, without access to good contraceptive measures, it is necessary to seek help from qualified professionals to reduce birth spacing.

Women are almost entirely withdrawn into their houses. In the district of DSB, women do not engage in activities outside, they are wives, mothers and carry out domestic tasks relating to farming activities (see table below).

Occupation	Proportion	LCL % (95)	UCL % (95)
	Percentage		
Housewives	98.%.% (422)	97.81	99.84
	100% (427)		D effect: 0.919

Table 76. Caregiver Occupation, RFS, DSB, Samangan, 2015

In sessions where women came in large numbers (50), we randomly selected fifteen young women who were asked to stay longer and address the issue of teenage pregnancies and age of marriage. Every one of them had the opportunity to speak on this subject.

Firstly, they all said that they were married through arrangement between their families and the families of their spouses. They say have complied with this practice as it is their duty to do so, however, they relate this event to how their parents have informed them of their future marriage. Women are solicited for their agreement and said that they appreciated this gesture and felt that they participated in the decision. For all of the interviewed women, the arrival of a first pregnancy and the following period gradually enabled them to grow accustomed to their new life. Mothers who were prematurely married (15 years old) compared to others, reported having experienced problems properly breastfeeding their children. Talking about this subject changed the tone of the discussion and the female participants focused on the issue of breastfeeding.

Like fathers in focus groups, women are aware of this issue. Two problems appear to be linked. At the same time they have too many children and they also lack breastmilk, so they would like to promote more sustainable birth spacing practices to solve both problems.

Table 77. Statistical Results and Risk Factors Related in the Health, NCA Survey, DSB, 2015

Sector and	Indicator	Risk factor related	
concept			
S: H	Acute respiratory infection in the past 14 days:		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> In focus groups, men have been asked to explain the number of children that they considered satisfactory. On average, men want at least 4 and a maximum of 6 children.
N/A Diarrhea in the past 14 days: 80% of children has diarrhea in the last 14 days FCW: cause of malnutrition	<u>Child health status</u> : the level of risk is very high
DPT3 immunization coverage: N/A (RFS) ANC: 80% has a ANC, but, only 48.6% of women has a good follow up (4 visits) Barriers from going to the health center: half of respondents face barriers to go to health center. Main barriers are linked to indirect costs (drugs and transportation)	Access to health services (children and women): For women: the problem of access appears be strongly linked to the regularity of visits to the health center.
Short birth spacing: N/A RFS survey FCM and FGW: cause of malnutrition Early pregnancy: 33% of women in the DSB district.	<u>Reproductive and Health</u> : the level is high, but the problem is more acute for the primary care of children.

# 1.2.6. Basic Causes of Malnutrition According to Mothers and Fathers in Sarwalang Miana

In the first two sections, perceptions of the causes of malnutrition by the men and women of the village were described. In the third section, the findings are analyzed in light of the ratings done by the two groups (men and women).

### Causes of Malnutrition Perceived by Mothers of the Village of Sarwalang Miana

At the final focus group session, (May 26, 2015), 30 mothers participated. Ahead of time, four mothers (team leaders) were selected to lead this final session. Participants were randomly distributed into 4 groups. With their "team leader", they talked freely on what they believed to be the causes of child malnutrition. After 30 minutes of discussion, the 4 "team leaders" gave the following results:

### Table 78. FCW: First Round, Free Exercise of the Causes of Malnutrition, Sarwalang Miana

Village

First Group:	Second Group:	Third Group:	Forth Group:	
No good foods	Not enough milk;	Poor birth space,	The child is weak,	
during pregnancy,	fever; lack of	lack of breast	diarrhea, lack of	
diarrhea; bad	foods during	feeding, bad	good foods	
hygiene; not	pregnancy; poor	hygiene, diarrhea,	during pregnancy,	
enough milk; no	birth space;	pneumonia. No	bad hygiene,	
exclusive breast	diarrhea.	good health care	fever, no exclusive	
feeding.		during pregnancy	breast feeding	

Returning the lists participant's for each of four groups, team leaders asked their group to establish a ranking of the causes selected in the first round by vote. To do this, the 4 groups were separated.

First Group	Second group	Third group	Forth group
Rating:	Rating:	Rating:	Rating:
Mothers do not have	Fever (1)	Lack of food during	Poor birth spacing (1)
good health during	Diarrhea (2)	pregnancy (1)	Lack of breast milk (2)
pregnancy (1)	Child does not have	Poor hygiene (2)	Not early breast
Fever (2)	good health (3)	Not exclusive breast feeding	feeding(3)
Diarrhea (3)	Poor hygiene (4)	Fever(3)	Poor hygiene (4)
Poor hygiene (4)	Lack of breast milk	Diarrhea (4)	Diarrhea (5)
Poor birth spacing	(5)	Not good health during	
(5)		pregnancy (5)	

**Table 79**. FCW, Second Round, Prioritizing the Causes of Child Malnutrition in the 4 Groups

With "team leaders", a second exhaustive list was produced with the causes identified by order of priority before the final meeting.

10 causes of malnutrition: Mothers do not have good health during pregnancy, Fever, Diarrhea, Poor hygiene, Poor birth spacing, Child does not have good health, Lack of breast milk, Lack of food during pregnancy, No exclusive breastfeeding, No early breastfeeding.

Finally, the mothers were asked to select from among these ten causes, 5 causes of malnutrition. They chose: Poor birth spacing (1) Not enough breast milk (2) No exclusive breastfeeding (3) Poor Hygiene (4) Diarrhea (5).

To obtain an order of priority, the mothers had to vote arguing why they had chosen one cause over another as being the most important among the five, etc. Table 80 shows the result of the women's vote.

Table 80.	Rating	Causes c	of Malnutritio	on, FCW,	Sarwalang	Miana

1)	Poor birth spacing
2)	Diarrhea
3)	Lack of breast feeding
4)	No exclusive breastfeeding below six months
5)	Bad hygiene

Causes of Under-Nutrition Among Fathers in Sarwalang Village

A list of the 10 most frequently mentioned risk factors by fathers in the four previous sessions has been submitted during the last session.

Shortlisted causes of under nutrition for men: 10 frequent causes of malnutrition (focus group)

Lack of food (spring and summer) Lack of food diversity and cooking (summer and spring) Poor access to clean water (spring and summer) Lack of means to store cooked food (summer) Poor environmental sanitation practices (summer) Lack of breast milk (for mothers) Poor care-giving practices in spring and summer Poor health care and services for mothers and children Too many children Poor use of household goods such as soap products

At the last meeting (May 27, 2015), the participants (12) added to this list the following four causes:

Mental Destabilization, War, Poor birth spacing, Lack of Health Education

We asked 7 randomly chosen participants<sup>73</sup> to select 5 causes that they considered most important among those 15 leading causes.

Participant 1: Poor birth spacing (1), Lack of medicine (2), Lack of food (3), Mental disorder (4), Recommended drugs (5)

Participant 2: Dirty water and lack of water(6), Lack of food, Lack of facilities to keep food in good condition (7), Lack of doctor for under nutrition (8), Lack of fruits (diversity)(9)

Participant 3: Lack of water, Poor sanitation (10), Lack of good food, Lack of doctor, Lack of food for mothers (11) Participant 4: Lack of good medicine, No good hygiene practice (12), Non-use of soap (13), Lack of food during pregnancy (14), Lack of breast milk (15)

Participant 5: Lack of food diversity during pregnancy, No good medicine to have breast milk (16), Lack of caregiving (mothers are busy) (17), Lack of food, Lack of clean water

Participant 6: Poverty (18), War (19), Pressure (20), Far away from hospital (21), Jobless (22)

Participant 7: Lack of knowledge (23), Lack of care-giving to children, Poor birth spacing (24), Mental disorder, poor sanitation

We asked them to select among all the identified causes, five which the 7 participants could agree upon between them. By consensus, fathers have selected the following 5 causes: mental disorders, lack of clean water, lack of quality health services, lack of breast milk and poor birth spacing.

Thereafter, men established an order of priority for f the 5 selected causes:

Table 81. Rating of Causes of Malnutrition, FCM, Sarwalang Miana

1)	Lack of breastfeeding
2)	Poor birth spacing
3)	Mental destabilization
4)	Lack of clean water
5)	Lack of good quality health services

Discussion on the Rating of 5 Causes Malnutrition for Mothers and Father of Sarwalang Miana

There are certain similarities between the male and female perceptions. First, each explores the causes of malnutrition from their own roles in the community. Women are in the domestic sphere while men are in the public sphere. For women, lack of soap is important,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> 4 participants asked to leave the meeting after the first discussion because the day before the meeting, a tragic accident occurred in the village. Two women belonging to the families of the participants died as a result of mishandling the newly installed water pump.

while for men it is the lack of good quality water (note that it is the local authorities (CDC) which have a mandate for water management) and the poor quality health services which cause malnutrition.

Mothers, being more often at home are well placed to observe diarrhea episodes that can cause malnutrition (stunting and wasting). Men are also apprehensive but believe it is the deteriorating mental health of their community that makes children more vulnerable to malnutrition. The lack of breast milk is the number one cause child malnutrition according to mothers and fathers. There are two dimensions to this issue. First is the problem of reproductive health. Participants have access to acceptable birth planning measures for birth spacing, so women can have sufficient breast milk for their offspring. It is also possible that this problem is not in itself a direct cause of child malnutrition. Measures could be employed like an umbrella to prevent future risks (e.g. too many children, or poor diet diversity, etc.). Secondly, there needs to be a focus on the foundations of primary care (WHO 1978). Mothers wish to practice better exclusive breastfeeding for children under six months and adequate hygiene practices. Fathers hope these practices can be taught by healthcare professionals attentive to these problems that affect their communities.

**Table 82**. Rating of 5 Causes Malnutrition for Mothers and Fathers of Sarwalang Miana

1) Lack of breast feeding	1) Poor birth spacing
2) Poor birth spacing	2) Diarrhea
3) Mental destabilization	3) Lack of breast feeding
4) Lack of clean water	4) No exclusive breastfeeding below six months
5) Lack of good quality services (health)	5) Bad hygiene

Finally, note that food insecurity was not selected by the participants of the two focus groups, even if this issue has been addressed in previous sessions.

# 1.2.7. Seasonality

# Chart 7. Seasonal Calendar, DSB, Link-NCA, Samangan, Afghanistan

	Season	Winter		Spring			Summer			Autumn			
Торіс	Month	ſ	F	М	Α	М	J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D
Acute	Prevalence of acute												
malnutrition	malnutrition												
	perceptions												
	Peak of admissions	Low	М	iddle				Middle	e Low	Unkno	wn		
	in nutrition service												
Water	Rainy season	Snow											
resources	Underground water	52% o	f village	s			Confli	ct on wa	iter		Water	shortage	e
	Ground water												
Harvest	Hunger season			Plantir	ng								
	Harvest							-					
	Harvest fruits					Wild fr	ruits						
	Harvest vegetables												
	Milk and eggs	High			Middle	2		-					
Household	Food market prices	High				Middle	9	Low					
economy	Terms of trade(sales	Storag	le						Sales s	surplus g	jrain and	d maize	
	and storage)												
	Far employment	Mine a	and FCo	untries		No mi	gration					Migrat	ion
	opportunities											Mine	
	Temporary job,					Work	on othe	rs farms					
	Farms			_									
Movement	Busy months for	At hon	ne	Farm a	and lives	tock	Harve	st				At hon	ne
and family	mothers	<b>D</b> <sup>1</sup> (1)						6 1 1 6					
organization	Live stock activities	Birthin	ig cow, s	sheep ar	nd			Sold fo	or incom	ne			
Haalth	Diarrhaa (among	goats											
nearth	EGM)												
	ARI (among EGW												
Social				Nowr	17	Zakat				Marria	de		
Jociai	Social events			100010		Lanat				seasor	EID		

Legend

High	
Important	
No relevant, or no activities,	

### 2. Preliminary Rating of Hypothesized Risk Factors

This chapter is divided into two parts. In the first part, the 19 hypotheses are reviewed in light of all analytical results. These hypotheses have been classified in following 4 areas: FSL, MHCP, and healthy and unhealthy environment. In the second part, the 19 hypotheses are categorized by into four risk levels: major, important, minor, and rejected. If a hypothesis cannot be defined by any of these levels, it means that there was not enough information collected or available on this question and the event will be classified as untested.

### 2.1. Review of Hypotheses (19) According to the Methodology Link NCA

In the Link NCA guidelines, the rating hypotheses should be developed in three stages. We have slightly modified this process due the two following considerations:

<sup>a</sup> Two considerations on the local context of DSB district: the strength of the RFS survey and the qualitative survey

i) Whereas secondary data is based on prevalence rates at the provincial (Samangan province) and national level (Afghanistan), the goal is to estimate the coherence and consistency of the RFS analysis (e.g., the NCA survey findings with SMART measure the prevalence of malnutrition in a given district). We introduced a measure that evaluated the prevalence of the RFS survey (local context) while taking into account its wider environment at provincial and national levels. Considerations for each hypothesis include under "Source of primary data", a rating used to establish the relevance of each risk factors.

Provincial level prevalence by national prevalence: Secondary data (SD)	RFS survey	Source of Primary data Criteria (- to +++)
Prevalence is very high	And Prevalence is very high	+++
Prevalence is high or middle	And Prevalence is high or prevalence is middle	++
Prevalence is very high, high or middle	And Prevalence is very low, or middle	-
Prevalence is low or middle	And Prevalence is very high, or high , or middle	+
Prevalence is low	And prevalence is low	-

ii) Although the DSB survey in the district remains a limited qualitative survey (one village), it was necessary to complete understanding of the causes of malnutrition. To do this, we have put into perspective the perceptions of men and women. If both sexes have similar perceptions, that result showed a homogeneity of representations of the causes of

malnutrition in a local context. The following table shows the convergence of the perceptions of men and women.

FGW (Focus group Women)	FCM (Focus group Men)	Qualitative survey Criteria (- to +++)
The topic is not mentioned during the focus group	Or not mentioned during the focus group	-
The topic is mentioned during the focus group	Or mentioned during the focus group	+
The topic is mentioned as one of the top five risks	Or mentioned as one of the top of five risks	++
The topic is mentioned as one of the top of five risks	AND mentioned as one of the five risks	+++

#### ¤ Literature Review

A third component relates to the review of scientific literature (meta-analysis, clinical trials, etc.). Each hypothesis was analyzed with the works from pathways to under-nutrition module which enriched the explanatory scope of the study in a local context (see following table).

	<ul> <li>Weak association has been demonstrated in at least a few contexts</li> </ul>
Strength of consistency across	
the context of association	+ Medium strength association has been demonstrated in at least few
between the risk factor and	contexts
under-nutrition (from	
pathways to under-nutrition Module)	+ + Strong association were demonstrated in at least a few contexts or an association demonstrated in a particular context of the link NCA

In order to support the explanatory reach of the literature review, we used the following approaches in the context of the Dari Suf region: modeling the basic causes selected by national experts (first workshop in Kabul), a first draft of pathways on the causes of malnutrition and the indicators that were selected as being the most relevant in the local context DSB district.

Finally a third component, "seasonality", is also estimated in section 1.2.7 (the calendar established at the Link NCA survey for the DSB, and an ACF reference calendar (for 3 northern Afghanistan provinces: Samangan, Sari and Paul Balk) that can be found in the appendix of the report. The rating is consistent with the methodology proposed by the Link NCA.

In the following pages, the narrative justifies the rating that is presented as a summary table of these four components.

### 2.1.1. For FSL Sector: 2 Hypotheses

Source of primary data		Qualitative survey	Qualitative survey Seasonality			
SC: Samangan province		<b>_</b>		ACF Caler	ndar: Shocks (flood and	
HDDS: 5.01 (average)		FCM: is mentioned d	uring the focus	drought).	Employment during	
HFIAS: n/a		group about th	nis topic :	winter.	1,5,5,5	
RFS: DSB		Employment				
HDDS: 5.27 (average) the le	evel is			DSB caler	ndar: Shocks (flood and	
good but:		FCW: is mentioned d	uring the focus	drought).	Employment during	
HFIAS: 35.38% severel	y food	group about	this topic:	winter.		
household (last month)		Employment				
Rating: +		Rating: +		Rating: ++	+	
Basic causes of under-	Pathway	to under-nutrition	DSB con	text		
nutrition from FSL	in	DSB district				
Workshop			Based on	HFIAS		
	_		prevalence	and		
Unfavorable geographic	Ecor	nomicresources:	Seasonality	for		
and climatic conditions:	Emp	availability	employment			
Poor dietary diversity		avanability				
		Module Pathw		ay NCA:	From literature review:	
Limited political and		Stunting		and	+	
economic resources:			underweight			
Limited availability and		ow Maternal	Links to other i	TISK TACTORS		
access to food (+	L Dut	ritional status .	: Child health s	tatus (HR),		
stability)	nut	intional status .	water: (HR)	nygiene		
W///1			practices(HR)			
WKI. Hypothesis						
significant contribution						
to under-nutrition	Unde	r-nutrition in DSB				
Rating: 3.5	C+	unting: 41 3%				
Nating. 5.5						
	Und	erweight: 26.6%				

### Figure 32. Poor Food Access Stability Leading to Poor Food Availability

### Figure 33. Poor Dietary Diversity (mother and child)

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality
SC: Samangan Province		ACF Calendar: shocks and
FCS: 30% of household has a poor		employment in mining
FCS	FCW: is irregularly mentioned as one of	
IDDS: n/a	the top 5 risk factors for mother during	
SC: National level	pregnancy	
IDDS: 27.6% who received more		
than four food groups	FCM: is irregularly mentioned as one of	DSB calendar: shocks and
RFS: DSB	the top 5 risk factors for children	employment in mining.
FCS: 9.3% of household has a poor		See FCS between November 2014
FCS		et May 2015.
IDDS: 3.02 (low average)		
64% of children who did not		
receive four food groups		
Rating: ++	Rating: +	Rating: ++

Basic causes from FSL	Pathway to under-nutrition	DSB context	
Workshop Unfavorable geographic and climatic conditions: Poor dietary diversity Limited political and economic resources: Limited availability and access to food (+ stability) WK1. Hypothesis believed to be significant contribution to under nutrition Rating: 3.5	in DSB district Unfavorable geographic conditions Poor dietary diversity FCS: 40% of households borderline situation Poor diversity IDDs for children (HR prevalence 62% Prevalence Underweight: 26.6%	Seasonality (shocks + (FCS)+ IDDS Module Pathway NCA: Underweight Links to other risk factors: Food Intake: eat less during pregnancy: 31% of caregivers (RFS)	From literature review Rating: +

### Figure 34: Hypothesizes Risk Factor in FSL sector

Hypothesis in FSL sector	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality	From literature review
Poor food access stability leading to poor food availability	+	+	+++	+
Poor dietary diversity (mother and child)	++	+	++	+

# 2.1.2. From MHCP Sector: 7 Hypotheses

# **Figure 35**. Inadequate Initiation of Breastfeeding (<1 hour)

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality
SC: National level	FCM: not mentioned, except for the lack	ACF Calendar: not relevant
IBF: 69.4% who are first breastfed	of milk for mothers as a chronic issue.	
(one hour)		
SC: Samangan Province	FCW: for mothers who have deliveries in	
IBF: 61.5% who are first breastfed	hospital, it is not relevant.	DSB calendar: not relevant
(one hour)		
RFS:		
IBF: N/A		
Rating: -	Rating: -	Rating: -

Basic causes from CPMH Workshop	Pathway to under- nutrition in DSB district	DSB context	
Low level of education of women: Inappropriate infant and	Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding	children who are not breastfed (one hour)	
young child feeding WK1. Hypothesis believed to be <b>significant</b> contribution to under nutrition causes Rating: 3.8	Low exclusive breastfeeding MUAC children: Prevalence (4.6%)	<b>Module NCA Pathway:</b> Mortality and Diarrhea Links to others risk factors: exclusive breast feeding (HR).	From literature review Rating: +

# Figure 36. Low Rate of Exclusive Breastfeeding Under 6 Months

Source of primary data		Qualitative survey		Seasonality			
SC: National		FCM: is regularly ment	ioned as one of	ACF Ca	ACF Calendar: not relevant		
EBF: 69.4% who	receive	the top 5 risk factors					
breastfeeding		ECW: is regularly mont	ionad as one of		ondar: not relevant		
FRF: 71.4% who have e	volusive	the top 5 risk factors	ioned as one of				
breastfeeding	.Xeldsive						
RFS: DSB							
EBF: 31% of the childre	n don't						
have exclusive breastfeedi	ng						
Rating: -		Rating: +++		Rating:	Rating: -		
Basic causes from	Pathw	ay to under-nutrition	DSB contex	ĸt			
CPMH Workshop		in DSB district					
Laure laurel of a durantian			Based on qu	alitative			
Low level of education			survey and	KFS velucivo			
or women.	Lov	v rate of exclusive	breast feeding				
Inappropriate infant and		breastfeeding	breast recarrig				
young child feeding							
		Unhealthy Module Path		/ NCA:	From literature review		
		environment	Complementary	feeding	Rating: +		
WK. Hypothesis			HR; <b>un</b> l	healthy	5		
believed to be a <b>major</b>			environment H	R; child			
contribution to under-	Child	health status 80%	health status	HR;			
nutrition causes	(pro	evalence) Diarrhea	maternal nu	tritional			
Rating: 4.4			Status MR; social	capital			
			IVIIX				

# Figure 37. Inadequate Complementary Feeding Practices

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality
SC: National		
MF: 52.1% of children (6-23		
months) with minimum meal	FCM: mentioned poor food diversity for	ACF Calendar: not relevant
frequency	children during focus group	
SC: Samangan province		
N/A	FCW: mentioned they don't give a good	
RFS: DSB	introduction of solid, poor food diversity	DSB calendar: not relevant
Introduction of solid: 53.3% of	for children, and not good meal	
children don't have a good	frequency for children and mothers	

introduction IDDS: see H. Poor divers average Meal frequency: 42% doo good meal frequency	ity, bad n't have				
Rating: ++		Rating: +		Rating:	-
Basic causes from CPMH WorkshopLow level of education of women:Inappropriate infant and young child feedingWK1.Hypothesis believed to be major contribution to under-	Pathwa co Uni	y to under-nutrition in DSB district Inadequate mplementary food healthy envionment pratices Diarrhea	DSB contex IDDS poor divers Introduction on food Module Pathwa Link to othe factors: breast practices Unhealthy enviro	<b>xt</b> sity and solid <b>by NCA</b> r risk feeding (HR) ponment	From literature review Rating: ++
nutrition: Rating: 4.3	n	Stunting under- utrition Prevalence rate 41.3%	(HR)		

# Figure 38. Lack of Women Empowerment

Source of primary dataQualitative surveySC: Samangan Province% of literate women: 10%FCM: workload is menticRFS: DSBNot education at all (97%)FCW: workload is menticWorkload: 76% of caregivers areFCW: workload is menticvery busy (during survey period)FCW: workload is menticPerceived social capital: 52% ofcaregivers have a goodperception of their social capitalperiod of survey (May)Rating: ++Rating: +Basic causes fromPathway to under-nutrition in DSB districtWeakness of women's social capital leads toWorkload (season)	oned on the rating	Season ACF C	ality alendar: mentioned the		
SC: Samangan Province       FCM: workload is mention         % of literate women: 10%       FCM: workload is mention         RFS: DSB       exercise         Not education at all (97%)       FCW: workload is mention         Workload: 76% of caregivers are       FCW: workload is mention         very busy (during survey period)       FCW: workload is mention         Perceived social capital: 52% of       caregivers have a good         perception of their social capital       period of survey (May)         Rating: ++       Rating: +         Basic causes from       Pathway to under-nutrition in         MHCP Workshop       DSB district         Weakness of women's social capital leads to       Workload	oned on the rating	ACF C	alendar: mentioned the		
Rating: ++     Rating: +       Basic causes from MHCP Workshop     Pathway to under-nutrition in DSB district       Weakness of women's social capital leads to     Workload (season)	oned on the focus n particular for the	DSB ca month	Calendar: mentioned the nsity of work calendar: May is a busy oth for women.		
Basic causes from MHCP WorkshopPathway to under-nutrition in DSB districtWeakness of women's social capital leads toWorkload (season)		Rating:	+		
low maternal status Women's workload leads to poor care practices Hypothesis believed to be significant contribution to under-	DSB contex Workload and Capital Module Pathway Link to othe factors: food s exclusive breastfe	<b>xt</b> Social <b>y NCA</b> r risk ecurity; eeding	From literature review Rating: -		

Figure	39.	Maternal	Well-being	and	Lack of	Care	During	Pregnanc	y

Source of primary data Qualitative survey						sonality
SC: Samangan Provinc Well-being ANC: 20.5% (four visits) RFS: Well-being: women a (53.8%) Lack of care during pro ANC: 51% of caregive than 4 visits	or more are at risk regnancy: er has less	FCM: is reg factors in ter FCW: is reg (vulnerable r	ularly mentioned as one o ms of "mental disorder" gularly mentioned in eac nothers)	ACF Calendar: not relevant DSB calendar: not relevant		
Rating: ++		Rating: +++			Rati	ng: -
Basic causes from MHCP Workshop Women's workload: housework leads to poor maternal well-being and care during pregnancy Hypothesis believed to be significant contribution to under-nutrition Rating: 3.6	Pathway	to under-nu	trition in DSB district Lack of care during pregnancy Inappropriate breastfeeding and complementary food Diarrhea and ARI prevalence	DSB contex Well-being indicator Vulnerable mothers (childhood illn Mental disor Poor mate health, Contex Post-conflict (R areas Afghanistan) Module Path NCA: breastfeeding complementary feeding; housel food insecu child health sta	rt RFS, rder, ernal t of Rural in way and / hold urity; tus	From literature review Rating: +

Figure 40. Inappropriate Care Practices (mother and child interaction)

Source of primary data		Qualitative survey		Seaso	nality	
SC: Samangan province N/A		FCM: is never mentioned in the focus group about this topic		ACF menti	Calendar: oned	not
RFS: 40% of caregive have appropriate int with child For responsive feeding children are helped	rs don't eractions : 72% of	FCW: is never mentioned in the	e focus group	DSB seedir very b	calendar: durin 1g period, wom 1usy	g the en are
Rating: +		Rating: -		Ratinc	<u>):</u> +	
Basic causes from CPMH Workshop Women's workload leads to poor maternal well-being and poor care practices	Pathwa	y to under-nutrition in DSB district	DSB contex Score: 40% c caregivers (no <u>c</u> practices) but 72 children are he	r <b>t</b> of good 2% of Iped		
			Module Pat	<b>thway</b> rection	From lite review	rature

Hupothesic believed	Workload	of disease Link to other risk factors: breastfeeding;	Rating: -
Hypothesis believed to be <b>significant</b> contribution to under-nutrition Rating: 3.0	Inappropriate care practices Low child health status Under-nutrition (stunting)	complementary feeding; child health status;	

# Figure 41. Health Seeking Behavior for Mother and Child

Source of primary da	ata	Qualitative survey		Seasonality	
SC. Samangan Province	e				
RFS: DSB		FCM: not mentioned in the focus	group except	ACE	calandar: not ralavant
of children are helped:	ng: 72%	FCW: Women are stressed with ch	uldren health	ACF	
Health: Access to healt	h for child	status and bad practices of hygier	ne	DSB	calendar: not relevant
N/A	in tor child				
Unhealthy environmen	t : bad				
practices					
Rating: +		Rating:+		Ratin	g:-
Basic causes from	Pathw	ay to under-nutrition in DSB	DSB contex	ĸt	
CPMH Workshop		district			
Low loval of			Unnealthy		
education and Lack		<b>N</b>	environment		
of empowerment			Module Pat	hwav	From literature
(decision making			NCA:		review
power)			Link to other	risk	Final rating: +
			factors: unhe	althy	
Hypothesis believed			environment "I	n an	
to be <b>significant</b>	Unhealt	hy Health Care	unhealthy	or	
contribution to	environ	m seeking practices	unsanitary	living	
under-nutrition	ent		environment,	a	
Rating: 3.7			faces addit	tional	
			challenaes as w	ell as	
			threats to	the	
			positive effect	s of	
			good care pract	ices"	

# Figure 42. Hypothesizes Risk Factor in CPMH Sector

Hypothesis in CPMH sector	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality	From Literature review
Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (<1 hour)	-	-	-	+

Low rate of exclusive Breastfeeding under 6 months	-	+++	-	+
Inadequate complementary feeding practices	++	+	-	++
Lack of women empowerment	++	+	++	-
Maternal well-being and lack of care during	++	++	-	+
pregnancy				
Inappropriate care practices (mother and child	+	-	+	-
interaction)				
Health seeking behavior for mother and child	+	+	-	+

# 2.1.3. From Health Sector: 6 Hypotheses

Source of primary data	а	Qualitative survey		Seaso	nality
SC. Samangan Province ARI: 24.9% of children ha 14 days Diarrhea: 48.4% of childr in the 14 days RFS: DSB ARI: 88% of children has 14 days Diarrhea: 80% of children the 14 days	as in the en has in the n has in	FCM: is mentioned in the rating exercise FCW: is regularly mentioned as one of the top 5 risks factors		ACF ca and sp summ DSB ca	alendar: ARI in winter oring, and Diarrhea in er alendar: very important
Rating:++		Rating:++	-	Rating	:+++
Basic causes from Health and WASH WorkshopLack of access to safe water, Lack of hygiene, and Lack of sanitation lead to disease and under- nutritionHypothesis believed to be a major contribution to under-nutritionRating: 4.4	Pathwa	y to under-nutrition in DSB district Lack of hygiene High prevalence of ARI and Diarrhea Under-nutrition Stunting (41.3%)	DSB contex Very important and for FCW Module Pa NCA: Link to other factors: acces health se healthy environr	<b>xt</b> in RFS <b>thway</b> r risk s to ervices, ment	From literature review Rating: ++

# Figure 44. Low Maternal Health and Nutritional Status

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality
SC. Samangan Province		
MUAC: 3.67% (but not significant)	FCM: is mentioned in the rating	
RFS: DSB	exercise	ACF calendar: not relevant
MUAC: 0.33% (but not significant)		
Food intake during pregnancy: 31%	FCW: is mentioned regularly in the	DSB calendar: workload in may
of caregiver are eat less during	rating exercise	
pregnancy		
Early first pregnancy: 33% of		
caregiver		
Rating: +	Rating: ++	Rating: -

Basic causes from Health and Wash	Pathway to under- nutrition in DSB district	DSB context	
Workshop		Link with H. Well-being and lack of care during	
Weakness of women social capital to get counseling; early marriage	See H. Wellbeing and lack of care during pregnancy	pregnancy	
and pregnancy; low knowledge of mother nutrition on care pregnancy lead to low maternal conduct to low birth weight lead to under-nutrition.		Module Pathway NCA Link to other risk factors: food access, low birth weight; caregiver's workload	From literature review Final rating: +
Hypothesis believed to be <b>significant</b> contribution to under-nutrition Rating: 3.9			

# Figure 45. Poor Birth Spacing

Source of primary data		Qualitative survey			Seaso	nality
SC. Samangan Province N/A SC. National N/A RFS: DSB Birth sibling: N/A		FCM: risk factor is regularly mentioned as one of the 5 risk factors FCW: risk factor is regularly mentioned as one of the 5 risk factors		ACF ca DSB ca	alendar: not relevant alendar: not relevant	
Rating: untested risk		Rating:+++			Rating	:-
Basic causes from CPMH WorkshopPaFrom cultural/social norms toward womenLow birth spacing leads to inappropriate care practice for infant and childHypothesis believed to be significant contribution to under-nutrition Rating: 3.6	M b Hig	y to under-nutrition in DSI district others and fathers think reast milk is insufficient others and fathers think have too manychildren Poor birth spacing gh prevalence of disease der-nutrition prevalence (stunting) 41.3%	B	DSB contex No data, except qualitative survey Module Pat NCA Link to the factors of risk maternal nutri status; breastfee low access of the services	thway other low itional eding: health	From literature review Final rating: ++

# Figure 46. Low Access to Health and Nutrition Service

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality
SC. Samangan Province	FCM: for lack of maternal milk (drug), regularly	ACF calendar: mentioned in
ANC: 20.5% of caregivers (four or	mentioned as the rating exercise	health center in winter time.
more visits	FCW: mentioned for nutrition service	
Post natal: 58.7% of caregivers	(Samangan city) on the rating exercise	DSB calendar: time to go to
(no check up)		the Health center is longer
RFS: DSB		during the winter season

DPT3: N/A ANC: 80% of caregiver w health professionals, 499 visits). Barriers to go to l center: 57% of househol to go to health center: 8 minutes (average)	vith % (four nealth ds. Time 0				
Rating: ++		Rating: +		Rating	j: +
Basic causes from Health and WASH Workshop Low investment in public services, low use of public health service	Pathwa	y to under-nutrition in DSB district Low access to Health and nutrition service o post-natal check up	DSB contex No data at a children, but mothers (number of visit	<b>xt</b> for ANC ss)	
immunization coverage to children lead to disease and under-nutrition	L	ow child health status	Module Pat NCA Link to other factors: ma knowledge practices;	thway r risk iternal and socio	From literature review Final rating: +
Hypothesis believed to be <b>significant</b> contribution to under-nutrition Rating: 3.9		Under- nutrition stunting	economics fa child health stat	actors; tus	

# Figure 47. Low Quality of Health and Nutrition Services

Source of primary data		Qualitative survey		Seasona	lity
SC. Samangan Province SQUEAC: recommendation	to	FCM: is regularly r of the top 5 risk fa	nentioned as one actors	ACF cale	ndar: not relevant
increase the poor quality in nutrition services of health s	staff	FCW: women pref	erred to an to	DSB cale	endar: not relevant
RFS: DSB Barriers to go to health cent	or:	health private sect	tor for in the		
6 % of households (poor qu health service)	ality of				
Rating: -		Rating: +		Rating: -	
BasiccausesfromHealthandWASHWorkshopLow investment in publicservice, low use of publichealthservice, lowimmunizationcoverageforchildrenleadtodiseaseandunder-nutritionHypothesisbelieved to besignificantcontributiontounder-nutritionRating:3.8	Path nutritic Inform no	way to under- on in DSB district N/A nation gathered ot complete	DSB contex FCM mentioned a the 5 risk factors Module Pathway Link to other risk maternal knowled practices; economics factor health status	xt s one of NCA: factors: dge and socio rs; child	From literature review Final rating: -

Figure 48. Low Awareness on Under-Nutritiv	on (causes, symptoms, and treatment)
--	--------------------------------------

Source of primary	y data	data Qualitative survey				asonality
SC. Samangan Prov	vince	Indirectly by t	he prevalence of diarrhea			
ACF (2014) : [	Diarrhea was	FCM: as ment	FCM: as mentioned a lack of information from health			
mentioned as the	main cause of	professionals	in health center about under-nu			
morbidity amon	gst under-5	FCW: during t	he focus group, an exercise to ι	understand	AC	CF calendar: not
children by 70% o	f respondents	the causes of	diarrhea: for causes, symptoms	and	rel	evant
When asked about	how they can	treatment: 18	/22 discussed the poor conditio	ns of		
prevent diarrhea,	as much as	hygiene, as a	cause of diarrhea. To prevent it,	they	DS	SB calendar: not
83% of respondent	s were unable	mentioned im	proving hygiene measures such	n as using	rel	evant
to answer		soap, a clean	house, and boil water.			
RFS: DSB						
N/A						
Rating: +	<b>F</b>	Rating:-			Ra	ting: -
Basic causes	Pathway to u	nder-nutrition	n in DSB district	DSB conte	xt	
from Health and						
WASH				Diarrhea		
Workshop				(proxy)		
Low level of						
education						From
Low knowledge	Lack o	f knowledge 🛛 🧹		Module		literature
of environmental	on und	ler-nutrition		Pathway		review
hygiene	for n	nen (proxy	Knowledge on under-	NCA		Final rating: -
	di	arrhea)	nutrition for women	Link to oth	ner	
	Lo	ow	(proxy diarrnea)	risk facto	ors:	
Hypothesis	a	uality of		child ca	are	
believed to be	h	aalth	Unhealthy	practice		
significant		carti	onvironment			
contribution to			environment			
under-nutrition						
Rating: 4.1						
5						

# Figure 49. Hypothesis from Health Sector

Hypothesis from Health sector	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality	From literature review
Child Health Status (Diarrheal and ARI infection)	++	++	+++	+
Poor maternal health and nutritional status	+	+	-	+
Poor birth spacing		+++	-	++
Poor access to health and nutrition service	++	+	+	-
Low quality of health and nutrition services:	-	+	-	-
Low awareness on under-nutrition (causes, symptoms, and treatment)	+	-	-	-

# 2.1.4. From Unhealthy Environment: 4 Hypotheses

Figure 50	. Lack of	Access to	Safe Water
-----------	-----------	-----------	------------

		rigare so. Eack of Access	to Sule Mater		
Source of primary data		Qualitative survey		Seaso	onality
SC: Samangan province	9				
32.7% of households h	ave access				
to safe water					
22% need more than 1	hour	FCM: among 5 causes of under-n	utrition	ACF C	Calendar:
Water needs: 27 liter	s per day				
(average)		FCW: mentioned during focus g	roup about this		
RFS: DSB		topic, particular in terms of boilir	ig the water	DSB o	alendar:
WSM: 43% of househ	olds has a				
severe risk					
Access in distance:	36% of				
households need	more 30				
minutes					
For water needs: 16 lite	ers per day				
(average)					
Rating: ++		Rating: ++		Ratin	g: ++
Basic causes from	Pathwa	ay to under-nutrition in DSB	DSB contex	rt 🛛	
Health and WASH		district			
Workshop			Based N	Nater	
		Look of immuned	management s	score:	
Unfavorable		Lack of Improved	43% household	l has	
geographic		village)	a severe risk	and	
conditions and		village)	qualitative surve	ey 🛛	
seasonality of water					
supply					
Lack of improved	N	lo access to safe water	Module Pat	hway	From literature
water infrastructures			NCA		review
			Links to other	risk	Rating: ++
			factors: Child	hood	
	Li	ack of hygiene pratices	illness		
		Childhood illness			
Hypothesis believed		cimanood initess			
to be <b>significant</b>					
contribution to					
under-nutrition		Diarrhea			
Rating: 3.7					

# Figure 51. Lack of Adequate Hygiene Practices

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality
SC. Samangan Province		
98% of people reportedly		
washing hands after defecation		
and before eating	FCM: is irregularly mentioned on the rating	ACF calendar: not relevant
30.8% of households have soap	exercises	
RFS: DSB		
Caregiver hand washing:	FCW: is regularly mentioned as one of the top 5	DSB calendar: not relevant
behavior: bad behavior 75% of	risk factors	
caregiver		
Use of soap:78% of households		
have no soap		

Household (animal waste): of households are not clear (optional indicator)	90% 1		
Rating: ++	Rating:++		Rating:-
Rating: ++Basic causes from HealthPatBasic causes from HealthWash WashWorkshopImage: Cause of the second secon	Rating:++         chway to under-nutrition in DSI         Limited economic         resources (no soap)         Lack of hygiene         practices         Childhood illness         ARI and Diarrhea         Under nutrition         (stunting)	B district DSB contex Findings with survey and l are consistent Module Path NCA Link with other factors: Child practices; Mate education; childhood ill (HR)	Rating:-       tt       RFS       FGW       From     literature       review       Rating: ++       risk       care       ernal       ness

### Figure 52. Poor Sanitation Practices

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey		Seasonality		
SC. Samangan Province 10.6% used improved sanitation facilities RFS: DSB Safe disposal of child feces: N/A	FCM: is mentioned in the rating exercise FCW: is mentioned in the focus group about this topic		ACF calendar: DSB calendar: during the rainy season (flood)		
Rating: +	Rating: +		Rating	: +	
BasiccausesfromPathHealthandWASHWorkshopLow level of education,Poorknowledge onimprovedpersonalhygieneand sanitation,lowimprovedlatrinecoverageHypothesisbelieved tobesignificantcontributiontounder-	Poor sanitation Childhood illness (diarrhea)	Context in DSB From primary data and FCW an Module Pathwa Link to others factors: chi illness (HR)	source nd FCM <b>y NCA</b> s risks ildhood	From literature review Rating: +	

# Figure 53. Inappropriate Food Utilization (food hygiene, habits, food sharing)

Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality
SC. Samangan Province	FCM: mentioned in the rating exercise	ACF calendar: not relevant
N/A		

RFS: DSB Observation: 57.4% of households was not clea Household (animal wass of households are not co (optional indicator)	an te): 90% Iean	FCW: mentioned in group about hygie	regularly in ne	the focus	DSB cal	endar: not relevant
Rating: ++		Rating: +			Rating:	-
Basic causes from WorkshopLowlevelof education,Poor knowledgeknowledgeof improvedhygieneand sanitation	Pathwa	y to under-nutrition district Low Hygiene household Childhood illness (Diarrhea)	n in DSB	DSB conte Module Pa NCA:	xt thway	From literature review Rating: +
Hypothesis believed to be significant contribution to under-nutrition Rating: 3.3		Under-nutrition (stunting)		Household Hy Link to other factors: chil illness (HR)	/giene: s risks dhood	

### Figure 54. Hypothesis from WASH sector

Hypothesis from WASH	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Seasonality	From literature review
Lack of access to safe water	++	++	++	+
Lack of adequate hygiene practices	++	++	-	++
Poor sanitation environment and practices	+	+	+	+
Inappropriate food utilization (food hygiene,	++	+	-	+
habits, food sharing): Hygiene household				

### 2.2. Classification of Preliminary Rating

The following table presents the five risk factor categories and the criteria used to characterize them.

Major risk	Source of primary data: RFS survey and Secondary data: classified as + + or +++ and Qualitative survey: as + or +++ and Strength of association from literature review: Pathway and basics causes of under- nutrition classified as + for ++
Important risk	Source of primary data: classified as ++ to +++ and Qualitative survey: + and Strength of association from literature review: Pathway and basics causes of under- nutrition: as + to ++

### Figure 55. Risk Factors Categories and Criteria, DSB, Samangan, 2015

Minor risk	Source of primary data: + to++ and Qualitative survey:+ and Strength of association from literature review: Pathway and basics causes:+ or -
Rejected risk	Source of primary data: - and Qualitative survey: - and Strength of association from literature review Pathway and basics causes of under- nutrition:-
Untested risk	Information gathered not complete or not available

In the Link NCA survey, the full results of a qualitative study include an analysis of near thirty focus groups (four villages), twenty-one interviews with key informants and community leaders. We co-explored these results with the results from the RFS investigation. A comprehensive knowledge of the context greatly facilitated a first classification of hypotheses. It was more difficult to make those comparison s for the Link NCA survey in the district of DSB.. The prevalence rate obtained with the RFS investigation played a major part in classifying 19 hypotheses in the study.

It is important to recall here the prevalence rates found by the SMART survey in the district. In DSB, the prevalence of under-nutrition (wasted) is 4.6% (-2 z score) and the prevalence of under-nutrition (stunted) is 45.3% (-2 z score). This is then in a local context where the prevalence of malnutrition is centered more on the issue of "stunting" than on the "wasting".

Five hypotheses constitute a "major risk", 10 as "significant risk", and two hypotheses "minor risk". One hypothesis was rejected. Finally, only one hypothesis did not have enough information and was classified as "untested".

The argument of this classification was based on four categories linking the results of the Link NCA hypotheses grouped under "major", "significant" and "minor" risk. These refer firstly to the importance of the causal relationship with the prevalence of chronic malnutrition; secondly, the results of the qualitative and quantitative survey have the same significance concerning the impact of seasonality. Finally, the level of congruity between the initial rating (Workshop in Kabul) and that obtained by the analysis of the data.

# 2.2.1. Hypotheses Causes Believed to be a Major Contributor to Causes of Malnutrition

There are five "major" hypotheses which helped to form the causal schema of malnutrition in the district of DSB.

- According to the prevalence of chronic malnutrition (45.3%) : three hypotheses are strongly linked to this prevalence (see figure 20, figure 12, figure 6);
- The results obtained from the qualitative survey and qualitative inquiry have the same bearing on all major hypotheses ;

- 2 hypotheses are strongly related to seasonality: access to safe water and child health status (ARI and diarrheal infection);
- Two hypotheses: inadequate complementary feeding and child health status were classified as "major" in the first workshop in Kabul.

Risk factor	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Literature review	Seasonality
Lack of adequate hygiene practices RWK: 3.1	++	++	++	-
Lack of access to safe water RWK: 3.7	++	++	++	++
Inadequate complementary feeding practice RWK:4.3	++	+	++	-
Child Health Status (Diarrheal and ARI infection) RWK:4.4	++	++	++	+++
Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy RWK:3.6	++	++	+	-

### Figure 56. Causes Believed to be a Major Contributions to Malnutrition

Hypotheses about the *lack of adequate hygiene practices* and *lack of access to safe drinking water* appear to be the key risk factors increasing a high prevalence of ARI, diarrhea and inadequate complementary feeding practices.

According to the Link NCA guidelines, any new hypothesis from the survey must also be classified. During the rating exercise of the causes of malnutrition with the fathers of the village of Sarwalang Miana, a new hypothesis emerged. Fathers thought that the village population is affected by mental health problems which are a risk factor that could lead to a high prevalence of malnutrition among children under 5 years.

Although this hypothesis only emerged in a single focus group and only in one village it is clearly evident in the results of the RFS and also with the focus groups with mothers when discussing the "Maternal well-being and Lack of Care during pregnancy" risk factors . For women's focus groups, high stress does not refer exclusively to the intensive work they must carry out during the seeding season. Stress levels can be explained by the difficulties they regularly meet in coping with all problems affecting their children (see child Health status) as well as personal health problems they face themselves during pregnancy and after the birth of the child.

### 2.2.2. Causes Believed to be Important Contributors to Causes of Malnutrition

There are 10 "significant" risk factors contributing to the causal schema of malnutrition in the district of DSB.

 According to the prevalence of chronic malnutrition (45.3%): six hypotheses are linked to this prevalence (cf. figure1, figure7, figure13, figure14, figure15, figure21);

- The results obtained from the qualitative and quantitative survey contribute for eight hypotheses;
- 5 hypotheses are strongly linked to seasonality, which means that they could be "major" risk factors at certain times of the year, and minor at other times, particularly for hypotheses related to FSL;
- With the exception of the hypothesis on exclusive breastfeeding under six months, all 9 other hypotheses were classified as "significant" in the first workshop.

Risk factor	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Literature review	Seasonality
Poor access to health and nutrition service RWK:3.9	++	+	+	+
Poor dietary diversity (mother and child) RWK:3.6	++	+	+	++
Lack of women empowerment (workload and social capital) RWK:3.3	++	+	-	++
Inappropriate Hygiene household (only food) RWK: 3.3	++	+	+	-
Poor maternal health and nutritional status RWK: 3.9	+	+	+	-
<b>Poor sanitation environment and practices</b> RWK:3.1	+	+	+	+
Poor food access stability leading to poor food availability RWK: 3.5	+	+	+	+++
Health seeking behavior for mother and child RWK:3.7	+	+	+	-
Low rate of exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months RWK:4.3	-	+++	+	-
Poor birth spacing RWK:3.6		+++	++	-

Figure 57. Causes Believed to be Important Contributions to Malnutrition

At the workshop in Kabul, the hypothesis "Poor access to health and nutrition services" obtained a rating of 3.9. It was therefore very close to being classified as a "major" risk and received the same score according to the Link NCA results. It must be noted here that qualitative survey respondents had good access to care (maternity) since most of them gave birth in a maternity.

As we had access to the mothers in remote villages, we could have checked if mothers gave birth at the same maternity services even if they are far from health centers and particularly when it comes to at risk pregnancies. The indicator measuring children's access to health care from the household survey - DPT3 coverage- could also be exploited, but which unfortunately does not explain the impact of this risk factor.

At the bottom of Figure 26, are two hypotheses that show some inconsistencies between the results from the RFS survey and the qualitative inquiry (FGM and FGW):

Low rate of exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months: according to the rating obtained in the workshop of Kabul, this hypothesis was among the 4 major hypotheses of the causes of malnutrition. Technical experts, mothers and fathers share the same view on this issue. The RFS survey however, shows that female respondents have not adopted the same perspective, since nearly two-thirds of them reported that they practiced exclusive breastfeeding. Note that when we addressed this issue with mothers, they also reported the same, but further explained and quickly rectified their reports, especially since their main concerns touched upon the complications related to breastfeeding. It is probable that the question on this subject was briefly answered by the mothers of the RFS survey, which leads us to accept the results of the qualitative survey as more reliable than the RFS investigation.

<u>Poor birth spacing</u>: referring to - primary data sources- in Figure 26, there is no notation, since we did not have Afghan secondary data or suitable information from the RFS. This hypothesis should be considered non-tested. This subject remains very important in the qualitative survey. In this case, it cannot be classified as "untested" or "minor" because it was chosen by respondents in the qualitative survey as a primary cause..

### 2.2.3. Causes Believed to be Minor Contributions to Causes of Malnutrition

As can be seen in Figure 27, there are two "minor" hypotheses. The first: "Inadequate initiation of breastfeeding (<1 hour)" concerns a third of the mothers of the RFS survey, which is still a relatively significant prevalence rate and therefore a non-negligible risk. Mothers in the village of the qualitative inquiry have clearly said during sessions on breastfeeding practices that midwives have helped them to breastfeed the newborn immediately after their birth. For the second, "Inappropriate care practices", the opposite was observed.

Risk factor	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Literature review	Seasonality
Inadequate initiation of breast feeding (<1 hour) RWK:3.8	-	-	+	-
Inappropriate care practices RWK:3.0	+	-	-	+

#### Figure 58. Causes Believed to be Minor Contributions to Malnutrition

### 2.2.4. Rejected Causes of Malnutrition

This hypothesis refers to risks related to the nutrition knowledge of both male and female respondents. In the District of DSB, the RFS survey shows that 98% of mothers had no formal education. This hypothesis can therefore not be tested with this indicator. The knowledge level of mothers on the causes and preventive treatment of diseases such as ARI and diarrhea can be tested during focus groups. This is very relevant because of high prevalence rates in the district.

Results show that mothers have a fairly good knowledge of the causes and the preventative practices against infections. This hypothesis cannot be accepted if only the outcome of the qualitative survey is taken into account. It is through this hypothesis that the existence of a large gap between the representations of the fathers (of heads of households) and those of mothers was revealed. Men give priority to the role of health professionals with respect to their lack of knowledge on the subject, while women are forced to remain in their domestic roles which they consider unhealthy.

Hypothesis risk factor	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Literature review	Seasonality
Low awareness on under-nutrition (causes, symptoms, and treatment) RWK: 4.1	+	-	-	-

### Figure 59. Causes Rejected as Contributing to Malnutrition

Fathers feel that it is the health professionals who should teach mothers how to care for their children so as to have better maternal care practices. Fathers included among the five causes of malnutrition the following hypothesis: Low quality of health and nutrition services.

### 2.2.5. Untested Hypotheses During the Survey in DSB

One hypothesis could not be tested (see figure below). It could be classified as a minor risk according to the qualitative study. This hypothesis was selected by fathers as one the 5 causes of child malnutrition. It cannot be accepted as such because unlike the hypothesis on birth spacing, it is not clearly identified by both mothers and fathers. In addition, for the household survey this hypothesis does not appear to be a main barrier to health care access.

Hypothesis risk factor	Source of primary data	Qualitative survey	Literature review	Seasonality
Low quality of health and nutrition services RWK:3.8	-	+	-	-

#### Figure 60. Untested Hypotheses During the Survey in DSB

We cannot reject this hypothesis because in the qualitative survey it is demonstrated as a cause for the male respondents. We cannot classify it under minor risks since the proportion of respondents that classify it as a barrier is still quite low (6% RFS). We know that if fathers (FG) put forth this hypothesis, it is because male heads of households want more help from medical professionals. Within such a framework, it is unclear if it is the skills of health professionals that are concerned, or if the relationship of trust is either damaged or not established. It must be concluded that this hypothesis remains "untested". No doubt if a SQUAEC investigation was carried out in the local context, we could link the results of this survey with the prevalence of outcomes related to other hypotheses on access to care, and then establish the rating of this hypothesis.

#### Link NCA Dissemination Workshop

#### Phase Four: Synthesis of Results and Building Technical Consensus

The final Link NCA workshop was held on 1st February 2016 at UN-OCHA in Kabul, Afghanistan. The final phase of the link NCA process involved synthesizing the results and building technical consensus based on the evidence generated on the probable causal factors of under nutrition in Dare-Suf Bala district, Samangan province. The process involved taking participants through the findings of the Link NCA and later engaging participants in group discussions. The objectives of the workshop was to review evidence gathered from the Link NCA study, to generate a confidence note ranging from 1 (low) to 3 (high), to participate in the rating exercise of risk factors and to develop response plans. The various technical experts present at the workshop were later gathered into three groups. First, they were taken through the rating process of risk factors and provided with examples before starting the actual rating exercise. They had to use the experience, findings/information generated from Link NCA study (both quantitative and qualitative) and the association with the literature review during the rating process. The groups were engaged in assigning a confidence note to each of the hypothesized risk factors. The confidence notes ranged from (1-3), with 1=low, 2=medium and 3=high. The final output of the workshop is illustrated in table 51.

### Table 83: Rated Risk Factors as Linked to Under-Nutrition

Risk factors	Rating proposed	Nb of	Con	ifidence l	Note		Rating		Average of	Final rating
	Expert	groups	Group	Group	Group	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Note	experts
			1	2	3					
Inadequate complementary feeding practices	Major	3	3	2	3	Major	Important	Major	2.7	Major
Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy	Major	3	3	3	2	Major	Major	Major	2.7	Major
Child health status (diarrhea and ARI)	Major	3	3	3	3	Major	Major	Major	3.0	Major
Lack of adequate hygienic practices	Major	3	1	3	3	Minor	Major	Major	2.3	Major
Lack of access to safe water	Major	3	2	3	3	Important	Major	Major	2.7	Major
Low rate of EBF(children less than 6 months)	important	3	2	2	2	Important	Important	Important	2.0	Important
Lack of women empowerment	important	3	2	2	2	Minor	Important	Important	2.0	Important
Poor access to health and nutrition services	important	3	2	3	2	Important	Major	Important	2.3	Important
Poor maternal health and nutrition status	important	3	2	3	2	Important	Important	Important	2.3	Important
Poor health seeking behavior (mother and child)	important	3	2	2	1	Important	Important	Minor	1.7	Important
Low birth spacing	important	3	2	2	2	Important	Important	Important	2.0	Important
Poor sanitation environment and practices	important	3	2	2	2	Important	Important	Important	2.0	Important
Poor dietary diversity	important	3	2	2	2	Important	Important	Important	2.0	Important
Poor food access, stability leading to poor food availability	important	3	3	3	3	Major	Major	Major	3.0	Major
Inappropriate household hygiene (food)	important	3	2	3	2	Important	Major	Important	2.3	Important
late initiation of breastfeeding (less than 1 hour)	Minor	3	2	2	2	Important	Important	Important	2.0	Important

Inappropriate care practices (Mother and child interaction)	Minor	3	3	2	2	Major	Important	Important	2.3	Important
Low awareness on under-nutrition (causes, symptoms and treatment)	Rejected	3	2	2	1	Important	Important	Minor	1.7	Important
Low quality of health and nutrition services	Untested	3	2	2	1	Important	Important	Minor	1.7	Important

### Phase Five: Link NCA Multi-Sector Response Plan

The participants were engaged in the development of multi-sector response plans based on risk factors identified as highlighted in table 52. The actualization of response plans will require concerted efforts of all stakeholders in setting a road map on minimizing under-nutrition in Dare-Suf-Bala district, Samangan province.

### Table 84: Multi-sector Response Plan

Risk factors	Interpretation	Proposed interventions	Stakeholder	Desired Change	Time line
Inadequate complementary feeding practices	Major	<ul> <li>Increase awareness about importance of proper and timely CF</li> <li>Increase caretakers awareness on preparation of complementary feeding recipes through food demonstration using locally available/accessible food items         (Including quantity/frequency).</li> <li>Assess potential role of MNP in regards to Complementary feeding, and its provision</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Optimal complementar y feeding practices	2016-2018
Maternal well-being and lack of care during pregnancy	Major	<ul> <li>Support mechanisms of raising awareness of women to attend ANC and PNC visits</li> <li>Awareness raising of community members including women and men about importance of maternal care during pregnancy and its link with the health and nutrition of baby</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Adequate maternal care during pregnancy	2016-2018
Child health status (diarrhea and ARI)	Major	<ul> <li>Community awareness about prevention of diarrhea and its correlation with poor hygiene, sanitation, and unsafe water</li> <li>Coordination with WASH program to address the existing issues such as lack</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Low incidences of child diarrhea and ARI	2016-2018

		of safe water for drinking at household level.			
		• Encourage health seeking behavior,			
		Provision of Oral Rehydration Syrups to			
		all children with episodes of diarrhea			
		• Upscale Zinc supplementation provision and uptake			
		<ul> <li>Increase access of pneumococcal</li> </ul>			
		vaccination among under-fives to			
		minimize the burden of ARI infections			
		and environmental hygiene			
		Distribution of hygiene kits at household			
	Major	levels			
Lack of adequate hygienic		<ul> <li>Community awareness raising about</li> </ul>			
practices		importance of proper hygienic practices,			
		and its linkage with health	PND/PNO/PPHD,		
		• Introduction of proper hygienic practices	Other relevant put	Adequate	
		Behavior change communication (BCC)	partners	practices	2016-2017
		Coordinate with WASH and explore	PND/PNO/PPHD.	practices	2010 2017
Lack of access to safe water	Major	possible options for provision of safe	BPHS/EPHS, WASH,		
Lack of access to safe water	Major	water	Other relevant nut-	Access to safe	
		Chlorination of existing water sources	partners	water	2016-2018
		• Enhance integration of IYCF messages			
		through health services			
		• Establishment of breastreeding corners			
Low rate of EBF(children less	Important	• Capacity building of HE staff and CHWs	PND/PNO/PPHD, Poligious affairs	increased rate	
than 6 months)		on IYCF	women affairs.	of	
		• Integrate the key IYCF messages with	BPHS/EPHS, Other	EBF(children	
		other health services such as GFD,	relevant nut-	less than 6	
		literacy courses, vocational trainings,	partners	months)	2016-2017

		<ul> <li>women affairs, etc</li> <li>Use different channels for delivering the key IYCF messages such as Masjid through Mulah, Maliks, School, etc</li> </ul>			
Lack of women empowerment	Important	<ul> <li>Deliver the required information to women about appropriate care of their infants and children and its importance</li> <li>Providing Income generation opportunities</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Ministry of women affairs, Other relevant nut- partners NGOs Local institutions	women empowerment relatively improved	2016-2018
Poor access to health and nutrition services	Important	<ul> <li>Upscale mobile health and nutrition services at community levels</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	improved access to health and nutrition services	2016-2017
Poor maternal health and nutrition status	Important	<ul> <li>Quality of MCH and nutrition services</li> <li>Improved community referral by CHWs</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Better maternal health and nutrition status	
Poor health seeking behavior (mother and child)	Important	<ul> <li>Increase community awareness about importance of timely treatment of maternal and child illnesses, and the consequences if not treated or not timely treated</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Better health seeking behavior (mother and child)	
Low birth spacing	Important	<ul> <li>Community awareness about the importance of adequate birth spacing, and the consequences of inadequate birth spacing</li> <li>Inform community about the available services for birth spacing and answer their religious concerns/misunderstanding</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Higher birth spacing	2016-2017

		• Regular health education sessions at HFs and HPs			
Poor sanitation environment and practices	Important	<ul> <li>Community awareness about importance of proper sanitation and its association with human health</li> <li>Coordinate with WASH to identify the sanitation issues and explore possible solutions for them</li> <li>Increase awareness regarding personal and environmental hygiene</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, WASH, Other relevant nut- partners	Better sanitary environment	2016-2017
Poor dietary diversity	Important	<ul> <li>Community awareness and sensitization about importance of dietary diversity</li> <li>Conduct nutrition education and rehabilitation sessions(NERs) at community levels</li> <li>Food demonstration at health facility levels</li> <li>Introduce diversified food menu based on locally available/accessible foods</li> <li>Coordinate with other sectors such as agriculture, trade, etc. for production or import of some key nutritious foods</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Agriculture, Trade, Other relevant nut- partners	Improved dietary diversity	2016-2017
Poor food access, stability leading to poor food availability	Major	<ul> <li>Coordinate with relevant stakeholders to identify the main causes of poor food availability and accessibility sustainably</li> <li>Explore possible solutions for addressing the causes of inadequate food availability and accessibility</li> <li>Establishment of buffer stock food reserves at district levels</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Agriculture, Trade, Other relevant nut- partners	Improved food availability and accessibility	2016-2017
Inappropriate household hygiene (food)	Important	<ul> <li>Community awareness about importance of food hygiene and its association with ill health</li> <li>Communicate key points about food</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, WASH, Other relevant nut- partners	appropriate household hygiene (food)	2016-2017

	l		l	I	l
		women			
Late initiation of breastfeeding (less than 1 hour)	Important	<ul> <li>Further enhance integration of IYCF messages through health services</li> <li>Integrate the key IYCF messages in other than health services, such as GFD, literacy courses, vocational trainings, women affairs, etc</li> <li>Use different channels for delivering the key IYCF messages such as Masjid through Mulah, Maliks, School, etc</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Early initiation of breastfeeding (within 1 hour)	2016-2017
Inappropriate care practices (Mother and child interaction)	Important	<ul> <li>Further enhance integration of IYCF messages through health services</li> <li>Integrate the key IYCF messages in other than health services, such as GFD, literacy courses, vocational trainings, women affairs, etc</li> <li>Use different channels for delivering the key IYCF messages such as Masjid through Mulah, Maliks, School, etc</li> <li>Increase CHW capacity on psychosocial counselling</li> <li>Regular IYCF counselling at HFs and HP levels</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	appropriate care practices (Mother and child interaction)	2016-2017
Low awareness on under- nutrition (causes, symptoms and treatment)	Important	<ul> <li>Community awareness about the importance of prevention of malnutrition</li> <li>Increase community awareness on the main causes of under-nutrition and how to be prevented</li> <li>Community awareness about the symptoms of under-nutrition and availability of its treatment</li> <li>Weekly health and nutrition</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Increase awareness on under- nutrition (causes, symptoms and treatment)	2016-2017

		talks/education at health facility level • Capacity building of HWs on IMAM • Training health Shura's on IMAM			
Low quality of health and In nutrition services	Important	<ul> <li>Identify the key quality problems in health and nutrition services</li> <li>Identify the factors resulted the mentioned problems</li> <li>Take action to address the factors and consequently improve quality</li> <li>Joint monitoring and supervision</li> </ul>	PND/PNO/PPHD, BPHS/EPHS, Other relevant nut- partners	Better quality of health and nutrition services	2016-2017

p. 141



#### Annex 1: Pathways- Causal model, Workshop February 2015

p. 142
### Annex 2. RFS and Smart Survey Household Questionnaire

#### I. Identification

To be filled before the interview, before entering in the household

ID.10 -Date of the survey (day/month/year) \_/\_/\_\_\_

ID.20Name of the village: .....

**ID.21** - Number of the cluster (1 to 46):

ID.30 -Team ID number (N° 1 to 8):

ID.40 -Household number: (1 to 12)

**ID.50** -Starting time of the interview:

ID.70 – Comments

#### Read the consent form

**ID.80** -Does the household accept the interview? 1=Yes 0=No

ID.90 - If no, what is the reason?

#### **II. Introduction**

Code	Question	Answer
IN.10	Size of the Household	
IN.20	Does a child from 0 to 59 months present in the household? If no, go to the next household	1=Yes 0=No

#### III. Food Security and Livelihood (FSL)

#### • Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS)

Now I would like to ask you about the types of foods that you or anyone else in your No Yes household ate yesterday during the day and at night. Since yesterday morning till this morning what are the food eaten in your household?DO NOT READ THE ANSWER FIRST, THEN PROBE HDDS.10 1 0 Cereals (wheat, wheat flour, rice, maize, noodles, biscuits, or any other food made from wheat or maize etc) HDDS.20 **Roots/Tubers** 1 0 (Potatoes, carrots, radishes, onions, garlic, or any other foods made from roots or tuber etc) HDDS.30 Pulses/ Nuts 1 0 (beans, peas, lentils, wallnuts, etc) HDDS.40 1 0 **Vegetables and leaves** (tomatoes, eggplants, corriander, spinach, lettuce, cabbages, squash, etc) HDDS.50 1 0 Fruits (Apple, banana, pomegrante, grape, etc) HDDS.60 Meat/ Poultry/ Offal 1 0 (Beef, goat, lamb, mutton, chicken, duck, other animals, birds, liver, kidney, heart, or any other organ meat) HDDS.70 0 1 Fish HDDS.80 0 1 Milk/ Diary products (Yogurts, cheese, butter, Qorut) HDDS.90 1 0 Eggs **HDDS.100** 0 Sugar, Honey 1 **HDDS.110** 1 0 Oil/Fat HDDS.120 0 Condiments (Tea, salt, or spices) 1 TOTAL (Sum 0-12)

#### • Food Consumption Score (FCS)

I would like to ask you about all the different foods that your household members have eaten in the last 7 days. Could you please tell me how many days in the past week your household has eaten the following foods?		
READ THE A	NSWERS AND MARK THE CORRESPONDING NUMBER OF DAYS	days)
FCS.10	<b>Cereals and tubers</b> (Wheat, wheat flour, rice, maize, noodles, biscuits, or any other food made from	
	wheat or maize, potatoes and food made of potatoes, etc)	
FCS.20	Pulses/ Nuts (beans, peas, lentils, etc)	
FCS.30	<b>Vegetables and leaves</b> (Tomatoes, eggplants, corriander, cabbages, lettuce, Spinach, squash, etc)	
FCS.40	<b>Fruits</b> (Apple, banana, pomegranate, cherry, grape, etc)	
FCS.50	<b>Meat/ fish/eggs</b> (Beef, goat, lamb, mutton, chicken, duck, other animals, birds, liver, kidney, heart, or any other organ meat)	

FCS.60	Milk/diary product	
	(yogurts, cheese, butter, Qorut)	
FCS.70	Sugar / Honey	
FCS.80	Oils/ fat products (Any foods made with oil or ghee)	
FCS.90	Condiments (Tea, salt, or spices)	

#### • Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS)

- 1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past 4 weeks)
- 2 = Sometimes (3 to 10 in the past 4 weeks)
- 3 = Often (more than 10 times in the past 4 weeks)

I would like to ask you what was the food available to your household for the past four weeks. To answer this question, please think about the last four weeks.

If the answer is no pass to the next question (ex. HFIAS.10 no, pass to HFIAS.20)

HFIAS.10	Did you worry that your household would not have enough food?			No 0
HFIAS.11	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.20	Were you or any household member not able to eat the kinds of foods you preferred because of a lack of resources?	Yes 1		No 0
HFIAS.21	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.30	Did you or any household member have to eat a limited variety of foods due to a lack of resources?	Yes 1		No 0
HFIAS.31	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.40	Did you or any household member have to eat some foods that you really did not want to eat because of a lack of resources to obtain other types of food?	Yes 1		No 0
HFIAS.41	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.50	Did you or any household member have to eat a smaller meal than you felt you needed because there was not enough food?	Yes 1		No 0
HFIAS.51	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.60	Did you or any household member have to eat fewer meals in a day because there was not enough food?	Yes 1		No 0
HFIAS.61	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.70	Was there ever no food to eat of any kind in your household because of lack of resources to get food?	Yes 1		No 0
HFIAS.71	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.80	In the past four weeks, did you or any household member go to sleep at night hungry because there was not enough food?			No 0

HFIAS.81	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
HFIAS.90	In the past four weeks, did you or any household member go a whole day and night without eating anything because there was not enough food?	Yes 1		No 0
HFIAS.91	How often did this happen in the past four weeks?	1	2	3
	TOTAL (sum of the occurrences, 0-27)			

#### • Months of Adequate Food Provisioning (MAHFP)

DO NOT READ THE LIST OF MONTHS ALOUD.

Use a seasonal calendar if needed to help respondent remember the different months. Probe to make sure the respondent has thought about the entire past 12 months. If MAHFP.10 answer is No, then No to MAHFP.20 to MAHFP.130

MAHFP.10	Now I would like to ask you about your household's food supply during			
	different months of the year. When responding to these questions, please			
	think back over the last 12 months, from now to the same time last year.			
	Were there months, in the past 12 months, in which you did not have enough food to meet your family's needs?	1		
If yes, which <b>v</b>	were the months in the past 12 months during which you did not have enough for	ood to n	neet	
your family's	needs?			
This includes borrowing.	any kind of food from any source, such as own production, purchase or exchang	e, food	aid or	
MAHFP.20	April	1	0	
MAHFP.30	March	1	0	
MAHFP.40	February	1	0	
MAHFP.50	January	1	0	
MAHFP.60	December	1	0	
MAHFP.70	November	1	0	
MAHFP.80	September	1	0	
MAHFP.90	October	1	0	
MAHFP.100	August	1	0	
MAHFP.110	July	1	0	
MAHFP.120	June	1	0	
MAHFP.130	May	1	0	

Now, I would like to ask you some questions regarding the household head					
HoH.10	Who is the household head of your household?	Mother = 1			
		Father = 2			
		Grand-parent = 3			
		Other = 4			
HoH.20	How old is he/she?				
HoH.30	What is his/her occupation?	Farmer = 1			
		Government/Ngo Employee = 2			
		Labourers/unskilled workers = 3			
		Unemployed = $4$			
		Other (specify)= 5			

## IV. WASH

# All these questions are for domestic use of water and do not include water for animals

UE.10	What is the main source of drinking water for	1 = Groundwater: open well, well/borehole			
	members of your household?	with hand-pump, well/borehole with			
		motorized pump system			
	(Present a map with the different water points that	2 = Protected spring			
	have been assessed)	3 = Roof rainwater			
		4 = Piped supply			
	Coding key: to be determined according to the	5 = Sealed bottled water			
	setting and map. Circle 1 to 4 and write the letter	6 = Surface water as river			
	code	For answer 1 to 4, letter code of the source _			
	DO NOT READ THE ANSWERS				
	ONLY ONE RESPONSE POSSIBLE				
UE.20	What do you usually do to make the water safer to	1 = Boil			
	drink?	2 =chlorine			
	Probe: Anything else? (record all items mentioned)	3 = Strain it through a cloth			
	Code 9 if the caregiver is using sealed bottled water	4 = Use water filter (ceramic, sand, composite			
		etc.)			
		5 = Solar disinfection			
		6 = Let it stand and settle			
		7 = Other			
		8 = Nothing			
		9 = Drink sealed bottled water			
UE.30	How much water did your household use YESTERDAY				
	(excluding for animals)?	A. Volume of container [ ]			
	ASK THE QUESTION IN THE NUMBER OF 20 LITER	B. Number of containers used [ ]			
	JERRICAN AND CONVERT TO LITERS				
		Total water used = A*B = [ ]			

Now I we	Now I would like to ask some questions about sanitation.					
UE.45	Where do members of this Household usually relieve					
	themselves?					
			1.	Latrine in the household		
	IF ANSWER IS LATRINE IN THE HOUSEHOLD OR					
	PUBLIC LATRINES, ASK TO SEE IT AND REFER TO THE		2.	Public latrine		

	OBSERVATION QUESTIONNAIRE => May I see it please?	3. Open defecation
		4. Other:
UE.46		
	Who in the family uses the toilet/latrine?	Female
	RECORD THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE >12 MONTHS USING THE HOUSEHOLD/ PUBLIC LATRINE	Male
		Children

Now I would like to know when and how you usually wash your hands. When do you		Quoted	Not
wash your hands? ( <u>DO NOT PROBE</u> )			quoted
UE.100	After defecation	1	0
UE.110	After cleaning babies' bottom	1	0
UE.120	Before food preparation	1	0
UE.130	Before eating	1	0
UE.140	Before feeding children (including breastfeeding)	1	0

Would ye	ou explain and show me what you do when you wash your hands?	Do	Don't
Ask the participant to show how he/she wash his/her hands.			
UE.200	Uses water	1	0
UE.210	Uses soap or ashes	1	0
UE.220	Washes both hands	1	0
UE.230	Rubs hands together at least three times	1	0
UE.240	Dries hands hygienically by air-drying or using a clean cloth	1	0

UE.300	Do you have any soap in your household for washing hands?	Yes = 1	No = 0
	If yes, question UE.400		
	If no, question UE.500		
UE.400	If yes:	Not able to show = 1	
	Can you please show it to me?	Bar soap = 2	
		Detergent	
		(powder/liquio	d/paste) = 3
		Liquid soap =	4

UE.500	How much time does it take on average to goto the drinking water source,	30 minutes or less = 1
	get water, and comeback?	31 to 60 minutes = 2
	If the participant gets water at home, then 5	61 to 180 minutes = 3
		More than 3 hours = 4
		Not applicable = 5

#### VII. Water point observation

Refer to question UE.10 "What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household?" and fill accordingly (1 to 5).

According to question UE.10, go to the correspondent water point and answer to the correct questionnaire (if UE.10 answer is 1, then fill the questionnaire 1, if answer is 2 fill questionnaire 2, if answer is 3 fill questionnaire 3, if answer is 4 fill questionnaire 4)

1. Grou	ndwater: open well, well/borehole with hand pump, well/borehole with motorized	No	Yes
pump s	ystem:		
C 10		0	1
G.10	Is there a latrine or any source of pollution within 30 m of the well?	0	L
G.20	Does the fence around the well allow animals in? If there is no fence, answer is yes	0	1
G.30	Is there stagnant water close to the well?	0	1
G.40	Is the apron less than 1 m wide all around the well?	0	1
G.50	Are there any cracks in the well apron and headwall?	0	1
G.60	Is the cover of the well improperly closed?	0	1
G.70	Is the well poorly sealed for 3 m below ground level?	0	1
G.80	Is the water point dirty?	0	1
G.90	Is the lift system (ropes,bucket, hand/motorized pump) in bad condition and/or broken?	0	1
	TOTAL SCORE OF RISK (number of "yes" points)		

2. Prot	sected spring	No	Yes
S.10	Is there a latrine or any source of contamination within 30m uphill of the spring?	0	1
S.20	Does the area around the spring allow animals in?	0	1
S.30	Is the drainage channel blocking the flow and allowing stagnant water?	0	1
S.40	Is the spring open to surface water contamination?	0	1
S.50	Is the spring box cracked?	0	1
S.60	Is the inspection cover cracked or unsanitary?	0	1
S.70	Is the cut-off ditch above the spring blocked or non-existent?	0	1
S.80	Is the water point dirty - presence of solid/ domestic waste, mud, animal dung?	0	1
S.90	Is there standing water at the collection point?	0	1
	TOTAL SCORE OF RISK (number of "yes" points)		

3. Roof r	ainwater harvesting sanitary inspection form	No	Yes
RW.10	Is the roof area dirty?	0	1
RW.20	Are the gutters that collect water dirty?	0	1
RW.30	Is there absence of a filter box at the tank inlet or is it not working well?	0	1
RW.40	Is there any other point of entry to the tank that is not properly covered?	0	1
RW.50	Are there cracks in the wall of the tank?	0	1
RW.60	Is the inside of the tank dirty or not periodically cleaned and disinfected?	0	1
RW.70	Are the taps leaking?	0	1
RW.80	Is the concrete apron near the tank absent or broken or dirty?	0	1
RW.90	Is the drainage in bad condition and the water inadequately drained?	0	1
RW.100	Is there any source of contamination around the tank or water collection area?	0	1

4. Piped s	upply sanitary inspection form	No	Yes
PS.10	Is the source badly protected, or not protected?	0	1
PS.20	Is there any point of leakage between the source and the reservoir?	0	1
PS.30	<b>30</b> If break-pressure tanks, are they covers unsanitary? (If no break-pressure tanks, answer is no)		1
PS.40	Is the storage tank cracked or leaking and the inspection cover or the air vent unsanitary?	0	1
PS.50	5.50         Is the storage tank dirty or not regularly cleaned?		1
PS.60	<b>5.60</b> Are there any leaks in the distribution lines of the system?		1
PS.70	<b>PS.70</b> Are the areas around the taps unfenced or allowing access to animals?		1
PS.80	Is there inadequate drainage and standing water around the taps?	0	1
PS.90	Are the surroundings of the taps dirty and with possible contamination source (excreta, refuse, etc.)?	0	1
PS.100	Is the water not chlorinated?	0	1
	TOTAL SCORE OF RISK (number of "yes" points)		

#### VIII. Observations hygiene/sanitation facilities

Individual	sanitation Observation	Yes	No
SAN.10	Are the faeces well isolated from the environment? (Leak, crack) *	1	0
SAN.20	Is the outlet safe? (Leading to open sewer, river, sea water) *	1	0
SAN.30	Presence of any anal cleaning item/material (paper, water)	1	0
SAN.40	Is there a hand washing station inside the latrine or within 10 paces of the latrine?	1	0
SAN.50	Is there a cleansing agent at this hand washing station inside/near the latrine? <u>Yes</u> includes soap, detergent and ash, whereas no include mud, sand and other	1	0
SAN.60	Presence of flies or other insects entering or exiting the pit	1	0
SAN.70	Presence of excreta on the ground or around the pit or seat	1	0
	TOTAL SCORE OF RISK (number of "yes" points)		1

Water management Observation		Yes	No
WAT.10	Is the container used to carry water left uncovered during transportation?	1	0
WAT.20	Is the container used to carry water dirty?	1	0
WAT.30	Is the water storage left open/uncovered?	1	0
WAT.40	Is there a water cleaning system visible (filter, boiling container, chlorine tablets)?	1	1
WAT.50	While serving water to drink, is there a risk of water contamination? (do the fingers touch the water? Or is the scooping container used dirty?)	1	0
	TOTAL SCORE OF RISK (number of "yes" points)		

Food hygiene Observation		Yes	No
FH.10	Are there cooking utensils or food leftovers left on the ground or uncovered?	1	0

Animal waste Observation		Yes	No
Waste.10	Are there any animal excreta in or near the compound/playground/surroundings?	1	0

**ID.60** - Ending time of the interview:

#### VI. Main caregiver questionnaire

Code	Question	Answer
ID.210	Name of the Village	
ID.220	Number of the cluster (1 to 46)	
ID.230	Team ID number (N° 1 to 8)	
ID.240	Household number(1 to 12)	
1D .240	Caregiver ID	

Now I wo	Now I would like to ask you questions about yourself				
HoH.40	What is your relationship with the child?	1 = Mother			
		2 = Father			
		3 = Grandparent			
		4 = Other (please specify)			
HoH.50	What is your occupation?	1 = Housewife			
		2 = Farmer			
		3 = Government/NGo Employee			
		4 = Labourers/unskilled workers			
		5 = Unemployed			
		6 = Other			
HoH.60	What is your marital status?	1 = Married/In an union			
		2 = Separated			
		3 = Single			
		4 = Widow			
CG.10	How old are you?	years			
CG.11	Source	1 = Caregiver's statement			
		2 =Birth certificate			

#### SECTION ANT.

# THIS SECTION WILL BE FULLFILLED ONLY TO RESPONDERS ANNOUNCED THAT ARE MOTHER

 Now I would like to measure your MUAC (Mid-Upper Arm Circumference) using this tape. It is safe, non-harmful and will take only few minutes.

 ANT.10
 MUAC in millimeter
 --- mm

 ANT.20
 What is the caretaker's physiological status?
 1.Pregnant

 3.None of the above
 3.None of the above

CG.20	Did you eat more/less/same amount as usual when you were	1 = More
	pregnant or breastfeeding?	2 = Less
		3 = Same

CG.30	Did you go to school?	Yes 1	No 0
	If no, ask question CG.50		
CG.40	How many years did you complete?	[ ] years	

CG.50	Do you feel supported?	Extremely1
	Include all kind of support such as financial, social etc.	Somewhat2
	Do not probe, this question is left to the understanding	Not very3
	of the mother	Not at all4

<b>CG.60</b> Do you feel you have too much work to take care of your child?	Yes 1	No 0
---	-------	------

Please indicate for each of the five statements, which is closest to how you have been feeling over the last two weeks.

# Example: If the respondent has felt cheerful and in good spirits more than half of the time during the last two weeks, put a tick in the box with the number 3.

Over the last two weeks:				More	Less		
		All of the	Most of	than	than	Some of	At no
		time	the time	half of	half of	the time	time
				the time	the time		
WHO5.10	I have felt cheerful and in	5	4	3	2	1	0
	good spirits						
WHO5.20	I have felt calm and	5	4	3	2	1	0
	relaxed						
WHO5.30	I have felt active and	5	4	3	2	1	0
	vigorous						
WHO5.40	I woke up feeling fresh	5	4	3	2	1	0
	and rested						
WHO5.50	My daily life has been	5	4	3	2	1	0
	filled with things that						
	interest me						
TOTAL (calculate immediately by			•		-		•
summing up a	ll answers)						

RH.40	How old were you when you gave birth for the first	years
	time?	
H.50	During your last pregnancy, did you see anyone for	.Yes
	antenatal care?	2.No (go to H.80)
H.60		1 = Health professional (Doctor, nurse/midwife,
	If yes, "Whom did you see?" Probe "Anyone else?"	auxiliary midwife)
	till the respondent answer "no one else"	2 = Traditional birth attendant
	Probe for the type of person seen and tick all	3. community health worker, Relative/friend)
	answers given.	3 = Relative/Friends
		4 = Others
H.70	How many times did you see someone for	Number of times:  _  _
	Antenatal care?	

H.80	What are your main barriers from going to the	1 = Money/cost
	health centre when someone is sick?	2 = Time
		3 = Transportation means
		4 = Geographical distance
		5 = Decision power

		<ul> <li>6 = The service is not good enough</li> <li>7 = Culture (specify)</li> <li>8 = No man to a company the woman</li> <li>9=No barriers</li> <li>96 = Other (specify)</li> </ul>
H.90	How long does it take you to go to the nearest health center?	minutes

#### V. Child Questionnaire

Fill this part for each child under 59months old in the HoH. To find the age, use the event calendar. Fill part A and B for child 0-23 months. Fill part B for child 0-59 months.

Code	Questions	Answers
ID.100	Name of selected child	
ID.110	Name of the Village	
ID.120	Number of the cluster (1 to 46)	
ID.130	Team ID number (N° 1 to 8)	
ID.140	Household number (1 to 12)	
ID.141	Child Number	
ID.200	Birth date If the birth date is not known, ask guestion ID.210	Birth date// Don't know X
ID.210	<u>Calculate immediately in months, if the birth date is known</u> Otherwise use the event calendar to define the age	Months
ID.220	Source for obtaining age	Birth certificate = 1 Event Calendar = 2
ID.230	Sex of selected child	Male = 1 Female = 2

## A. Child 0-23 months

Now I v	Now I would like to ask some question about your child.					
CP.10	Has (name) ever been breastfed?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X		
	If don't know, ask question CP.20					
CP.11	How long after birth did you first put (name) to the breast?(Probe)	Immediately000				
	If respondent reports she put the infant to the breast immediately	Or:				
	after birth, circle '000' for 'immediately'.	Hours:1  _  _		_  _		
	If less than one hour, circle '1' for hours and record '00' hours.	Or:				
	If less than 24 hours, circle '1' and record number of completed	Days2  _  _		_  _		
	hours, from 1 to 23.					
	Otherwise, circle '2' and record number of completed days.					
CP.20	Was (name) breastfed yesterday during the day or at night?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X		

CP.21	Sometimes babies are fed breast milk in different ways, for example by spoon, cup or bottle. This can happen when the mother cannot always be with her baby. Sometimes babies are breastfed by another woman, or given breast milk from another woman by spoon, cup or bottle or some other way. This can happen if a mother cannot breastfeed her own baby. Did ( <b>name</b> ) consume breast milk in any of these ways yesterday during the day or at night?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X

Next, I	would like to ask you about some liquids that (name) may have had	Yes	No	Don't
yesterd	lay during the day or at night. Did (name) have any:			know
CP.50	Plain water?	1	0	Х
CP.51	Infant formula such assimulac and promil?	1	0	Х
CP.52	Milk such as tinned, powdered, or fresh animal milk?	1	0	Х
CP.53	Juice or juice drinks?	1	0	Х
CP.54	Clear broth?	1	0	Х
CP.55	Yogurt/Curd?	1	0	Х
CP.56	Thin porridge?	1	0	Х
CP.57	Any other liquids such as water-syrup?	1	0	Х
CP.58	Any other liquids?	1	0	Х

How m	How many times yesterday during the day or at night did ( <i>name</i> ) consume any (item from list)?			
CP.60	Infant formula such assimulac and promil?	Times B:  _  _		
CP.61	Milk such as tinned, powdered, or fresh animal milk?	Times C:  _  _		
CP.62	Thin porridge?	Times F:  _  _		

CP.70	Did (name) eat any solid, semi-solid, or soft foods yesterday during the	Yes 1	No 0	Don't	
	day or at night?			know X	
CP.71	How many times did (name) eat solid, semi-solid, or soft foods other than	Number of times:  _  _			
	liquids yesterday during the day or at night?	Don't kr	Don't know = X		

Please describe everything that (name) ate yesterday during the day or at night, whether at home or outside the home.

Please, think about when (name) eat yesterday from the time he/she woke up yesterday morning, till the time he/she woke up that morning, at home or outside.

Think about the time he/she woke up yesterday. Did (name) ate anything when he/she woke up?

IF YES: Tell me everything (name) ate at that time.

What did (name) after that? Did he/she eat something at that time?

IF YES: What did (name) eat at that time?

Anything else?

Continue till the person answer "nothing else". Repeat the question till this morning weak up.

If the participants answer a mix dishes, ask: "what were the ingredient of this dish?"

Tick all the food category related to the mix dishes

Each time one is telling what the child ate, tick "yes" in the food category

IDDS.210	Porridge, bread, rice, noodles, or other foods made from	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
	grains/cereals such as rice, millet etc.			

IDDS.220	Pumpkin, carrots, squash, or sweet potatoes that are yellow or or orange inside	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.230	White potatoes, cassava, plantain, lotus roots or any other foods made from roots	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.240	Any dark green leafy vegetables as spinach, bean greens	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.250	Ripe mangoes, ripe papayas, apricots	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.260	Any other fruits or vegetables?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.270	Liver, kidney, heart or other organ meats?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.280	Any meat, such as beef, goat, chicken,pig, snakes or other meats	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.290	Eggs	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.300	Fresh or dried fish, sea snakes, shellfish, or seafood	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.310	Any foods made from beans, jack beans, peas, lentils, nuts, soya or seeds	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.320	Cheese, curd, yogurt or other milk products	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.330	Any oil, fats, margarine, butter, or foods made with any of these	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.340	Any sugary foods such as chocolates, sweets, candies, pastries, cakes, or biscuit, sugary drinks or any sugarymade from sugar or honey?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.350	Condiments for flavour, such as chillies, spices, herbs, fish powder, Maggi cubes. Tea and coffee?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
IDDS.360	Any grubs, snails or insects?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X

H.10	Has (name) received DPT3 immunization before his/her first birthday?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
H.11	Specify the source	On state = 1	ement	Checked on Immunization
				card = 2

## B. Child 0-59 months

CP.100	Does anyone help (name) to eat?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X	
CP.110	What do you do when (name) refuses to eat?	1 = Nothing (the child is left alone)			
	Categorize answer into the positive, negative or no reaction	2 =Other (coax, play with, change food			
		3 = Force			

H.30	Has (name) ever been ill in the past 14 days?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't
				know X
H.40	Has (name) had diarrhoea (more than 3 loose or watery stools in a	Yes 1	No 0	Don't
	24-hour period) in the past two weeks?			know X
H.50	Has (name) had an illness with a cough (trouble breathing or	Yes 1	No 0	Don't
	breathe faster than usual with short, quick breaths) in the past two			know X
	weeks?			

Now I w	would like to ask you some question regarding your relation with (name)			
MC.10	In the past 3 days, did you or any household member over 15 years of age engage in story telling, singing or playing with (name)?	Yes 1	No 0	Don't know X
MC.20	Do you leave (name) alone or in the care of other children younger than 12	Yes 1	Ν	о 0

	years of age?		
MC.30	If yes, how often?	1 = Every da	у
		2 = Several t	imes a week
		3 = Less than	n once a
		week	
		X = Not app	licable

RH.60	Does (name) have a younger sibling?	Yes	1	No	0
	If no, ask caregiver questionnaire				
RH.61	If yes, what is the age difference between ( <b>name</b> ) and his/her direct younger	m	onths		
	sibling?	X Do	n't kno	wc	
	Use the event calendar				
	If don't know, ask RH.62				
	If answered, ask next questionnaire				
RH.62	If don't know, what is the age of his/her direct younger sibling?	m	onths		
	Use the event calendar				
	Calculate immediately his/her age, then fill RH.61				

### OBSERVATIONS Child 0-59 months To be filled at the end of the questionnaire

Caregive	r-child interaction observation:	Yes	No
OC.10	Caregiver tends to keep the child within visual range and looks at the child quite often	0	1
OC.20	Caregiver talks to the child during the course of the visit	0	1
OC.30	Caregiver interacts with child to promote development and learning	0	1
OC.40	Caregiver smiles at the child, laughs with the child, caresses, kisses or hugs the child	0	1
OC.50	Caregiver spanked or hit the child during the visit, or shouted or yelled at him/her.	1	0

#### C. Child 0-59 months (anthropometric measurements)

Anthropometric measurements:		
ANT.30	Weight in kilogram, record to the nearest 0.1 kilograms (100 grams)	
ANT.40	Height/Length in centimeters, record to the nearest 0,1cm	
ANT.50	Edema If yes, contact your team supervisor to refer the children	0 = Yes 1 = No
ANT.60	MUAC (mm)	

# Annex 3: Link NCA Risk Factors survey

Indicator (core	Sample	Mean or proportion	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
C. Household size	530	7.119	6.65	7.58
O. Household head age	403	41.886	40.07	43.65
O. Household head occupation	410	100%		
NGO/GVT Labourer	112 9	27.31% 2.19%	19.61 0.858	35.05 3.53
Unemployed Other	179 68 42	43.65% 16.58% 10.24	36.54 11.38 5.81	50.77 21.78 14.61
O. Main caregiver age	427	29.07 (Mean)	28.40	29.73
O. Main care giver marital status	428	100%		
Married Single Widow	420 2 6	98.1% 0.46 % 1.40%	96.60 -0.19 0.14	99.65 1.13 2.65
O. Main caregiver	427	100%		
Housewife Unemployed	422 2 3	98.8% 0.46% 0.70%	97.81 -0.19 -0.10	99.84 1.13 1.51
	5	0.7070	0.10	1.51

# Household composition

# WASH

Indicator (core and	Sample	Mean or	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
optional)		proportion		
Access to safe water	410	100%		
Groundwater	50	12%	4.66	19.72
Protected spring	117	58%	14.52	42.55
Pipeline	26	6.34%	-1.43	14.02
River	217	52.9%	39.20	66.64
C. Water management score (river)	217	4.02 (Mean) 100%		
Mild risk	11	5.26	2.06	8.46
Moderate risk	100	51.67	41.78	61.55
Severe risk	90	43.06	31.22	54.89
C. Water needs	411	16.3 LPD (mean)	15.92	17.7
O. Distance to water point	359	100%		
More than 30 minutes	100	36%	30.71	41.69
Less than 30 minutes	259	63%	58.30	69.28
C. Use of latrines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C. Use of safe latrines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C. Safe disposal of child feces	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C. Caregiver hand washing good behavior	410	100%		
Bad	308	75%	69.99	81.25
Good	102	24.87%	18.75	31.00
C. Use of soap	408	100%		
No soap	320	78.43%	72.85	84.01
Soap	88	21.5%	15.98	27.14
O. Household	411	100%		
hygiene food				
Clean	175	42.5%	38.33	46.82
Not clean	236	57.42	53.17	61.66
O. Animal waste	411	100%		
Clean	44	10.7%	7.22	14.19
Not clean	367	89.29%	85.8	92.78

Indicator (core and optional)	Sample	Mean or proportion	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
C. HDDS Group1:<3 Group2: 3-4 Group3:5-6 Group4:>6	396 1 121 207 67	5.27 (Mean) 0.25% 30.56% 52.27% 16.91%	5.01	5.52
C. HFIAS Secure Mildly Moderately Severely	407 16 74 173 144	100% 3.93 18.18 42.51 35.38	0.97 12.67 34.39 28.93	6.88 23.69 50.61 41.8
C. MAHFP	401	8.47 (Mean)	8.09	8.84
O. FCS	401	100%		
Poor (0-21) Borderline (21.5- 35) Accontable (>35)	37 163 201	9,23 40.65	4.66 34.78	13.7 46.51
Acceptable (>55)	201	JU.12	42.74	J7.J

# МНСР

IYCF	Sample	Mean or	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
		proportion		
C. Adequate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
breastfeeding				
C. Exclusive	60			
breast feeding				
(0-6 months)				
Yes	41	53.33%	53.33%	83.33
No	19	16.65%	16.65%	43.6
C. Continued	54			
breastfeeding				
after one year				
Yes	50	92%	85.47	99.71
NO	4	7.4%	0.28	14.5
C. Introduction	15			
of solid, semi				
solid or soft				
foods (6-8				
months)				
Yes	7	46.6%	19.1	74.6
Νο	8	53.3%	25.8	80.8
C. IDDS 6-23	190	3.02	2.79	3.24
months				
Children who not				
consumed ≥4	122	64%	57.03	71.31
groups				
Children				
consumed ≥4	68	35.7%	28.61	42.96
groups				
C. Meal	154			
frequency				
Yes	88	57%	45.72	68.56
No	66	42%	31.43	54.28
C. Child feeding	369			
behavior				
Helped	268	72.6%	67.5	78.1
Not helped	101	27.3%	21.8	32.8

Care of women	Sample	Mean or	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
		proportion		
C. Food intake	427	100%		
during				
pregnancy				
More	183	42%	38.1	47.5
Less	100	31.3%	27.8	35.4
Same	427	25.52%	20.7	30.3
C. Average level	426	100%		
of education				
No education				
Education	410	96.2	94.3	98.18
	16	3.75	1.81	5.69
Well-being of	427	100%		
caregiver				
At risk	230	53.8%	46.52	61.2
No risk	197	46.1%	38.79	53.47
C. Perceived	428	100%		
social capital				
Extremely	52	12.1%	8.08	16.2
Somewhat	154	35.9%	28.4	43.5
Not very	167	39.0%	32.4	45.63
Not at all	55	12.8%	8.0	17.69
C. Workload	421	100%		
Yes	320	76%	71.4	80.5
No	101	23.9%	19.4	28.5

Psycho social care	Sample	Mean or proportion	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
C. Child caregiver interaction	566	100%		
Inappropriate Medium Appropriate	230 139 197	40.6% 24.5% 34.5%	46 8 38.5	61 18 53

## **Health sector**

C. ARL in the past 14 days511100%Here 100%100%Yes45488.4%84.292.83No5711.15%7.1615.1C. Diarrhea in the past 14 days100%76.683.9Yes41180.2%76.683.9No10119.7%16.0523.39C. DPT3N/AN/AN/AN/Aimmunization at one year100%74.985.6Professionals8319.7%14.325.06No health professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC21551.06%41.058.03Equal or more than 4 times20648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers ransport Low quality in health care service242100%13%Time tog to Health care service42.7100%13%C. Start first service24.958%44.0772.5Nore than 60 minutes17841.6%27.4455.93Less than 60 minutes17881.7 (Mean)18.3719.14Pregnancy Not early pregnancy10033%26.839.65		Sample	Mean or	LCL% (95)	UCL% (95)
C. ARI in the past 14 days511100%Image: second			proportion		
Yes154 aby Ves154 abs88.4% 84.292.83No5711.15%7.1615.1C. Diarrhea in the past 14 days512100%83.9Yes41180.2%76.683.9No10119.7%16.0523.39C. DPT3N/AN/AN/AN/Aimmunization at one year421100%	C. ARI in the past	511	100%		
No         57         10.13%         7.16         15.1           C. Diarrhea in the past 14 days         512         100%         57.00         10.1         19.7%         16.05         23.39           No         101         19.7%         16.05         23.39         10.1         19.7%         16.05         23.39           C. DPT3         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A           one year         421         100%	14 udys Voc	151	00 /0/	010	02 02
No         Difference         Difference         Difference         Difference           C. Diarrhea in the past 14 days         512         100%         76.6         83.9           No         101         19.7%         16.05         23.39           C. DPT3         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A           immunization at one year         C. ANC Caregiver who saw health professional         421         100%         IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	No	4J4 57	11 15%	716	151
C. Main barriers Financial barriers 	C Diarrhea in	512	100%	7.10	13.1
Yes         411         80.2%         76.6         83.9         23.39           C. DPT3         101         19.7%         16.05         23.39           C. DPT3         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A           one year         421         100%         N/A         N/A           who saw health professionals         83         19.7%         14.3         25.06           With health professional         338         80.2%         74.9         85.6           Number of visits ANC         421         100%         44.10         58.03           equal or more gual or more         206         48.96%         41.9         55.8           C. Mains barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers         242         100%	the past 14 days	JIZ	10070		
No10119.7%16.0523.39C. DPT3 immunization at ione yearN/AN/AN/AN/AImmunization at ione yearsN/AN/AN/AC. ANC Caregiver who saw health professionals421100%FarseFarseNo health professional8319.7%14.325.06With health professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC Less than 4 times21551.06%44.1058.0320648.96%41.955.855.8C. Mains barriers Financial barriers 	Yes	411	80.2%	76.6	83.9
C. DPT3 immunization at one yearN/AN/AN/AN/Aimmunization at one yearAll100%Immunization immunizationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunization immunicationImmunication immunicationImmunication immunicationImmunication immunicationImmunication immunicationImmunication immunicationImmunication immunicationImmunication immunicationImmunication immunication	No	101	19.7%	16.05	23.39
immunization at one year!!!!!C. ANC Caregiver who saw health professionals No health professional421100%No health professional8319.7%14.325.06Number of visits ANC ANC33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC Less than 4 times Equal or more than 4 times 20621551.06%44.1058.03C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers Financial barriers <th>C. DPT3</th> <th>N/A</th> <th>N/A</th> <th>N/A</th> <th>N/A</th>	C. DPT3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
one year(mode)(mode)(mode)(mode)C. ANC Caregiver who saw health professionals No health 	immunization at				
C. ANC Caregiver who saw health professionals No health professional421100%I.4.325.06With health professional8380.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC ANC421100%58.03Less than 4 times21551.06%44.1058.0320648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers Transport Low quality in health care service242100%I.4.3Time to go to Health care service427100%I.4.955.93Time to go to Health care service24958%44.0772.5Time to go to Health care service17841.6%27.4455.93O. Early first pregnancy (<18)24218.7 (Mean)18.3719.14O. Early first pregnancy10033%26.839.65Not early pregnancy32466.7%60.3473.14	one year				
who saw health professionals No health professional8319.7%14.325.06With health professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC Less than 4 times Equal or more than 4 times21551.06%44.1058.03C. Mains barriers Financial barriers Transport Loow quality in health certer Have barriers Financial barriers Transport Doe to the care service247100%Financial barriers 31% 13% 6%Financial barriers 6%Financial barriers 13% 6%Financial barriers 55.8O. Early first pregnancy (<18 yers)24958%44.0772.5O. Early first pregnancy (42418.7 (Mean)18.3719.14O. Early pregnancy Not early10033%26.839.65J. Early pregnancy Not early24.066.7%60.3473.14	C. ANC Caregiver	421	100%		
professionals No health professional8319.7%14.325.06Number of visit professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visit professional42100%58.03Less than 4 times tqual or more than 4 times21551.06%44.1058.03C. Mains barriers Financial barriers Transport now quality in early core than 6074.958.03C. Mains barriers Financial barriers Transport Nore than 6074.975.8C. Mains barriers Financial barriers Transport Nore than 6074.072.5D. Early first regnancy (<18) Veregnancy64.087.083.7O. Early first veregnancy to verefine72.672.5Standy first veregnancy veregnancy63.7%60.349.14	who saw health				
No health professional8319.7%14.325.06With health professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC Professional421100%85.03Less than 4 times21551.06%44.1058.0320648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers than 4 times20631% 13% 6%55.8C. Mains barriers than 4 times242100%FranceVin health center Have barriers tinancial barriers tinancial barriers tinancial barriers tinancial barriers tinancial barriers242100%Vin health center Have barriers tinancial barriers tinanci	professionals				
professional With health professional8319.7%14.325.06With health professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC Less than 4 times421100%44.1058.03Equal or more than 4 times21551.06%41.955.8C. Mains barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health center Have barriers Financial bar	No health				
With health professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC Less than 4 times421100%21551.06%44.1058.03Equal or more than 4 times20648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers ro health center Have barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health care service242100%7. Mains barriers rome to health center Have barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health care service427100%7. More than 60 minutes24958%44.0772.50. Early first regnancy (<18 years) Early pregnancy Not early Not early10033%26.839.650. Early first regnancy10033%26.839.65	professional	83	19.7%	14.3	25.06
professional33880.2%74.985.6Number of visits ANC Less than 4 times421100%44.1058.03Equal or more than 4 times21551.06%44.1058.03Equal or more than 4 times20648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers Financial barriers Low quality in health care service242100%Fransport 13% 6%13%Low quality in health care service427100%Fransport 13% 6%72.5Time to go to Health center More than 60 minutes17841.6%27.4455.93O. Early first yeers) Early pregnancy Not early yer10033%26.839.65O. Early first pregnancy32466.7%60.3473.14	With health				
Number of visits ANC Less than 4 times421100%Hereice 44.10Hereice 58.0321551.06%44.1058.0320648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers Financial barriers 	professional	338	80.2%	74.9	85.6
Number of visits12.1100%44.1058.03ANC Less than 4 times21551.06%44.1058.03Equal or more than 4 times20648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers242100%41.955.8Financial barriers rransport Low quality in health care service242100%41.955.8Transport Low quality in health care service242100%44.0772.5Time to go to Health center More than 60 minutes24958%44.0772.5O. Early first pregnancy (<18 years)24418.7 (Mean)18.3719.14Dot carly pregnancy not early10033%26.839.65Service Less than 60 minutes32.466.7%60.3473.14	Number of visits	421	100%		
Integradie21551.06%44.1058.03Less than 4 times20648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health center More than 60 minutes242100%Image: Comparison of the second of the seco		TZT	10070		
Equal or more than 4 timesIntermediation intermediationIntermediation intermediationC. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health care service242100%France serviceTime to go to Health center More than 60 minutes427100%France service72.5Time to go to Health center More than 60 minutes17841.6%27.4455.93O. Early first years) Rearly pregnancy42418.7 (Mean)18.3719.14O. Early first years) action10033%26.839.65Vot early years)32466.7%60.3473.14	Less than 4 times	215	51.06%	44.10	58.03
than 4 times20648.96%41.955.8C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health care service242100%France ServiceFrance ServiceSince ServiceFrance ServiceSi	Equal or more				
C. Mains barriers to health center Have barriers242100%Image: service service of the service service of the service of t	than 4 times	206	48.96%	41.9	55.8
to health center Have barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health care service Time to go to Health care service427100%100%427100%Kenter Health center More than 60 minutes24958%44.0772.5Less than 60 minutes17841.6%27.4455.93O. Early first pregnancy (<18 years)42418.7 (Mean)18.3719.14Service to early pregnancy10033%26.839.65Atter Service32466.7%60.3473.14	C. Mains barriers	242	100%		
Have barriers Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health care service31% 13% 6%service <th>to health center</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	to health center				
Financial barriers Transport Low quality in health care 	Have barriers				
Transport Low quality in health care service13% 6%Image: service 6%Image: service 6%	<b>Financial barriers</b>		31%		
Low quality in health care serviceImage: ServiceServ	Transport		13%		
health care service427100%44.0772.5Time to go to Health center42958%44.0772.5More than 60 minutes17841.6%27.4455.93Less than 60 minutes17818.7 (Mean)18.3719.14O. Early first pregnancy (<18 years)10033%26.839.65Solution32466.7%60.3473.14	Low quality in		6%		
service Time to go to Health center427100%Image: service serviceImage: service service </th <th>health care</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	health care				
Time to go to       427       100%       Image: Second s	service				
Health center More than 60 minutes24958%44.0772.5Less than 60 minutes17841.6%27.4455.93O. Early first pregnancy (<18 years)42418.7 (Mean)18.3719.14D. Early pregnancy pregnancy10033%26.839.65Solution arry pregnancy32466.7%60.3473.14	Time to go to	427	100%		
More than 60       249       58%       44.07       72.5         minutes       178       41.6%       27.44       55.93         Less than 60       178       424       18.7 (Mean)       18.37       19.14         pregnancy (<18	Health center	2.42			
Indites       178       41.6%       27.44       55.93         O. Early first       424       18.7 (Mean)       18.37       19.14         Pregnancy (<18	More than 60	249	58%	44.07	72.5
Less than 60 minutes       178       41.6%       27.44       55.93         O. Early first pregnancy (<18 years)	minutes	170	41 (0)		FF 02
O. Early first pregnancy (<18 years)         424         18.7 (Mean)         18.37         19.14           Early pregnancy Not early pregnancy         100         33%         26.8         39.65           Solution         324         66.7%         60.34         73.14	Less than 60	1/8	41.6%	27.44	55.93
vears)     100     33%     26.8     39.65       Not early     324     66.7%     60.34     73.14	O Early first	171	197 (Maan)	10.07	10.14
years) Early pregnancy 100 33% 26.8 39.65 Not early pregnancy 324 66.7% 60.34 73.14	D. Early first	424	TO' (IMEAU)	10.57	19.14
Early pregnancy         100         33%         26.8         39.65           Not early         324         66.7%         60.34         73.14	vears)				
Not early         324         66.7%         60.34         73.14	Farly pregnancy	100	33%	26.8	39.65
pregnancy 324 66.7% 60.34 73.14	Not early	100	3370	20.0	55.05
	pregnancy	324	66.7%	60.34	73.14
<b>O. Birth spacing</b> N/A N/A N/A N/A	O. Birth spacing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

ISAL ANALYSIS

ACTION CONTRE LA FAIM La méthodologie Link NCA a été développée par Action Contre la Faim (ACF) sous la supervision d'un comité scientifique regroupant des experts multisectoriels d'ACF ainsi que d'éminents chercheurs membres de la TUFTS University de Medford-Somervillede l'Institut de Recherche pour le Développement et du Programme Alimentaire Mondial.

Son développement a été permit par les financements apportés par :



En savoir plus sur **www.linknca.org** 







Auteur : Lyzette Boucher-Castel Socio-anthropologist and Link NCA expert



Pour plus d'informations concernant la conception ou la mise en œuvre d'une Link NCA, visitez notre site internet : www.linknca.org

Pour prendre contact avec un expert concernant toute question sur la Link NCA : **linknca@actioncontrelafaim.org**